

The Role of Fault Zone Drilling

Mark D. Zoback
Dept. of Geophysics
Stanford University

Stephen Hickman and William Ellsworth
U.S. Geological Survey
Menlo Park, CA

INTRODUCTION – WHY DRILL TO STUDY EARTHQUAKES?

The objective of fault zone drilling projects is to directly study the physical and chemical processes that control deformation and earthquake generation within active fault zones. An enormous amount of field, laboratory and theoretical work has been directed toward the mechanical and hydrological behavior of faults over the past several decades. Nonetheless, it is currently impossible to differentiate between—or even adequately constrain—the numerous conceptual models of active faults proposed over the years. For this reason, the Earth science community is left in the untenable position of having no generally accepted paradigm for the mechanical behavior of faults at depth. One of the primary causes for this dilemma is the difficulty of either directly observing or inferring physical properties and deformation mechanisms along faults at depth, as well as the need to observe directly key parameters such as the state of stress acting on faults at depth, pore fluid pressure (and its possible variation in space and time) and processes associated with earthquake nucleation and rupture. Today, we know very little about the composition of active faults at depth, their constitutive properties, the state of in-situ stress or pore pressure within fault zones, the origin of fault zone pore fluids, or the nature and significance of time-dependent fault zone processes.

Most of what we now know about the structure, composition and deformation mechanisms of crustal faults has been learned from geological investigations of exhumed faults, particularly where erosion has exposed previously deeply buried fault rocks. These field observations have proven particularly useful for several reasons. First, field observations of exhumed faults allow broad coverage with respect to variations in

faulting style (*e.g.*, comparing strike slip, normal and reverse faults), fault movement history and local geology. Secondly, where sufficient surface outcrops exist, field observations can readily address issues related to geometrical complexity and spatial heterogeneity in physical properties and fluid composition (*e.g.*, Kerrich et al., 1984; Parry, 1994; Evans and Chester, 1995).

Constraints on the mechanical state and physical properties of active fault zones (*e.g.*, fluid pressure, stress and permeability) from surface observations are indirect and subject to alternate interpretations. Stress heterogeneities induced by fault slip can lead to considerable uncertainties in inferring past fluid pressures from observations of vein geometry in outcrop. In all of these investigations, a complex history of uplift and denudation may have severely altered evidence of deformation mechanisms, fault zone mineralogy and fluid composition operative during fault slip. This problem is especially acute for solution-transport-deformation mechanisms (*e.g.*, pressure solution and crack healing/sealing) and other low-activation-energy processes, as the deformation microstructures formed at depth are easily overprinted by ongoing deformation as the fault rocks are brought to the surface. Thus, the importance of fluids in earthquake generation and rupture is impossible to assess with any degree of certainty based solely on studies of exhumed fault rocks.

Fault zone drilling projects allow us to address a number of first-order questions related to fault mechanics:

- *What are the mineralogy, deformation mechanisms and constitutive properties of fault gouge? Why do some faults creep? What are the strength and frictional properties of recovered fault rocks at realistic in-situ conditions of stress, fluid pressure, temperature, strain rate and pore fluid chemistry? What determines the depth of the shallow seismic-to-aseismic transition? What do mineralogical, geochemical and microstructural analyses reveal about the nature and extent of water-rock interaction?*
- *What is the fluid pressure and permeability within and adjacent to fault zones? Are there superhydrostatic fluid pressures within some fault zones and through what mechanisms are these pressures generated and/or maintained? How does fluid pressure vary during deformation and episodic fault slip (creep and*

earthquakes)? Do fluid pressure seals exist within or adjacent to fault zones and at what scales?

- *What are the composition and origin of fault-zone fluids and gasses?* Are these fluids of meteoric, metamorphic or mantle origin (or combinations of the three)? Is fluid chemistry relatively homogeneous, indicating pervasive fluid flow and mixing, or heterogeneous, indicating channelized flow and/or fluid compartmentalization?
- *How do stress orientations and magnitudes vary across fault zones?* Are principal stress directions and magnitudes different within the deforming core of weak fault zones than in the adjacent (stronger) country rock, as predicted by some theoretical models? How does fault strength measured in the near field compare with depth-averaged strengths inferred from heat flow and regional stress directions? What is the nature and origin of stress heterogeneity near active faults?
- *How do earthquakes nucleate?* Does seismic slip begin suddenly or do earthquakes begin slowly with accelerating fault slip? Do the size and duration of this precursory slip episode, if it occurs, scale with the magnitude of the eventual earthquake? Are there other precursors to an impending earthquake, such as changes in pore pressure, fluid flow, crustal strain or electromagnetic field?
- *How do earthquake ruptures propagate?* Do earthquake ruptures propagate as a uniformly expanding crack or as a *slip pulse*? What is the effective (dynamic) stress during seismic faulting? How important are processes such as shear heating, transient increases in fluid pressure, and fault-normal opening modes in lowering the dynamic frictional resistance to rupture propagation?
- *How do earthquake source parameters scale with magnitude and depth?* What is the minimum size earthquake that occurs on faults? How is long-term energy release rate partitioned between creep dissipation, seismic radiation, dynamic frictional resistance and grain size reduction (*i.e.*, by integrating fault zone monitoring with laboratory observations on core)?
- *What are the physical properties of fault-zone materials and country rock (seismic velocities, electrical resistivity, density, porosity)?* How do physical

- properties from core samples and downhole measurements compare with properties inferred from surface geophysical observations? What are the dilational, thermoelastic and fluid-transport properties of fault and country rocks and how might they interact to promote either slip stabilization or transient overpressurization during faulting?
- *What processes control the localization of slip and strain?* Are fault surfaces defined by background microearthquakes and creep the same? Would active slip surfaces be recognizable through core analysis and downhole measurements in the absence of seismicity and/or creep?

