Crustal structure across northeastern Tibet from wide-angle seismic profiling: Constraints on the Caledonian Qilian orogeny and its reactivation
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A B S T R A C T

The northeastern Tibetan plateau results from the superposition of the Caledonian Qilian orogenic belt and Cenozoic reactivation following continental collision between the Indian and Asian plates. In order to identify the constraints on the Qilian orogenic mechanism and the expansion of the plateau, we carried out a 430-km-long wide-angle seismic experiment between Jingtai and Hezuo. We herein present the crustal P-wave velocity structure model resulting from the interpretation of this wide-angle seismic dataset. The principal characteristics of the crustal velocity model include: (1) thinning of the crust from south to north within a range of about 48–54 km with some undulation of the Moho beneath the Northern Qilian block; (2) the thickness of the sedimentary layer and its average P-wave velocity exhibit the obvious tectonic division of the Qaidam–Kunlun–West Qinling belt, the central and Northern Qilian, and the Alax blocks; (3) the lower crustal layer has a P-wave velocity of 6.6–6.8 km/s beneath the Qaidam–Kunlun–West Qinling belt, Northern Qilian orogenic belt and Alax block, but has a very low value of 6.4–6.5 km/s beneath the Central Qilian block; and (4) the Central Qilian block shares a similar crustal P-wave velocity–depth relationship with the Sierra Nevada arc or the accretionary crust. The Qaidam–Kunlun–West Qinling and the Northern Qilian orogenic belts have a crustal P-wave velocity–depth relationship characteristic of the crust of the global average continent. The particular Vp–depth relationship beneath the Central Qilian orogenic belt may result from a Caledonian accretionary orogeny or from the delamination of the eclogitized lower crust during the arc–continental collisional orogeny. The lower velocity layer (LVL) exists at the bottom of the upper crust, to the north of the middle of the Central Qilian block. We infer that this LVL plays an important role as an intracrustal decollement to expand the plateau.

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
Brief tectonics of northeastern Tibet

Our wide-angle seismic profile extends from Jingtai to Hezuo, which crosses the Alax block, the North Qilian oceanic–type Suture Zone, the Qilian block and the Qaidam Kunlun–West Qinling block. In the following, we summarize the tectonics of these five units.

2.1. Alax block

The Alax/Hexi Corridor terrane, which is situated in the west of the Ordos Basin through the Helanshan fold belt, is at the junction of two major Chinese blocks, namely the North China and the Tarim (Fig. 1). This terrane is bounded by the Tianshan–Mongolia Fold Belt to the north and separated from Qaidam terrane by the Qilian Shan Fold Belt to the south. The western boundary of the Alax/Hexi Corridor terrane is not clearly delineated but is generally thought to lie in the vicinity of northeasterly extensions of the Altyn Tagh Fault beyond the Qilian Shan. Essentially there are three possible orogeny mechanisms, namely (1) the paired subduction model of Yang et al. (2002), (2) the transition model from oceanic subduction to continental collision of Song et al. (2006) or (3) the multiple-creniation model of Xiao et al. (2009). There is still some uncertainty over the deep structure, the proper identification of which will allow us to determine which of the three mechanisms is responsible for explaining the convergence and collision of the Alax, the Qilian, and the Qaidam–Kunlun–West Qinling terrains, during the Caledonian.

The deep seismic sounding of the crustal structure in northeastern Tibet is important for deepening our understanding of the growth of the plateau, as well as our understanding of the Caledonian orogeny. The expansion of the plateau can be demonstrated using GPS measurements from across northeastern Tibet (Gan et al., 2007). The available mechanisms for the lateral expansion of the Tibetan plateau (to 2.5–3.0 million km²) include (1) the northward thrusting of the upper crust (Metivier et al., 1998; Tapponnier et al., 1990); (2) tectonic escape at the crustal/lithospheric scale from lateral shearing of the Kunlun and Altyn faults (Tapponnier et al., 2001); (3) lower crustal channel flow (Royden et al., 2008) and (4) southward subduction of North China craton (Yin, 2010) from geological mapping, balanced reconstruction, tectonic, geochemical and topography data. The gradual northeasterward decrease in elevation across the northeastern border of the Tibetan plateau may be taken to imply that much of the upper crust of this region forms a northeastward tapering wedge decoupled from the underlying lowermost crust and mantle lithosphere along a large lower to mid-crustal decollement dipping at a shallow angle to the southwest (Tapponnier et al., 1990, 2001). It is clear that the crustal structure across the northeastern part of the Tibetan plateau can provide constraints on our understanding of the northward growth of the plateau.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. In the next section, we will briefly introduce tectonics of northeastern Tibet. After that, seismic acquisition, seismic analyses and seismic interpretation are presented. After the presentation of crustal P-wave model along the profile and its evaluation, we made some discussions of the crustal velocity model on the constraints in the Caledonian Qilian orogeny and its reactivation (lateral expansion of the plateau).

2.1. Alax block

The Alax block in the north comprises the western part of the North China Craton, and consists predominantly of an early Precambrian basement overlain by Cambrian to middle Ordovician strata typical of the North China Craton cover sequences (Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources of Ningxia Province, 1990).
2.2. North Qilian Suture Zone

The North Qilian Suture Zone is an elongate, NW-trending belt that lies between the Alax block (north) and the Qilian block (south) (Fig. 1). It is made up of Early Paleozoic subduction complexes including ophiolitic mélanges, high-pressure blueschists and eclogites, island–arc volcanic rocks with granite plutons, Silurian flysch formations, Devonian molasse, and Carboniferous to Triassic sedimentary cover sequences (Feng and He, 1995; Song, 1996). The ONQ is interpreted to have formed in an ‘oceanic type’ subduction zone in the Early Paleozoic.

The ophiolite, which is interpreted to represent the remnants of the ancient oceanic lithosphere, is well preserved in this suture zone. Geochemically, the basaltic rocks resemble present-day N- and E-type mid-ocean ridge basalt (MORB) (Feng and He, 1995; Song, 1996). Zircons obtained from a cumulate gabbro within the ophiolite suite gave U–Pb SHRIMP magmatic ages ranging from 533 to 568 Ma (554 ± 16 Ma) (Yang et al., 2002). Within the suture zone there are two sub-belts of high-pressure metamorphic rocks (Song, 1996), namely (1) a low-grade blueschist belt with a typical assemblage of glaucophane, lawsonite, pumpellyite, aragonite, and albite; and (2) a high-grade blueschist belt with an assemblage of garnet, phengite, glaucophane and epidote that locally encloses massive blocks of eclogite. The protoliths of the blueschists include greywacke, marble, chert and volcanic rocks. Glaucophane and phengite Ar–Ar isotope dating have yielded ages in the range 460–440 Ma (Liou and Graham, 1989; Wu et al., 1993; Zhang et al., 2010a,b).