FLUIDS AND FAULTING

Among the many compelling reasons for drilling into active faults is the possibility to study the role of fluids, fluid pressure and fluid flow in earthquake processes. A long-standing (and still-growing) body of evidence suggests that fluids are intimately linked to a variety of faulting processes (see review by Hickman et al., 1995). These include the long-term structural and compositional evolution of fault zones; fault creep; and the nucleation, propagation, arrest and recurrence of earthquake ruptures.

The concept that high fluid pressures and the localization of deformation are often linked is widely accepted in the structural geology literature (*e.g.*, Hubbert and Ruby, 1959; Fyfe et al., 1978), and has been reinforced by studies of active accretionary prisms in subduction complexes and their fossil equivalents (*e.g.*, Dahlen, 1990; Fisher, 1996; Safer and Bekins, 2006). Better understanding of the role of fluids in faulting in accretionary prisms is one goal behind the plan to drill in the Nankai subduction zone (the NanTroSeize project), as discussed below. A point to note is that there is good evidence that seismic rupturing, in at least some instances (*e.g.*, the Western Taiwan fold and thrust belt and the western margin of the Great Valley adjacent to the San Andreas fault), is occurring in fluid-overpressured crust (Davis et al., 1983; Sibson, 1990). The Taiwan Chelungpu-fault Drilling Project (TCDP), discussed below, is related to drilling into the fault responsible for the M 7.7 Chi-Chi earthquake of 1999, which occurred along the western margins of the Western Taiwan fold and thrust belt.

Sources of Fault-Zone Fluids - Potential sources of fluids in brittle faults and shear zones include metamorphic fluid generated by dehydration of minerals during prograde metamorphism (including shear heating), fluid trapped in pore space as sedimentary formation brines, meteoric water carried downward by circulation and release of volatiles from molten magma or the upper mantle (*e.g.*, Kerrich et al., 1984; Hacker, 1995; Wakita and Sano, 1987; Ko et al., 1997). The high fluid pressures that have been postulated within the San Andreas fault zone (being tested as part of the SAFOD drilling project discussed below) might be generated and maintained by continued upwelling of overpressured fluids within the fault zone and leakage of these fluids into the country rock (Rice, 1992). Alternatively, high fluid pressures might result from the sealing of locally derived high-pressure fluids within the fault zone once pressure gradients drop below a critical “threshold” required to overcome forces between molecular water and mineral surfaces in very small cracks and pores (Byerlee, 1990).

Kennedy et al. (1997) argued that elevated $^3\text{He}/^4\text{He}$ ratios they observed in springs and wells located along a broad zone encompassing the San Andreas fault system indicate that significant quantities of mantle-derived fluids are entering the fault zone through the ductile lower crust at near lithostatic pressure. However, without direct sampling of fluids from within the San Andreas fault zone at depth it is unclear whether these fluids are ascending through a broad, fractured and faulted zone associated with the overall plate boundary or are narrowly focused within the (permeable) core of the San Andreas fault itself, and hence intimately involved in the physics of faulting as envisioned by Rice (1992) and others.

Fault Zone Permeability -The permeability structure of shear zones and brittle faults has recently been the focus of field studies that both confirm and extend observations made years ago by mining geologists. Large faults are not discrete surfaces but rather are a braided array of slip surfaces encased in a highly fractured and often hydrothermally altered transition or *damage* zone (Smith et al., 1990; Bruhn et al., 1990, 1994; Chester et al., 1993; Schulz and Evans, 2000). Structural and mineralogical textures indicate that episodic fracturing and brecciation are followed by cementation and crack healing, leading to cycles of permeability enhancement and reduction accompanied by episodic fluid flow along faults (*e.g.*, Eichhubl and Boles, 2000).

Theoretical modeling (Sleep and Blanpied, 1992, 1994; Sleep, 1995) showed that the generation of dilatant pores and microcracks during earthquakes in a hydraulically isolated fault zone, followed by creep compaction between earthquakes, might lead to cyclically high fluid pressures along faults. Miller (1996) and Fitzenz and Miller (2003) have used the Sleep and Blanpied (1992) model to numerically simulate temporal variations in fluid pressure within faults and their role in controlling earthquake interactions and periodicity, making assumptions about the rheological and hydrological properties of fault and country rocks. However, using these models to predict the behavior of real faults in the Earth will require direct in-situ measurements and sampling in active fault zones at seismogenic depths.

A possibly relevant development from studies of fluid pressure in sedimentary basins has been the revelation from borehole measurements of abrupt transitions, both vertically and laterally, between distinct fluid pressure regimes in some sedimentary basins. These *fluid pressure compartments* are bounded by seals which in some cases are stratigraphic (e.g., shale horizons) but in others are gouge-rich faults or thin zones of hydrothermal cementation which cut across stratigraphy (Hunt, 1990; Powley, 1990; Dewers and Orteleva, 1994; Martinsen, 1997). By analogy with these observations, Byerlee (1993) proposed a model in which contiguous vertical and horizontal seals within a fault zone would lead to discrete fluid pressure compartments (i.e., tabular lenses), the rupture of which might be important in earthquake nucleation and propagation (see Lockner and Byerlee, 1995). Although direct evidence for these fault zone fluid compartments in active fault zones is lacking, negative polarity reflections (bright spots) on seismic reflection images acquired over some accretionary prisms have been interpreted to indicate the existence of high-pressure fluid compartments along the basal décollements (Moore and Vrolijk, 1992; Shipley et al., 1994; Moore et al., 1995; Bangs et al., 2004).