2.3. Central Qilian block

The Central Qilian block, located between the North Qilian Suture Zone and the Qaidam–Kunlun–West Qinling block, is an imbricate thrust belt of Precambrian basement overlain by Paleozoic sedimentary sequences. The basement consists of felsic gneiss, marble, amphibolite and localized granulite. Wan et al. (2001) reported 910–
940 Ma single-zircon ages in granitic gneisses from different regions of the northern part of the Qilian block, which were interpreted as the ages of the formation of the protolith and are consistent with the ages of granitic gneisses from the North Qaidam UHP belt.

2.4. Qaidam–Kunlun–West Qinling block

The Qaidam block to the south is a Mesozoic intra-continental basin deposited on the Precambrian crystalline basement. Zhang et al. (2003) reported detrital zircon SHRIMP ages that mainly ranged from 1600 to 1800 Ma for a metamorphosed paragneiss obtained from the southern part of the Qaidam basin, and thus concluded that the Qaidam–Qilian Craton has an affinity with the Yangtze Craton.

The Eastern Kunlun–Qaidam Terrane is bounded by the Anyimaqen–Kunlun–Muztagh suture to the south and the southern Qilian suture to the north. In the south, surface geology mapping, tectonic studies (Xiao et al., 2009; Xu and Cui, 1996; Xu et al., 1994, 1997, 1999; Yang et al., 1998) and deep seismic sounding (Zhang et al., 2011a,b,c,d) suggest that the terrane is dominated by a broad Early Paleozoic arc, on which a younger and narrower Late Permian to Triassic arc was superposed. The northern part of the Eastern Kunlun–Qaidam terrane is mostly occupied by the Qaidam basin. Bedrock exposures are scattered along its northernmost edge, immediately to the south of the South Qilian suture.

3. Wide-angle seismic experiment and data analysis

3.1. Seismic data acquisition

The P-wave seismic data used in this study were acquired from a 430 km-long wide-angle reflection/refraction profile (Fig. 1) obtained in April and May of 2009. Two organizations were involved in acquiring the seismic data, namely the Institute of Geology and Geophysics of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, and the Geophysical Exploration Centre of the China Earthquake Administration. The holes were drilled and explosives were fired by the 6th Geophysical Abridge in the Huadong Petroleum Geology Bureau of the Sino-Petroleum Exploration Company (SINOPEC). The profile, with an azimuth near N45E, runs between Hezuo and Jingtai, which is at the southern margin of Alax block, Gansu Province (Fig. 1). A total of 11 shots were fired with an average shot spacing interval of 50 km. Two shots SP1 and SP2 (near Hezuo, Fig. 1) were fired south to the northern margin of the West Qinling Mountains (in the Qaidam–Kunlun–West Qinling block); and eight
shots (SP3, SP4, SP5, SP6, SP7, SP8, SP9 and SP10 in Fig. 1) were fired in the Qilian terrane. SP11 is located at the northern margin fault of the Qilian Mountains and the Tianjingshan fault belt. Each shot consisted of 4–8 holes drilled to a depth of about 20–35 m and loaded with a charge containing between 1200 and 2500 kg of explosive. A total of 200 portable three-component digital seismographs were used to acquire the seismic data along the long-offset profile. The station spacing was 2 km. The 3-minute-long seismic signals provided by digital instrumentation in the course of the experiment were initially sampled at a rate of 200 sps, and then band-pass filtered within the 1–10 Hz frequency band for P-waves. In order to save space, Fig. 2 shows the P wave seismic shot gathers, reduced by a velocity of 6.0 km/s.

3.2. Recognition of seismic events

In this wide-angle seismic experiment, the refractions above the crystalline basement of the crust (Pg-phase) and the reflections from the Moho discontinuity (PmP- or simply Pm-phase) can easily be correlated, and so in the following we mainly focus our attention on the descriptions of the Pg and Pm arrivals.

The remarkable feature of these 11 shot gathers is the very weak reflections between the Pg and Pm phases, which may suggest that the part of the crust between the crystalline basement and the Moho interface in the northeastern Tibetan plateau is a transparent layer with weak reflection within the layer or a strong ductile layer with a high attenuation ability to absorb seismic energy. The first Pg arrivals can be generally observed at offsets of up to 90 km, although this distance is clearly greater than 120 km in the case of the northern branch of the SP5 shot (Fig. 2.4a). From the SP5 shot, Pg arrivals with weak energy can be correlated with an offset of 90 km to the north, and with strong energy up to 130 km to the south. The Pg travel times are delayed after an offset of 60–70 km in the southern branch of the shot, but they vary abruptly in the north branch wherein the time-offset curve is a horizontal straight line. These characteristics demonstrate that the apparent P-wave velocity is nearly 6.0 km/s to the north, but much lower than 6.0 km/s to the south of the SP5 shot, where it is actually near to 4.2 km/s or even less.

The Pm-phase reflected from the Moho is clear in most shot gathers and can generally be correlated at offsets larger than 100 km (Fig. 2). The SP3 shot gather shows a distinctive change of Moho reflections at an offset of about 210 km, which suggests that the Moho topography or crustal velocity changes remarkably here, and the Moho deepens or crustal velocity decreases suddenly.
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northeastwards. From a rough estimate of the reflection position, we found that this abrupt change in the Moho corresponds to a Pg travel time delay in the SP5 shot gather, which may suggest that there is a crustal-scale structure or fault, very likely the Maxianshan fault in the Central Qilian block.

In addition to the Pg and Pm phases, some other intra-crustal events may be seen, such as P2, P3, P4 and P5. However, not all these intra-crustal phases can be observed in the same shot gathers. For example, on the most southwesterly shot gather (Fig. 2.1), P2 and P3 are both apparent, but no P4 or P5 event can be clearly recognized. For the purposes of seismic data interpretation, we modeled all these interfaces continuously along the profile. These typical seismic responses (disappearance of some intracrustal events on some gathers) are interpreted as strong lateral heterogeneity in the crust along the profile, which should result from a Caledonian orogeny with superposition of Mesozoic–Cenozoic deformation from the consecutive convergence between the Indian and Eurasian plates. Usually, the P2 phase can be traced with an offset range of 60–130 km in shot gathers, the P3 phase can be observed with an offset range of 60–190 km, the P4 phase can be observed with an offset range of 60–140 km, and the P5 with an offset range of 80–160 km (Fig. 2.1–9).

Although the reduced travel time curves show the influence of the shallow thick sedimentary layers, they hardly show any lateral velocity variations in the crust. Reflection events from a crustal interface (labeled P2 in Fig. 2) delineate the upper crust. Other reflection events from deeper interfaces above the crust–mantle discontinuity (labeled P3 and P4 in Fig. 2) delineate the middle/lower crust.

The subcrustal Pn-phase refracted from the topmost upper mantle cannot be recognized in all 11 shot gathers of this experiment, which may be common in the Tibetan plateau because there was no recognition of the Pn phase in any of the previous wide-angle seismic experiments, including those in Himalaya (Gao, 1990; Hirn, 1988; Makovsky et al., 1999; Teng, 1987; Xiong and Liu, 1997; Zhang and Klemperer, 2010), Lhasa (Hirn et al., 1987; Makovsky et al., 1999; Min and Wu, 1987; Zhang and Klemperer, 2005; Zhao et al., 2001); Qiangtang (Jiang et al., 2006; Zhao et al., 2001); Songpan–Ganzi and Kunlun (Jiang et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2008, 2011a,b,c,d). Therefore, in the following modeling interpretation of this seismic dataset, we choose a P wave velocity of 8.0 km/s for the topmost part of the mantle in reference to the Pn travel time tomography results (Liang and Song, 2006). The absence of any recognition of a Pn event may suggest a complicated Moho structure with strong interaction between the crust and the mantle, which is
considered using a 1st order strong crust/mantle discontinuity in the data interpretation.

4. Data inversion and reliability tests

4.1. Inversion and implementation

In order to model the seismic events described above, we began by constructing 1-D velocity–depth models using the travel times for each shot using a homogeneous and horizontal layering model. These 1-D models were then combined to provide a starting model for calculating a 2-D velocity model. The 2-D model was constructed using a combination of trial-and-error forward modeling of travel times and amplitudes (Cerveny et al., 1984), and inverse modeling of travel times for the shallow structure (Ammon and Vidale, 1993). For the travel time modeling, the forward problem was solved using classical ray-tracing techniques for the reflected phases (Cerveny et al., 1984), and an eikonal equation finite-difference solver for the first arrival of the refracted phases (Ammon and Vidale, 1993; Vidale, 1988). The partial derivatives of the calculated travel times with respect to the velocity and interface nodes were then derived. A damped least-squares inversion was then applied (Hole and Zelt, 1996) in order to obtain updates for the velocity and interface nodes, and the forward and inverse problems were then repeated until an acceptable convergence between the observed and calculated travel times was obtained, thus yielding the final velocity model of Fig. 3.

The travel time modeling was carried out using a top-to-bottom approach from the upper to the middle and lower crust. In all, 735 Pg, 278 P2P, 566 P3P, 386 P4P, 305 P5P and 639 PmP readings of travel time were used in the inversion. After eight or nine iterations, the residual travel time for each phase was 0.126 s for Pg, 0.156 s for P2P, 0.162 s for P3P, 0.164 s for P4P, 0.167 s for P5P and 0.192 s for PmP (Fig. 4a). In order to supplement and guide the travel time modeling, the amplitudes were calculated using dynamic ray tracing (Cerveny et al., 1984). Synthetical seismograms (the central panels in Fig. 2.1–9) can reproduce the seismic events of Pg and Pmp events and other intracrustal reflections. The predicted strong PmP reflection amplitudes could barely be observed in our recordings, which could imply a high attenuation of seismic waves in the lower crust throughout the profile.

The P-wave velocity model between Luqu and Alax basin was obtained by finite-difference calculation of travel times (Ammon and Vidale, 1993; Vidale, 1988) and depth-to-layer interface inversion from reflected phases (Hole and Zelt, 1996). The first step in

Fig. 2 (continued).
the procedure is (a) the modeling of the upper crust (~0–15 km depth) from velocities only, using an inversion method to predict travel times and ray paths from the selected Pg data. The second step is (b) the determination of the middle- and lower-crust velocities by inversion of PmP travel times. The third step consists in (c) depth-to-layer interface inversion from the Pm-phase and intra-crustal reflections P2, P3 and P4 (Hole and Zelt, 1996) in combination with interactive forward ray-tracing (Luetgert, 1988), but preserving the middle- and lower-crust velocities. After constraining the upper- and middle-crust velocities we interactivly derive the depth and morphology of the Moho and simultaneously refine the lower-crust velocities from the Pm-phase. Therefore, the implementation of the procedure needs both an interactive ray-tracing method (Luetgert, 1988) and a finite-difference depth-to-layer interface-inversion scheme (Hole and Zelt, 1996) to model the P2, P3, P4, and Pm arrivals and the Moho depth.

4.2. Assessment of the uncertainty of the interpretation of the crustal velocity model

Seismic velocity determinations generally yield lower errors than depth determinations. Generally, seismic velocities are accurate to within 3% or ±0.2 km/s, and boundary depths, including the Moho, are accurate to within 10% of the stated depth (e.g. Mooney and Braile, 1989).

The reliability of the final velocity model initially depends on the shot point spacing, number of installed receivers, density of seismic rays, and type and quality of identified arrivals. We assume that the model is well constrained by all these factors. Nevertheless, the illumination of the crust by ray coverage along the wide-angle seismic profile is shown in the lower parts of Fig. 2. Most of the crust, particularly its wide middle part, is sufficiently tested and therefore illuminated by seismic rays, except for the zones at the extreme north and south ends of the profile. The portions either not covered by seismic rays, or those insensitive to changes in the crustal parameters, are not taken into account in the interpretation. Fig. 4b shows the ray coverage of the whole crust by the reflection phases, indicating that the majority of the crust is illuminated rather well by this wide-angle seismic experiment.