Transient Fluid Pressure Effects - A range of physical effects arising from the mechanical response of fluid-saturated crust has been invoked to account for time-dependent phenomena associated with faulting such as slow earthquakes, creep events, earthquake swarms and aftershock activity and its decay (e.g., Nur and Booker, 1972; Rice and Cleary, 1976; Dreger et al., 2000; Hainzl and Ogata, 2005). Transient changes in fluid pressure and effective stress have also been suggested to play a direct role in

rupture propagation and arrest. Shear resistance on the rupture surface may be dramatically lowered by localized increases in fluid pressure from frictional heating or locally elevated as a consequence of pore fluid diffusion and dilatant hardening at fault jogs and other irregularities (Sibson, 1973, 1985; Lachenbruch, 1980; Mase and Smith, 1987; Rudnicki, 1988; Sleep, 1995; Segall and Rice, 1995; Andrews, 2002). Continuous monitoring of fluid pressure within active, seismogenic faults are critical objectives of both the SAFOD and Gulf of Corinth scientific drilling project discussed below.

Chemical Effects of Fluids on Fault Zone Rheology - Over the past several years a number of fault mechanics models have either been developed or refined that incorporate solution transport deformation mechanisms that may weaken and/or destabilize the fault zone. However, complicating this issue enormously is the fact that under only slightly varied environmental and mineralogical conditions similar processes can act to cement the fault zone together, thereby increasing fault strength (see Hickman and Evans, 1992). The experimental and theoretical studies on which these models are based are now focusing on processes that have long been inferred as being important from field observations of natural fault and shear zones, such as pressure solution, fluid-assisted retrograde mineral reactions, crack healing and cementation (*e.g.*, Kerrich et al., 1984; Power and Tullis, 1989; Bruhn et al., 1990; Boullier and Robert, 1992; Chester et al., 1993; Eichhubl and Boles, 2000). These deformation mechanisms are all interrelated, in that they depend upon thermally activated chemical reactions between the rock and pore fluid as well as the rates at which dissolved species are transported through the pore fluid.

Laboratory and theoretical investigations have shown that pressure solution may be important in reducing long-term fault strength and in promoting aseismic slip (*i.e.*, creep) along faults (*e.g.*, Rutter and Mainprice, 1979; Chester and Higgs, 1992; Bos and Spiers, 2001). In contrast, solution transport processes such as crack healing and sealing and cementation may cause the welding together of asperities or fault gouge, leading to time-dependent fault strengthening between earthquakes (*e.g.*, Angevine et al., 1982; Hickman and Evans, 1992; Karner et al, 1997). Laboratory friction experiments conducted under hydrothermal conditions suggest that a change in dominant deformation mechanism with increasing depth from brittle deformation to solution transport creep might control the depth at which the seismic-to-aseismic transition occurs in the crust (Blanpied et al.,

1991). Observations of aligned fibrous serpentine in an exhumed branch of the San Andreas Fault system suggest that dissolution-diffusion-crystallization processes may be important in reducing fault strength and promoting aseismic slip (creep) along faults (Andreani et al., 2005).

Hydrothermal mineral reactions can also weaken crustal rocks when the reaction products are weaker than the reactants (see Wintsch et al., 1995). Based upon observations of exhumed shear zones in granite, Janecke and Evans (1988) argued that muscovite formed from the breakdown of feldspar might dramatically lower the ductile shear strength of the granite, even at temperatures well below those necessary for the plastic flow of quartz. At least at shallow depths, fault zones such as the San Andreas are mostly composed of clay- and mica-rich gouge resulting from the hydrolysis of feldspar (*e.g.*, Wu, 1978), suggesting an enhancement of the feldspar breakdown reaction within the fault zone. Reactions in the olivine-talc-serpentine-water system have been demonstrated to dramatically lower the shear strength of ultramafic rocks in laboratory friction experiments (Pinkston et al., 1987)

FRICIONAL STRENGTH OF FAULTS

In addition to studying the role of fluids in faulting, fault zone drilling provides the opportunity to directly measure the state of stress acting within and adjacent to active faults. As reviewed by Zoback and Healy (1984), Hickman (1991) and Townend and Zoback (2000), in-situ stress measurements in a variety of faulting regimes, in conjunction with information on the attitude of nearby active faults, indicate fault strengths in intraplate areas that are comparable to those predicted by combining Coulomb faulting theory and laboratory derived coefficients of friction between 0.6 and 1.0 (Byerlee, 1978). This is sometimes known as Byerlee's law (Brace and Kohlstedt, 1980). When pore pressure is near hydrostatic (as has found to be the case at all sites where deep drilling has occurred in crystalline rock (see review in Townend and Zoback, 2000), high stress magnitudes, sometimes referred to as *hydrostatic* Byerlee's Law are predicted. The high stress at depth consistent with Byerlee's law have been measured at a number of sites, including the Rocky Mountain Arsenal, Denver (Healy et al., 1968); Rangely, Colorado (Raleigh et al., 1972; Zoback and Healy, 1984); the Nevada Test Site

(Stock et al., 1985); the Fenton Hill geothermal site, New Mexico (Barton et al., 1988; Fehler, 1989); Moodus, Connecticut (Baumgärtner and Zoback, 1989; Mrotek et al., 1988); Dixie Valley, Nevada (Hickman et al., 1997); the Siljjan deep borehole in Sweden (Lund and Zoback, 1999) and to ~8 km depth in the KTB drilling project, Oberfalz, West Germany (Zoback et al., 1993; Brudy et al., 1997). Thus, Byerlee's law (Brace and Kohlstedt, 1980), which was established on the basis of simple faulting theory and laboratory friction experiments (Byerlee, 1978), appears valid for faults within plate interiors. Studies of lithospheric flexure in response to sediment, volcanic and internal loads (*e.g.*, McNutt, 1980; McNutt and Menard, 1982; Kirby, 1983) also indicate that differential stresses in much of the Earth's crust are high and can approach the magnitude of stress predicted by Byerlee's law. Hence, such sources of stress are capable of generating crustal stresses large enough to induce faulting.

Figure 1 (from Townend and Zoback, 2000), shows a compilation of stress measurements in relatively deep wells and boreholes in various parts of the world. As shown, the ratio of the maximum and minimum effective stresses corresponds to a crust in frictional failure equilibrium with a coefficient of friction ranging between 0.6 and 1.0. The rate at which stress increases with depth is based on the assumption that the ratio of maximum to minimum effective stress is limited by ratio of shear to normal stress on pre-existing faults well-oriented to slip in the current stress field (see Zoback and Healy, 1984). Pore pressure was observed to be essentially equal to hydrostatic pressure at all the sites where deep drilling into crystalline rocks in the crust has taken place. Because stress magnitudes increase with depth due to increasing overburden stress, mean effective stress (mean stress minus the pore pressure, P_f) at depth scales approximately with depth as shown in the figure and discussed by Townend and Zoback, 2000).

Figure 1 near here

There are two implications of the data shown in Figure 1. First, Byerlee's law, defined on the basis of hundreds of laboratory experiments, appears to be applicable to faults *in situ*. The consistency of in-situ friction coefficients and those measured in the lab is a rather amazing result when one considers the huge difference between the size of

samples used for friction experiments in the lab and the size of real faults *in situ*, the variability of roughness of the sliding surface, and variability in the rock types encountered in the field and studied in the lab. Second, everywhere that stress magnitudes have been measured at appreciable depth, they indicate that they are controlled by the frictional strength of pre-existing faults in the crust. In other words, the earth's crust appears to be in a state of frictional failure equilibrium and the law that describes that state is simple Coulomb friction.

Weak Plate Bounding Faults – A substantial (and growing) body of evidence indicating that slip in crustal earthquakes along major plate-bounding faults (like the San Andreas) occurs at much low levels of shear stress than those shown in Figure 1. This hypothesis (sometimes referred to as the San Andreas Stress/Heat Flow Paradox, *e.g.*, Lachenbruch and Sass, 1980, 1992; Zoback et al., 1987; see review by Hickman, 1991). In the context of the San Andreas, there are two principal lines of evidence that indicate that the fault has low frictional strength - the absence of frictionally-generated heat and the orientation of the maximum principal stress in the crust adjacent to the fault. A large number of heat flow measurements show no evidence of frictionally generated heat adjacent to the San Andreas Fault (Brune et al., 1969; Brune, 1970; Henyey and Wasserburg, 1971; Lachenbruch and Sass, 1980, 1992; Williams et al., 2004), which implies that shear motion along the fault is resisted by shear stresses approximately a factor of five less than those shown in Fig. 1. Saffer et al. (2003) show that it is highly unlikely that topographically-driven fluid flow has an appreciable effect on these heat flow measurements, indicating that the lack of frictionally-generated heat in the vicinity of the San Andreas Fault is indeed indicative of average shear stress levels acting on the fault at depth.

In addition to the heat flow data, the orientation of principal stresses in the vicinity of the fault also indicates that right-lateral strike slip motion on the fault occurs in response to low levels of shear stress (Zoback et al., 1987; Mount and Suppe, 1987; Oppenheimer et al., 1988; Zoback and Beroza, 1993; Townend and Zoback, 2001; 2004). Figure 2 (from Townend and Zoback, 2004) shows the direction of relative motion of the Pacific plate with respect to the North American plate indicated by GPS measurements with blue arrows (the map projection is about the pole of relative motion) and the direction of

maximum horizontal stress (black, inward pointed arrows). The direction of maximum horizontal compression is clearly at a very high angle to the fault, resulting in low levels of resolved shear stress on the fault.

Figure 2 near here

The significance of regional stress orientation for the state of stress on the fault at depth has been challenged by Scholz (2000) who argues that if stress orientations near the fault rotate to being $\sim 45^\circ$ from the strike of the fault, both the fault and the crust could have comparably high frictional strength. As summarized by Zoback (2000), there is appreciable evidence that even close to the fault the direction of maximum horizontal compression is at a high angle to the fault (Oppenheimer et al., 1988; Zoback and Beroza, 1993; Townend and Zoback, 2001; 2004). In fact, stress measurements in the SAFOD pilot hole (Hickman and Zoback, 2004b) located only 1.8 km from the San Andreas Fault in central California (discussed below) provide still more evidence in support of the hypothesis that the San Andreas is a weak fault imbedded in a strong crust. In other words, stress magnitudes in the crust adjacent to the fault are high but shear stresses resolved on the fault are low.

Horizontal differential stress magnitudes in the pilot hole were found to be high adjacent to the fault, (*i.e.*, consistent with measurements shown in Fig. 1) while the orientation of maximum horizontal stress at depth in the hole indicates low levels of shear stress resolved onto the San Andreas Fault itself (Hickman and Zoback, 2004b). Shear-wave anisotropy measurements in the SAFOD main hole further indicate that the direction of maximum horizontal compression remains nearly perpendicular to the fault to within a few hundred meters of the active fault trace at depth (Boness and Zoback, 2006). In addition, heat flow measurements (to 2 km depth) in the SAFOD pilot hole are consistent with shallower data in the region which show no evidence of frictionally-generated heat (Williams et al., 2004).