The validity of our final crustal velocity model was demonstrated by the satisfactory match between the travel times of the predicted P waves (the dashed lines in Figs. 2 and 4a) and the visible phases. It is worth mentioning that the predicted strong PmP reflection amplitudes are barely observed in the recordings (lower panels of Fig. 2), which could imply a high attenuation of the viscous lower crust (due to partial
melting, fluids) throughout the profile, or short wavelength heterogeneities, anisotropy and vertical or subvertical structures, leading the Moho discontinuity to act not as a strong seismic wave velocity gradient belt, but as a weak one.

Another way of assessing the validity of a final crustal velocity model consists of performing a checker-board test to estimate the spatial resolution of the model, the procedure of which was described by Zhang et al. (2011d). Fig. 3 shows our final interpretation of the whole crustal P-wave velocity model. In order to get a sense of the lateral resolution of the preferred model, we firstly added alternating velocity perturbations of ±0.3 km/s to each velocity node and depth perturbations of ±1.0 km to each depth node of our initial crustal velocity model in the real data travel time inversion of the wide-angle seismic profile (Fig. 5a). We then computed synthetic travel time data from the perturbed model, and inverted these synthetic data to obtain a velocity perturbation pattern (Fig. 5b). We successfully recovered the checker-board pattern throughout the illuminated area of the crust, although the amplitudes of the perturbation were typically somewhat reduced. We infer a lateral resolution of about 10 km within the central part of the profile.

In order to make a further evaluation of our final crustal velocity model, we estimated the sensitivity of the objective function to a change of velocity at each node of the crust. In principle, the more sensitive (or greater) the objective function to the velocity perturbation at one specific node, the more reliable the velocity prediction at that node. Fig. 5c shows the variation of the objective function (Zhang et al., 2011c) in the travel time inversion to a variation in P-wave velocity of 0.3 km/s at each node in our final crustal velocity model. As expected, the shallow structure is better resolved than the deep structure, though acceptable velocities are seen throughout the crust (Fig. 5c).

5. Crustal velocity model along the Jingtai–Hezuo profile

The crust beneath the Jingtai–Hezuo profile can be divided into several layers, including a sedimentary layer and a consolidated crust. The consolidated crust can be subdivided into an upper and lower crust. The shallow velocity structure reveals the geometry of the sedimentary basins or depressions along our profile. Strong lateral variations in sedimentary thickness and composition may be
inferred from the variations in P-wave velocity in the range 3.8–5.9 km/s. We infer that the velocities of < 4.5 km/s (which are about 1 km thick at most in our profile) represent the Cenozoic fill of the intra-montane Linxia basin (e.g., Fang et al., 2005) at the extreme north end of the profile, and the scattered Neogene/Quaternary deposits elsewhere. The velocities of < 6.2 km/s are taken to represent the bottom of the upper part of the consolidated crust, and the remaining deeper section is the lower part of the consolidated crust. In the following, we discuss the crustal velocity model beneath several tectonic units along the profile: Qaidam–Eastern Kunlun–West Qinling terrane, Central Qilian Orogenic belt, Northern Qilian HP metamorphic belt and Alax block.

5.1. Qaidam–Eastern Kunlun–West Qinling domain (QDM–EKL–WQL)

The segment from the south end to about 120 km along the profile belongs tectonically to the Qaidam–Eastern Kunlun–West Qinling terrane. From our crustal velocity model, we observe that the sedimentary layer can be divided into southern and northern parts. For the southern part, the sediment thickness is about 2–4 km with a P-wave velocity < 5.8 km/s. This is consistent with the widespread deposition of Middle–Upper Carboniferous shallow marine carbonate rocks, which have no volcanic clasts interrupting the Early Paleozoic volcanic activity and associated magmatism in the central and northern parts of the East Kunlun–Qaidam block. The northern part of the Eastern Kunlun–Qaidam terrane is mostly occupied by the Qaidam basin. Bedrock exposures are scattered along its northernmost edge, immediately to the south of the South Qilian suture. In this region, Ordovician shallow marine strata are interbedded with andesites and volcanic tuffs, possibly representing a back arc setting behind the south-facing Ordovician Kunlun arc, along the southern edge of the Eastern Kunlun–Qaidam terrane. The Kunlun continental arc is represented by its crustal P-wave velocity–depth relationship from the Guide-Moba profile (Zhang et al., 2011a,b,c,d).

5.2. Central Qilian orogenic belt (CQ)

The segment from 120 to 300 km on the profile (Fig. 4) belongs to the Central Qilian orogenic belt (CQ). With the boundary of Maxianshan fault, we observe the differences in structure between south and north. Beneath the southern part, the sedimentary layer thickness is just 1 km with P-wave velocity < 5.0 km/s, underlain by a high velocity anomaly of Vp = 6.0–6.2 km/s, which corresponds
with the Linxia basin, located 100 km southwest of the city of Lanzhou. The Linxia basin is at the northeastern edge of the Tibetan plateau and on the western margin of the Loess Plateau. To the north lie the deserts of west/central China and Inner Mongolia (Fig. 1). The basin is 200 km long and 75 km wide at a current elevation of 2–2.6 km. The oldest documented sedimentary rocks in the basin are about 29 Ma old and the sedimentary package is more than 1 km thick. The basin fill thins and pinches out at the Maxianshan to the northeast (Fang, 1995; Fang et al., 2003). Southwest of the Linxia basin, the northeastern margin of the Tibetan plateau is delineated by the West Qinling Mountains, which are made up of Devonian through Permian metasedimentary terrestrial and marine deposits and plutons of Early Paleozoic–Early Mesozoic age (Garzione et al., 2005 and references therein). On the northern edge of the Linxia basin, the Maxianshan is underlain by Jurassic to Lower Cretaceous terrestrial deposits (Gansu Geologic Bureau, 1989). The basin is elongate parallel to the fold thrust belt on the northeastern edge of the Tibetan plateau. Subsidence history and stratigraphic studies of three measured sections show that the Linxia basin was formed under flexural loading during the thickening of the northeastern margin of the Tibetan plateau between about 29 and 6 Ma. Between about 8 and 6 Ma the basin was incorporated into the deformation front of the Tibetan plateau (Fang et al., 2003).

Beneath the Central Qilian orogenic block, the P-wave velocity ranges from low to 6.1–6.2 km/s in the upper crust, and is less than 6.5 km/s in the lower crust (Fig. 3). The average crustal P-wave velocity between the 5.8 km/s isorectile and the Moho is about 6.08–6.27 km/s, much lower than the global average for the continent of 6.45 km/s (Christensen and Mooney, 1995); the average for mainland China is 6.3 km/s (Li and Mooney, 1998; Teng et al., in press; Zhang et al., 2011a,b,c,d). These probably reflect the special composition of the crust.

5.3. Northern Qilian orogenic belt (NQ)

The segment at 300–350 km on the profile (Fig. 3) belongs to the Northern Qilian block (NQ). It is a NW-SE trending Caledonian orogenic belt as part of an elongate, NW-trending belt that lies between the Alax block to the north and the Qilian block to the south. In our crustal P-wave velocity model we observe that there are 3–4 km thick sediments underlying the crystalline basement with a P-wave velocity of 5.8–6.0 km/s. The continuity of the 2–3-km layer
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with P-wave velocities of 5.8 and 5.9 km/s beneath the Alax, the Northern Qilian block, and even most part of the Central Qilian orogenic block (Fig. 3), may provide support for the theory that the Qilian block lies at the western margin of North China craton (Yin et al., 2002). With the boundary at 375 km, the sedimentary layer of the Northern Qilian HP metamorphic belt can be divided into southern and the northern sub-belts. The sediments are a little thicker beneath the southern than the northern sub-belt, which may correspond to a southern high-grade blueschist belt and a northern low grade blueschist belt, as seen from surface geology mapping (Song et al., 2006; Meng and Zhang, 2000; Zhang et al., 2010a,b).

Beneath the Northern Qilian block, we observe that the P-wave velocity increases from about 6.2 km/s at about 15–20 km deep, to 6.6–6.7 km/s in the lower crust. There is an approximately 5-km-thick lower velocity layer (Vp=6.0 km/s) interbedded in this layer, with a P-wave velocity of 6.1–6.2 km/s. The average crustal P-wave velocity between the 5.8 km/s isoline and Moho is about 6.0–6.1 km/s, much lower than the global average for the continent of 6.45 km/s (Christensen and Mooney, 1995); the average for mainland China is 6.3 km/s (Li and Mooney, 1998; Teng et al., in press; Zhang et al., 2012a,b).

5.4. Alax block

Given the limitations of the seismic observation system, the lower crustal structure beneath the Alax block is unresolved because it lacks PmP refection data. Here, we introduce data only for the upper crust, imaged using a seismic dataset obtained from this wide-angle seismic experiment. We observe that above the 2–3-km-thick layer with a P-wave velocity of 5.8–5.9 km/s, there are 3–4 km-thick sediments beneath the Alax depression with a P-wave velocity of about 5.0 km/s (Fig. 3). This observation should reflect the predominance of an early Precambrian basement overlain by Cambrian to middle Ordovician strata typical of the North China Craton cover sequences.

6. Discussion

6.1. Comparison with previous studies

The structures of the crust and upper mantle in different segments of the northeastern Tibetan plateau have, since the 1980s, been studied by means of a range of controlled source seismic experiments (Zhang et al., 2011a,b). The active source seismic profiles have included the Moba–Guide wide-angle seismic profile (Zhang et al., 2011c; L1 in Fig. 1), the Markang–Wuwei seismic profile (Jia et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2008; L2 in Fig. 1); our Jingtai–Hezuo profile (this study; L3 in Fig. 1) and the 1000 km-long Darlag–Lanzhou–Jingbong refection profile (Liu et al., 2006; L4 in Fig. 1).

We choose several 1D crustal columns in the corresponding profiles to discuss the west–east variation of the crustal structures in different tectonic units (Fig. 6), and then make the corresponding comparisons of the Vp–depth curves. All four profiles pass through the QDM–EKL–WQL terrane (Fig. 6), and all profiles except L1 pass through other tectonic blocks along the profiles, such as the Central Qilian block, the north Qilian block, and the Alax block (Fig. 1).

Panels A and B in Fig. 6 show our comparisons of Vp–depth between west and east (among profiles L1, L2, L3 and L4 in panels A and B) and also between south and north (panels A and B) in the Qaidam–Kunlun–West Qinling terrane. From panel A in Fig. 7, we observe that the Moho depth is about 52 km beneath profile L4, consistent with the L3 profile, but 5–8 km shallower than beneath the L1 and L4 profiles. This difference in Moho depth (or crustal thickness) should be attributed to the west–east variations in crustal thickness. By taking the P2 reflector as the boundary between the upper and the lower crust, we observe that the lower crust is about 5–8 km thicker beneath the west (L1, L4 profiles) than beneath the east (L2, and L3 profile). By comparing panels A and B in Fig. 6, we observe that this west–east variation decreases from the south to the north.

Profiles L2, L3 and L4 pass through the Central Qilian block, and we choose four columns C, D, E and F in this terrane (the positions of C, D, E, F can be seen in Fig. 1) to discuss the west–east and south–north variation in crustal structure by comparing their Vp–depth curves. From panels C, D, E, and F in Fig. 6, we can evaluate (1) the west–east variation in the crust through the comparisons of Vp–depth among profiles L1, L2 and L3 in each column and (2) the north–south variation in the crust beneath the Central Qilian terrane by comparing the four panels. The Vp–depth curves in the four panels of Fig. 6 show that there is an important boundary of the Maxianshan fault as a crustal scale fault that separates the southern and northern parts of the Central Qilian block in terms of different trends in the west–east variation of crustal thickness. South of the fault, we...
observe that lower crust thickening is more obvious beneath the east than the west (beneath columns C, D); north of the fault (columns E and F) lower crustal thickening increases from west to east. While we do not understand the significance of this fault, we speculate that the fault may be related to the transition of the oceanic crust (southward) subduction, and to the dolamination of the eclogitized lower crust beneath the south of the fault (with no intermediate or mafic composition of the lower crust) (Fliedner et al., 2000).

There are two active source seismic profiles (L3 and L4) passing through the Northern Qilian block (Fig. 1), and we make comparisons of the 1D crustal structure between these profiles in column F (Fig. 6). Panel F in Fig. 6 shows comparisons of the Vp–depth among profiles L3 and L4 in the North Qilian terrane, which suggests that lower crustal thickening is more obvious beneath the west (L3) than the east (L4) of the terrane.