There is mounting evidence that a number of other plate-boundary faults are similarly weak (see Lachenbruch and Thompson, 1972; Kanamori, 1980; Wilcock et al., 1990; Mount and Suppe, 1992; Ben-Avraham and Zoback, 1992; Magee and Zoback, 1993;

Wang et al., 1995; and review by Hickman, 1991). The concept that plate bounding faults like the San Andreas are weak faults imbedded in a strong crust has become widely accepted in recent years and earthquake researchers are now faced with the problem of explaining why major plate boundary faults are substantially weaker than the surrounding crust. In fact, the question of how crustal faults lose their strength is critically important in crustal mechanics and earthquake hazard reduction for a number of reasons:

- As the weakness of plate boundaries (relative to plate interiors) is a fundamental aspect of plate tectonics, how and why plate boundary faults lose their strength is of fundamental global importance for understanding where plate boundaries form, how they evolve with time and how deformation is partitioned along them.
- The roles of fluid pressure, intrinsic rock friction, chemical reactions and the physical state of active fault zones in controlling fault strength must be known in order to simulate earthquakes in the laboratory and on the computer using representative fault zone properties and physical conditions. This information will also allow for improved models of static stress transfer and earthquake triggering at a regional scale and between specific faults, as needed for intermediate-term seismic hazard forecasting following large earthquakes.
- Through long-term fault zone monitoring and in-situ observations of the earthquake source, it will be possible to improve models for earthquake rupture dynamics, including such effects as transient changes in fluid pressure, fault-normal opening modes and variations in slip pulse duration. These observations can be used directly in attempts to generate improved predictions of near-field strong ground motion (amplitude, frequency content and temporal characteristics) and more reliable models for dynamic stress transfer and rupture propagation. These latter processes are believed to control earthquake size (*i.e.*, whether or not a small earthquake will grow into a large one) and, hence, are crucial to long-term probabilistic assessments of earthquake hazard.
- The results of fault zone drilling experiments are critical to the development of more realistic models for the seismic cycle and assessment of the practicality of short-term earthquake prediction in two ways. During fault zone monitoring it

will be possible to determine if earthquakes are preceded by accelerating fault slip (*e.g.*, a nucleation phase) and/or transient changes in fluid pressure. Second, we will be able to determine whether or not factors which might dramatically lower fault strength (high pore pressure and/or chemical fluid-rock interactions, for example) are closely related to the processes controlling earthquake nucleation. Our current knowledge of fault zone processes is so poor that not only are we unable to make reliable short-term earthquake predictions, but we cannot scientifically assess whether or not such predictions are even possible.

In summary, while essentially all available data indicates that the frictional strength of intraplate crust is high, the frictional strength of plate-bounding faults is anomalously low. Taken together, the heat-flow data and the directional constraint (*i.e.*, S_{Hmax} at 65–85° to the San Andreas fault) suggest that the San Andreas fault is weak in both an absolute and relative sense. In other words, the average shear stress required to cause faulting is low (comparable to earthquake stress drops) whereas the frictional strength of faults in the adjacent crust is much higher, consistent with Byerlee's law. Despite the fundamental nature of this finding, we have no direct in-situ evidence indicating why this might be so, whether the mechanisms responsible for low strength along the San Andreas are likely to be found in other major fault systems or what role that these mechanisms might play in the processes of earthquake nucleation and propagation.

Numerous theories have been proposed over the past decade that are related to the weakness of the San Andreas and other plate-bounding faults. Knowledge of the in-situ frictional properties of the San Andreas and other major, active faults is not only of considerable scientific interest but is also critical for assessing the nature and potential magnitude of static stress transfer and earthquake triggering following large-to-intermediate size earthquakes (see Harris et al., 1998).

Although the causes for the weakness of these faults are unknown, four general classes of explanations have been suggested:

- Elevated fluid pressure (as discussed above)
- Intrinsically low coefficients of friction of fault zone materials
- Solution-transport reactions and related low stress deformation mechanisms (also discussed above)

- Dynamic weakening mechanisms such as shear heating/thermal pressurization or acoustic fluidization.

If the coefficient of friction, μ , is equal to 0.6–0.9 on the San Andreas fault, as predicted by Byerlee's Law, then the heat-flow constraint could be satisfied if the in-situ pore pressure, P_p , is greater than twice hydrostatic (Lachenbruch and Sass, 1980, 1992). However, if one assumes that principal stress magnitudes are constant across the fault zone and that $\mu \geq 0.6$, then high fluid pressures alone cannot explain the directional constraint as P_p would exceed the least principal stress once the angle between S_{Hmax} and the fault exceeds about 60° (e.g., Zoback et al., 1987; Scholz, 1989; Lachenbruch and McGarr, 1990). It has been suggested that large-scale yielding could lead to an increase in the magnitudes of the principal stresses within the fault zone relative to their values immediately outside of the fault (Rice, 1992). If so, this would allow P_p within the fault zone to exceed significantly the external magnitude of the least principal stress (Byerlee, 1990; Rice, 1992). In this manner, permanently high pore pressures within an intrinsically strong (i.e., high coefficient of friction) San Andreas fault zone, in conjunction with much lower fluid pressures in the surrounding rock, could lower the fault strength sufficiently to satisfy both the heat-flow and directional constraints.

A model that is, in many respects, similar to that of Rice (1992) was proposed earlier by Byrne and Fisher (1990) to explain the apparent weakness of the basal décollement beneath the Kodiak accretionary prism in southwest Alaska. Magee and Zoback (1993) applied the Rice model to explain the low frictional strength of the subduction zone associated with the M~8.2 Tokachi-Oki earthquake off northern Honshu, Japan. Although not requiring localized increases in stress magnitude, Fournier (1996) has presented a model in which near-lithostatic fluid pressures may be maintained within the San Andreas fault zone at depths greater than about 6-10 km if the maximum differential stress at these depths is quite low (~10–30 MPa) and the tensile strength of the rock outside the fault zone remains high due to pervasive crack healing at elevated temperatures.