The differences in lower crustal thickening or thinning in both the south–north and the west–east directions may reflect the difference in crustal deformation to accommodate the convergence and shortening between the North China craton and the Kunlun block.

6.2. Crustal properties of tectonic units sampled by the profile

The seismic velocities reveal differences in crustal structure and composition between the Qaidam–East Kunlun–West Qinling block, the Central and the North Qilian blocks (Figs. 3 and 6). Usually, the P-wave velocity at the crystalline basement varies between different tectonic blocks. Considering this uncertainty, we now use trial three velocities of 5.7, 5.8 and 5.9 km/s to determine the crystalline basement, and we then estimate the thickness of the sediment (upper panel Fig. 7a) and consolidated crust (Fig. 7b) and the Moho (Fig. 7b). Further, we calculate the corresponding average P-wave speed of the sediment (upper panel Fig. 8a) and consolidated crust (Fig. 8b).

We observe that the combined thickness and average P-wave velocity of the sediment layer and consolidated crust (in Figs. 7 and 8) vary considerably among these three blocks. This variability suggests that the three blocks may have independent origins and deformation histories. All curves along the profile, including the crystalline basement depth (Fig. 7a), consolidated crustal thickness (Fig. 7b), and the corresponding average seismic velocities (Fig. 8a, b) change abruptly at the northern margin fault of the Qilian Mountains between the Qaidam–East Kunlun–West Qinling block and the Central Qilian block, the Maxianshan fault in the Central Qilian block, and the North Qilian fault that lies between the Central and North Qilian blocks. The northern margin fault of the Qilian Mountains between the Qaidam–East Kunlun–West Qinling block and the Central Qilian block can be recognized to be 0.4 km/s higher beneath the Central–East Kunlun–West Qinling block than beneath the Central Qilian block. The Maxianshan fault separates in the Central Qilian block into a southern part, with clear lateral variation in thickness and velocity, and a northern part with a relatively uniform crustal structure. The North Qilian fault on the four curves corresponds to the abrupt transition between the Central and the North Qilian blocks.

The tectonic blocks in the study area have experienced long-term tectonic events, and their crustal structures reflect complicated imprints on Paleozoic collision and Cenozoic deformation from the collision between Indian and Eurasian plates. Before we determine the particular crustal properties, we make comparisons between the global average crustal velocity–depth curve by compiling interpretational results from wide-angle seismic profiles in different parts of the World (Christensen and Mooney, 1995) and tectonic blocks in this study, and provide a similar discussion of depth curves for particular tectonic blocks and compare these with other parts of the continental crust and the Sierra Nevada (Fliedner et al., 2000).

In the Qaidam–Kunlun–West Qinling block and Northern Qilian blocks the P-wave velocity gradient (new curve and existing curves A, C and D, Fig. 9a, b) agrees well with that of the average continental crust (Christensen and Mooney, 1995), but the absolute velocity is lower than the continental average by 0.5 km/s.

In the Central Qilian orogenic belt block, the P-wave velocity gradient (new curve and existing curves A, C and D, Fig. 9c) agrees well with that of the crust beneath the Sierra Nevada (Fliedner et al., 2000). The velocity...
structure beneath the Central Qilian block seems to be more or less uniform throughout the whole crust, which shows a seismic P-wave velocity distribution deviating not more than 0.2 km/s from a background of 6.0 km/s. This unusual lower crustal velocity gradient points to a more felsic composition of the lower crust than normal for the Central Qilian block, which can be inferred by comparing with the global average (Christensen and Mooney, 1995; Fliedner et al., 2000; Zhang et al., 2011a,b,c,d).

Seismic P-wave velocities are low beneath the Qaidam–Kunlun–West Qinling block and Northern Qilian blocks, and also the Central Qilian orogenic belt block, which may suggest a more felsic continental crust and a more felsic continental arc, respectively. There are also other alternative explanations of these low velocities beneath our profile, such as that the crust is 500 °C above typical continental geotherms, which decreases the seismic velocities (Christensen, 1979). If this is the case, then the crustal Vp/Vs ratios should be much higher than 1.73 because the Vs values will be much lower (Zhang et al., 2009, 2010a,b), which is not consistent with the lower crustal Vp/Vs ratios obtained from areal H–K scanning results (Tian and Zhang, in press) in northeastern Tibet, where a very low Vp/Vs ratio of 1.63 is observed beneath the interior of the Northeastern Tibetan plateau (NETP), in comparison with a higher Vp/Vs ratio of about 1.88 beneath the NETP margins (deformation-resistant areas).

6.3. Constraints on Caledonian orogeny and its activation

6.3.1. Caledonian subduction- or accretion-type orogeny?
In the northern margin of the Tibetan plateau, the Qilian early Paleozoic orogenic belt (or the Qilian–Altyn early Paleozoic orogenic belt, since previous research shows that the Altyn orogenic belt is at the western extent of the Qilian orogenic belt, refer to the section on the geological setting for details) is considered to be an important component of the Tethyan orogenic system. In contrast to the central Asian orogenic belt to the north, which has a long history of accretion (Sengör and Burtman, 1993; Sengör and Okay, 1992; Windley et al., 2007), the Tethyan orogenic system is considered to be the result of the collision of different continental blocks from northern Gondwana (Argand, 1924; Dewey et al., 1988; Sengör, 1987; Yin and Harrison, 2000). However, the Qilian orogenic belt has also been described as an accretionary type (Gehrels et al., 2003a,b; Sengör and Natal'in, 1996; Sengör and Okay, 1992; Xiao et al., 2009).