Alternatively, if one assumes that the fault is optimally-oriented with respect to the principal stresses and that fluid pressures are hydrostatic, then the heat-flow constraint

can be satisfied if the friction coefficient along the fault is less than about 0.2 (Lachenbruch and Sass, 1992). Similarly, at least in central California where S_{Hmax} is at about $75\text{--}85^\circ$ to the San Andreas fault, the heat-flow and directional constraints can be simultaneously satisfied under conditions of uniformly hydrostatic fluid pressures if the friction coefficient is extremely low—about 0.1 or less—along the fault and Byerlee's Law is applicable outside the fault zone (Lachenbruch and McGarr, 1990; Lachenbruch and Sass, 1992). It is often proposed that the presence of clays or other weak minerals along the San Andreas and other faults might lead to anomalously low frictional resistance (*e.g.*, Wu et al., 1978; Janecke and Evans, 1988; Wintsch et al., 1995). This inference has been supported by laboratory sliding experiments on synthetic clay-rich fault gouges (*e.g.*, Wang et al., 1980; Shimamoto and Logan, 1981; Bird, 1984; Logan and Rauenzahn, 1987), on synthetic serpentinite gouges (Reinen et al., 1994; Reinen and Tullis, 1995) and on synthetic laumontite gouge (Hacker et al., 1995). However, these experiments are all at low-to-moderate confining pressures and temperatures. In contrast, experiments on natural clay-rich fault gouges collected from the San Andreas at depths of less than 0.4 km (Morrow et al., 1982), on synthetic clay-rich fault gouges (Morrow et al., 1992) and on synthetic serpentinite gouges (Moore et al., 1997) at high temperatures and/or confining pressures and hydrostatic fluid pressures indicate coefficients of friction at in-situ conditions that are too high to be reconciled with either the heat-flow or directional constraints. In addition, both natural and synthetic fault gouges deformed in the laboratory generally fail to exhibit the slip-weakening or velocity-weakening behavior required for the generation of earthquakes (*e.g.*, Byerlee and Summers, 1976; Logan and Rauenzahn, 1987; Marone et al., 1990; Morrow et al., 1992; Reinen et al., 1994). Thus, the importance of these materials in the rheology of the San Andreas fault at seismogenic depths is unclear.

Another important class of models that might explain the low long-term strength of seismically active segments of the San Andreas and other major faults have called upon processes directly associated with earthquake rupture propagation. These dynamic weakening mechanisms include shear heating during slip, leading to transient high fluid pressures (Lachenbruch, 1980; Andrews, 2002) or melting (Sibson 1973; Spray, 1987; Rice, 2006); reductions in normal stress accompanying the propagation of dilational

waves along the fault (Brune et al., 1993; Andrews and Ben Zion, 1997); the fluidization of fault-zone materials due to the channeling of co-seismic acoustic energy (Melosh, 1979, 1996); and hydrodynamic lubrication (Brodsky and Kanamori, 2001). While such processes may be operative during an earthquake, they do not relate to the problem of how earthquakes initiate and each requires that very specific fault zone conditions exist to be viable. Thus, to assess the likelihood that dynamic weakening mechanisms might operate along active faults we need to compare the structure and physical properties of actual fault zones with parameters required by these various models and then combine these observations with the results of long-term fault zone monitoring in the near-field of small-to-moderate size earthquakes.

NEAR FIELD OBSERVATIONS OF EARTHQUAKE NUCLEATION AND PROPAGATION

Understanding the physical processes operating during both nucleation and rupture propagation can prove to be critical to understanding why plate boundary faults tend to be anomalously weak, especially if dynamic weakening mechanisms are important. In addition, by drilling into active faults at seismogenic depths and observing repeating earthquakes at very short distances, one can observe near-field phenomena for earthquakes of $M \sim 1$ or larger, thereby providing a new window into the physics of the earthquake source. Ideally, in addition to instrumenting fault-zone drill holes with seismometers, it would be ideal to place instruments within or immediately adjacent to active sliding surfaces to directly monitor fault displacement, deformation, pore pressure and heat generated during sliding. The work of Brune and co-workers on foam rubber models of earthquakes (Brune et al., 1993; Anooshehpour and Brune, 1994) illustrates the advantages of making measurements at or very near the sliding surface. Many of the objectives for near-field observation would be met by sensors placed within a few hundred meters of the earthquake source. At these distances, near-field waves will be of significant amplitude compared to the far-field waves for $M \geq 0$ events, and static strains will be well within the resolution of borehole strainmeters.

The process by which the fault becomes unstable and initiates a dynamically propagating rupture is central to understanding how earthquakes work. It has recently

been proposed that the very beginnings of rupture for earthquakes in the magnitude range from at least $M_w = 1$ to 8 characteristically involve a period of slow growth of the seismic moment (Iio, 1992, 1995; Ellsworth and Beroza, 1995, 1998; Beroza and Ellsworth, 1996). The characteristics of this process, called the seismic nucleation phase, rule-out self-similar models for the nucleation and growth of rupture including the standard model of a dynamically growing crack (Kostrov, 1964). Although a range of hypotheses have been proposed to explain this slow beginning to earthquakes, far-field observations have thus far proven inadequate to determine if the seismic nucleation phase represents a cascade of smaller events, in which case the dynamically expanding crack model might apply, or if it represents a transition from an aseismic (stable) sliding to dynamic rupture, as required by laboratory-based and theoretical models of rupture initiation (Dieterich, 1992; Ohnaka, 1992). Observations of the nucleation process made within the near-field in drill holes passing close to or through active faults at seismogenic depths have the potential to resolve this process, as they will not be distorted by attenuation or scattering, which limits the interpretation of available data (Iio, 1995).