![Fig. 5. Assessment of final constructed crustal P-wave velocity model of Fig. 3. To run a Check-board test, (a) We added a velocity perturbation of ±0.3 km/s to each velocity node and a depth perturbation of ±1.0 km to each reflector node in the initial velocity model in order to obtain synthetic travel time data before inversion. Our inversion results (b) show that around the central part of the profile the HVA and LVA are alternately distributed and resemble the checkboard model in (a). This could provide a validation of our final crustal velocity model within the central part area of the profile; (c) Objective function variation in the travel time inversion (Zelt and Smith, 1992) to a P-wave velocity perturbation of 0.3 km/s at each node in the background of our final crustal velocity model. From this, it may be seen that the shallow velocity distribution is more sensitive than the deeper velocity distribution in the travel time inversion of the crustal structure, which implies that the shallow structure and the central portion of crustal structure are more reliable.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2013.02.040)
The Qilian Caledonian orogenic belt is the product of the convergence and collision orogeny of the Alax, Qilian and Qaidam terranes during the Early Paleozoic (Xu et al., 2001). The discovery of the 600-km-long North Qaidam subduction–collision complex zone (Xu et al., 2001), composed of ophiolite, an ultra high pressure metamorphic (UHPM) belt (containing coesite) (Yang et al., 1999, 2000a,b), and garnet peridotite (Wang and Chen, 1987; Yang et al., 1994) between the Qilian terrane and the Qaidam terrane, and an integrated study of the tectonic regime of the Caledonian plate, provide a scientific basis for understanding the mechanism of formation and exhumation of the North Qaidam UHPM belt. Numerous models have been proposed to explain the Qilian Caledonian orogenic belt, such as the model of the transition from oceanic subduction to continental subduction (Song, 1996; Song et al., 2002, 2006, 2007, 2009; Xu and Cui, 1996; Xu et al., 1994, 1997, 1999; Yang et al., 1998, 2002) and the multi-accretionary model. In the first model, Yang et al. (1998) proposed a paired subduction model; Song et al. (2002, 2009) later proposed a transition model from oceanic to continental subduction. Yin et al. (2007a,b) proposed a diapirism flow model to explain the return of UHPM rocks. There is still some disagreement about the subduction polarity, the collision time, and other aspects. Nevertheless, these models can be summarized in two components: (1) northward deep subduction of the oceanic crust and the continental crust, and formation of the UHPM belt around 500–470 Ma; and (2) Caledonian collision–orogeny of the S. Qilian and exhumation of the UHPM belt around 480–440 Ma. All these arguments are considered in the review by Xiao et al. (2009). Our major problem in evaluating the foregoing models is to verify the existence of oceanic crust beneath the central and the Northern Qilian blocks. From our crustal velocity model, the crustal properties beneath the central and the Northern Qilian blocks, the crust beneath the central Qilian block shares similar Vp–depth curve characteristics with the Sierra Nevada crust, which has an anomalously lower velocity value in the lower crust.

Our evaluation of the crustal properties of the Central Qilian block from crustal Vp–depth curves (Fig. 9c) demonstrates how the Central Qilian crust is similar to the crust beneath the Sierra Nevada (Fliedner et al., 2000), but has a P-wave velocity about 0.5 km/s lower than the Cenozoic or even the Mesozoic arc. This may suggest that the crust must have looked very different from the present Central Qilian crust if it was a typical continental arc, because the geothermal activity should have been much greater at that time. The 1D crustal column of an average continental arc consists of an upper and middle crust with a thickness of 22 km and a lower crust with a thickness of 16 km and a velocity of 6.8–7.0 km/s (Fliedner et al., 2000; Holbrook et al., 1992), similar to a 7.0–7.5 km/s in the exposed arc section in Pakistan (Miller and Christensen, 1994). The missing lower crust in our crustal velocity model (Fig. 3) should have a mafic composition with a high velocity, and would have been present during arc formation. We speculate that there was southward subduction of the oceanic crust beneath the Central Qilian block (Maxianshan fault), eclogitized with crustal thickening (to >70 km thick with the assumption of similar proportions of the upper/middle/lower crust) during the Caledonian orogeny from oceanic crust subduction. Our inferences may be consistent with a material record of a typical oceanic-type subduction–zone in the early Paleozoic (Wu et al., 1993; Xiao et al., 1978), such as ophiolite suites with zircon SHRIMP U–Pb ages of 495–560 Ma (Shi et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2002), calc-alkaline volcanic and I-type granitic rocks (464 ± −8 Ma, Wu et al., 2004), and subduction–zone complexes including HP metamorphic rocks and mélange. Recent studies emphasize the possibility of an oceanic suture zone during the early Paleozoic (440–560 Ma) between the North China craton (NCC) and the Qilian–Qaidam micro-continent, a fragment of the Rodinia supercontinent (Song et al., 2006; Wan et al., 2001).

Our observation that the P-wave velocity ranges from low to 6.1–6.2 km/s beneath the Central Qilian block, with similar Vp–depth curves as the Sierra Nevada crust (Fliedner et al., 2000), cannot exclude the possibility that the Caledonian orogeny beneath the Qilian is accretionary in nature. Fig. 9d shows the comparison of the Vp–depth curve between the Central Qilian block and the accretionary crust (Christensen and Mooney, 1995). The two curves exhibit very similar characteristics from the upper to the lower crust with velocities 0.3–0.5 km/s lower in the lower crust. Another important issue in the possibility of accretion-type orogeny is the concurring appearances of HP/LT metamorphism in the Northern Qilian block (the North Qilian HP metamorphic belt records cold oceanic lithosphere subduction with a low geothermal gradient of 6–7 °C/km) in the early Paleozoic and high temperature...
(HT) metamorphism in the Central Qilian (anomalously lower velocity in the lower crust), which meets the requirement for accretionary orogeny (Xiao et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2012a,b).

6.3.2. Cenozoic activation (the growth of the plateau) from the collision between the Indian and Eurasian plates

With such a vast amount of data in terms of surface mapping, tectonic, and petrological studies, it is accepted that the HP/LT and UHP metamorphic belts at the northern and southern side of Qilian block are an integral part of the early Paleozoic Qilian orogenic system in northern Tibet (Gehrels et al., 2003a,b; Hsü et al., 1995; Sengör and Natal'in, 1996; Sobel et al., 2001; Yin and Nie, 1993; Yin et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 1984) (Fig. 2). Thanks to the Cenozoic Indo-Asian collision and Mesozoic intracontinental deformation, the original configuration of the Paleozoic Qilian orogen has been significantly modified (Chen et al., 2004; Gehrels et al., 2003a,b; Yin and Harrison, 2000). As a result, understanding the evolution of the early Paleozoic Qilian orogen requires a detailed knowledge of the Cenozoic and Mesozoic deformation history and the effects of these on Paleozoic structures. GPS measurements across northeastern Tibet show that this region is moving NE-NNE at velocities of 19–15 mm/yr relative to the South China block (Gan et al., 2007). However, localities in the foreland, north of the Altyn Tagh fault and Qilian Shan, display much lower and more northerly velocities of 4–10 mm/yr relative to South China (Gan et al., 2007), indicating that the northeastern part of the plateau is moving eastwards relative to the northern foreland. This NE-SW shortening or crustal thickening process in northeastern Tibet, or northward outgrowth of the plateau, was realized from successive accretion of thickening crustal wedges above decollements rooting into south-dipping, lithospheric–mantle subduction zones, initiated along older sutures (Meyer et al., 1998; Tapponnier et al., 2001).