The physics of earthquake rupture propagation has also been the subject of intensive investigation in recent years (*e.g.*, Heaton, 1990; Brune et al., 1993; Melosh, 1996; Peyrat et al., 2004; Harris, 2004). New data has again drawn into question the standard model of a dynamically expanding crack that heals inward from its outer boundary (Madariaga, 1976). There is now evidence from large earthquakes that the rupture may propagate as a *slip pulse* (*e.g.*, Wald and Heaton, 1994), yet we know little about how such a concentrated slip zone is generated or maintained, or why the fault comes to rest so abruptly. Brune et al. (1993) have further proposed that tensile opening of the fault accompanies the shear displacement in the slip pulse. If correct, it would be a mechanism by which a fault can have high static strength, but slide without generating heat. However, sliding at near-zero normal stress implies that the dynamic stress drop should equal the tectonic stress (see Lachenbruch and Sass, 1980) resulting in near-zero shear stress on the fault after rupture (*e.g.*, Zoback and Beroza, 1993 for the Loma Prieta earthquake). Thus, measuring the dynamic stress drop in the near-field region will give us a direct test of the high static strength/low dynamic friction hypothesis.

Recent observations of microearthquakes in moderately deep boreholes (2–2.5 km) at Cajon Pass, California (Abercrombie and Leary, 1993; Abercrombie, 1995), the SAFOD pilot hole (Imanishi et al, 2004) and in Long Valley, California (Prejean and Ellsworth, 2001), demonstrate that ultra high-fidelity recordings can be made in downhole observatories. Imanishi and Ellsworth (in press) recently used high frequency recordings from the SAFOD Pilot Hole array to study the scaling of apparent stress (seismic wave energy / seismic moment). They found that this ratio shares the same upper bound over the magnitude range from M 9 to M 0, providing strong support for the self-similar model of the earthquake source.

FAULT ZONE DRILLING PROJECTS

In this section we review several recent, on-going and planned scientific drilling projects related to earthquake studies. Many of the key questions to be addressed by deep drilling into active faults were enumerated in December, 1992 at a workshop (attended by 113 scientists and engineers from seven countries) on San Andreas fault zone drilling at the Asilomar Conference Center in Pacific Grove, California. While the purpose of this workshop, which was, was to initiate a broad-based scientific discussion of the issues that could be addressed by drilling and direct experimentation in the San Andreas fault, the arguments put forth for scientific drilling into fault zones are generally applicable to all of the fault zone drilling projects discussed in this section.

The questions to be addressed by fault zone drilling projects are far-reaching, and include:

Fault Behavior

- What is the static strength of active faults? Why are some faults anomalously weak?
- Why are some faults (or fault segments) creeping and some locked?
- What factors control the localization of slip and strain?
- How are faults stressed at different crustal levels?
- How does strain communication occur within fault zones over different time scales?

- How is energy partitioned within fault zones between seismic radiation, frictional dissipation, grain size reduction and chemical reactions?
- Can the frequency-magnitude relationship for earthquakes be extrapolated to smaller magnitudes?

Fluid Pressure

- What is the vertical and lateral distribution of fluid pressure regimes in faults?
- Do fault fluid pressure compartments exist?
- If so, what is the nature of the seals between these compartments?
- What is the time-dependence of fluid pressure within fault zones?
- What is extent of vertical and lateral fluid migration during a seismic stress cycle?

Fault Fluids

- What is the origin and composition of fault zone fluids?
- What are the permeabilities of fault-zone materials and country rock?
- What are the fluid transport mechanisms in and adjacent to fault zones and what physical processes lead to fluid redistribution?
- What is the interplay between water-rock interaction and rheology at different structural levels?

Fault Zone Properties & Physical Parameters

- How does the stress tensor vary in the vicinity of fault zones?
- How do pre- and post-failure stress states compare?
- What, if any, form of cyclical dilatancy operates in the vicinity of fault zones?
- How do physical properties relate to the fault zone fabric?
- What is the origin of low-velocity zones associated with fault zones?
- How well and in what manner do physical properties and heterogeneity measured in boreholes correlate with geophysical observables?

Fault Structure & Materials

- How does the width and character of active slip zones vary with depth?
- What is the thermal structure of active fault zones?
- How do mineralogy and deformation mechanisms within fault zones change with depth, temperature and country-rock geology?
- What determines the maximum depth of seismic activity?

- At what temperature do mineral reaction kinetics operate at the time scale of an earthquake cycle?
- How accurate are inferences drawn from deformation microstructures, piezometers, and fluid inclusions and how might one assess their survivability?

Fundamental questions about faulting and earthquakes such as these have gone unanswered due to the complete lack of data on the physical and chemical processes operating on faults at depth. Hence, the principal reasons for drilling into active faults is to conduct extensive investigations in situ and on exhumed materials that are representative of the faults at the pressures, temperatures and conditions at which major earthquakes nucleate.