The expansion or growth of the Tibetan plateau is closely related to the strike-slip deformation that dominates the northern part of the Tibetan plateau (Tapponnier et al., 1990, 2001). It has been suggested that left slip at the eastern termination of the Altyn Tagh fault is absorbed by northeast-directed shortening, accommodating growth of the northeastern part of the plateau (Meyer et al., 1998), whereas transpressional deformation is occurring in the Liupan Shan at a southward bend in the east-southeast striking Haiyuan fault. Based on the age of magmatism, deformation, and the seismic structure of the lithosphere at significant tectonic boundaries in the plateau, it has been suggested that deformation took place from south to north, causing stepwise uplift of the Tibetan plateau (Tapponnier et al., 2001). North of the Qaidam–Kunlun–West Qinling block, most of the ESE motion of the Qilian crustal slivers ought to be transferred to the Haiyuan fault, which meets the SE extremities of the Qilian ranges (Meyer et al., 1998). It has been speculated that crustal shortening of 100–200 km above a decollement underlying much of the region requires concurrent Late-Cenozoic shortening and foundering of the lithospheric mantle of that region south of the Kunlun range (Meyer et al., 1998; Tapponnier et al., 2001). From our crustal velocity model (Fig. 3), we observe that there is a 5-km-thick lower velocity layer between the upper and lower crust beneath the northern segment of the profile. This lower velocity layer, deepening from north to south, is probably a seismic signature of the intracrustal decollement layer, even though we could exclude decoupling between the crust and mantle as proposed by Meyer et al. (1998) and Tapponnier et al. (2001). The Tibetan plateau is expanding through the transfer to the northeast of Tibet along the intracrustal decollement. Fig. 3 illustrates that there is an approximately 5-km-thick lower velocity layer (LVL) at the bottom of the upper crust, northwards to the middle of the central Qilian block. We infer that this LVL plays an important role as an intracrustal decollement to accommodate the shortening between the North China craton and the Kunlun terrane and to expand the plateau. It is worth stressing that the concurrent Late-Cenozoic shortening and foundering of the lithospheric mantle of that region south of Kunlun range (Meyer et al., 1998; Tapponnier et al., 2001) cannot be confirmed by the results of our experiments. Recent studies of receiver functions in northeastern Tibet (Zhang et al., 2012b) demonstrate the interesting lateral variation in lithospheric thickness, where this reduces from about 120 to 140 km beneath the QDM–EKL–WQL terrane, 140–

![Fig. 7. Laterally varying thicknesses of the sediment layer (a) and variable depth of the consolidated crust (b) along the Jingtai–Hezuo seismic profile.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2013.02.040)
150 km beneath the central and the north Qilian block, to about 200 km beneath Alax block (the western North China craton). This asymmetry between the northeastward thinning of crust and lithospheric thickening may provide some indicators for the understanding the possible concurrent Late-Cenozoic shortening and foundering of the lithospheric mantle.

7. Concluding remarks

From the interpretation of a 420-km-long wide-angle seismic profiling across the northeastern Tibetan plateau, we propose the following preliminary conclusions:

(1) Three tectonic blocks lie across the Jingtai–Hezuo profile, namely the Qaidam–Kunlun–West Qinling terrane, the central and the Northern Qilian blocks. These can be distinguished from their seismic properties, such as the average P-wave velocities for the sedimentary layer and consolidated crust. The crust appears to be thinning in a stepwise fashion, in contrast to lithospheric thickening from the Qaidam–Kunlun–West Qinling terrane to the Northern Qilian block.

(2) The Qaidam–Kunlun–West Qinling is characterized by a low vertical velocity gradient and low velocities (compared to the average for the continental crust, though higher than adjacent fluvial accumulations), and is inferred to be a continental arc, juxtaposed against the Central Qilian block by the northern margin fault of the West Qinling mountains.

(3) Our crustal velocity model suggests that the crust of the Qaidam–Kunlun–West Qinling terrane is continental, as demonstrated by tectono-stratigraphic characteristics of continent crustal remnants in the central-western sector of the North Qilian Orogeny (Zuo et al., 2002). The crust beneath the Central Qilian block has similar velocity–depth curves to the Sierra Nevada, and suggests that the oceanic crust was ecologized and delaminated, and the continental sediments subducted into the lower crust with the oceanic crust, including partial melting.

(4) The lower velocity layer beneath the central and the Northern Qilian blocks, which shallows from south to north, plays a role as an intracrustal decollement, which suggests the decoupling deformation between the upper and lower crust. The underthrust above the decollement plays a role in the expansion of the plateau, while the lower crustal flow may also contribute to compensate the Late-Cenozoic shortening as supported by the NW predominant direction of fast-splitting shear wave polarization (Zhang et al., 2012a,b).
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Fig. 9. (a) Comparison between velocity-depth functions for Qaidam–East Kunlun–West Qining block (transects A and B, Figs. 1 and 6) and a hypothetical average global continent (Christensen and Mooney, 1995); (b) as (a) for the North Qilian (NQ) block (transect C, Figs. 1 and 6); (c) as (a) for the Central Qilian (CQ) block (transects C, D, E and F, Figs. 1 and 6) and the continental arc of the southern Sierra Nevada (Fliedner et al., 2000); (d) as (a) for the Central Qilian (CQ) block (transects C, D, E and F, Figs. 1 and 6) and the accretionary model of British Columbia, poorly constrained by P-wave velocities at the uppermost mantle (Christensen and Mooney, 1995). Regarding our wide-angle seismic experiment studied, the velocity–depth curves for the upper mantle are extracted from Pn travel time tomography results (Li and Song, 2006) and plotted using dashed lines.
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