San Andreas Fault Observatory at Depth (SAFOD)

The site identified for the 3.0-km-deep drilling project is located in central California (Figure 2), about 10 km northwest of the town of Parkfield. This site is located at the northwestern end of the rupture zone for the 1966 M=6 Parkfield earthquake, in the transition between the creeping and locked sections of the San Andreas fault. The San Andreas displays a range of behaviors at this site. At the surface, the fault is creeping at a rate of 1.8 cm/year, with most of the fault displacement localized to a zone no more than 10 m wide (Burford and Harsh, 1980; Schulz, 1989). Numerous earthquakes occur directly on the San Andreas fault in the depth interval from about 3 to 12 km. The shallow seismicity at Parkfield occurs in tight clusters of activity (Nadeau et al, 1994; 1995; 2004) that have remained spatially stationary for at least the past 20 years,

An important feature of the microearthquakes beneath Middle Mountain is that they occur in families of repeating events which provide a reliable target for guiding drilling (Figure 3). Individual earthquakes have been observed to recur numerous times using the U.C. Berkeley High Resolution Seismic Network (HRSN), at precisely the same location and with the same magnitude (Nadeau et al., 1994, 1995; 2004; Nadeau and McEvilly, 1997). Repeating sources of up to M=2 are located at drillable depths beneath the proposed drill site. Thus, a major goal of this experiment is to drill as close as possible to one or more of these sources and to follow the build-up of strain and its release through multiple earthquake cycles during the monitoring phase of the experiment.

Figure 3 near here

Illustrated in Fig. 3, a 2 km-deep pilot hole drilled at the SAFOD site in 2002, 1.8 km to the southwest of the surface trace of the San Andreas fault (see review by Hickman et al., 2004a and associated papers published in special issues of *Geophysical Research Letters*). During the summers of 2004 and 2005 the SAFOD mainhole was rotary drilled through the zone of the repeating microearthquakes. Note that in the subsurface, the position of the fault is to the southwest of the surface trace.

Four major geologic units were encountered along the trajectory of the SAFOD main hole: In the vertical section of the wellbore, the near-surface Quaternary and Tertiary sediments were found to be underlain by Salinian granite at a depth of ~700m. After deviating the borehole toward the fault, arkosic sediments (most likely locally-derived from Salinian granite) were encountered about 300m NE of the drill-site, perhaps after crossing the Buzzard Canyon fault, a NW trending strike-slip fault exposed at the surface that trends sub-parallel to the San Andreas (M. Rymer, personal comm.). Approximately 1200 m NE of the drillsite, a possibly ancestral trace of the San Andreas was crossed as the lithology changed abruptly to claystones and siltstones of the Great Valley formation, found throughout central California on the east side of the San Andreas.

Geophysical logs and cuttings analysis indicate that the San Andreas is a broad zone of anomalously low P- and S-wave velocity and resistivity that define a relatively broad damage zone as indicated in Figure 4. The locations of active fault traces is revealed by casing deformation (indicated by the red line in Fig. 4) and the location of a $M \sim 0$ microearthquake that occurred in May 2005 (indicated in Fig. 4). Note that these active faults are associated with narrower, more highly localized zones of low P- and S-wave velocity and resistivity embedded within the broader damage zone. In a third phase of the project, coring will be done in multi-lateral holes to directly sample the damage zone and both creeping/seismically active faults at depth. Preliminary results from the project were presented in several special sessions of the Dec. 2005 American Geophysical Union meeting (published in *EOS*, v. 86, 2005), and numerous publications are in print or under review detailing early results from SAFOD.

Figure 4 near here

An array of downhole seismometers, accelerometers and other sensors will be deployed near the fault zone after drilling. This will allow for accurate determinations of the radiated energy, seismic moment and earthquake locations can be made, the detailed velocity structure of the fault zone can be investigated, and earthquake nucleation and propagation can be studied with unprecedented detail. High frequency signals are expected from the very local events, with good signal-to-noise above 1 kHz. Thus, good sensitivity to the higher frequencies becomes the most important seismic monitoring design criteria. Peak acceleration estimates for a magnitude 1.0 earthquake located at a distance of 1000 m and 100 m are 1 g and 10 g's, respectively.

Nojiima Fault

In 1995, a M 6.9 strike-slip faulting earthquake struck Kobe, Japan, resulting in 6432 fatalities. The earthquake occurred on the Nojiima fault (Figure 5), a right-lateral strike-slip fault that trends NE-SW through Awaji Island and beneath Osaka bay (Ando, 2001). Several research groups drilled boreholes (ranging in depth from 747 m to 1800 m) through the fault along segments of the Nojiima fault that exhibited surface rupture during the earthquake. Note the numerous shallow (2-5 km depth) occurred on the Nojiima fault beneath Awaji island. Extensive geophysical logging, detailed core analysis, stress measurements, and repeated hydrological tests and repeated injection tests were carried out. The preliminary results were published in the special volume of the Island Arc (Oshiman et al., 2001).

Fig. 5 near here

Two boreholes were drilled at Hirabayashi (where the maximum slip was 2 m) that penetrated the core of the Nojiima fault. The structure of the Nojiima fault zone is characterized by a narrow fault core with various types of fault gouge. The hanging wall of the fault displays many minor shear zones that increase in frequency toward the fault

core (Figure 6). The footwall of the fault is significantly less deformed and less altered with respect to the hanging wall. The physical properties of fault zone and country rocks have been extensively studied (Tanaka et al. 2001; Ohtani et al. 2001; Fujimoto et al. 2001; Boullier et al. 2001).

Fig. 6 near here

Integrated borehole monitoring systems (3-component strain meter, 3-component seismometer, and water-level sensors) have been installed along two different segments of the Nojima fault.

Chelungpu Fault

The 1999 M 7.7 Chi-Chi earthquake in Taiwan produced large zones of surface rupture, with a maximum displacement of 8 m on the Chelungpu Fault. Along nearly all of its length, the earthquake fault strikes ~N-S (Figure 7a) with the rocks to the east thrust over those to the west along an east-dipping thrust faults (Fig. 7b). The northern portion of the fault is characterized by very large slip but relatively low ground acceleration during the M 7.7 earthquake. The Taiwan Chelungpu-fault Drilling Project (TCDP) was carried out near the town of Dakeng in a zone of large surface offset (and subsurface slip) near the northern end of the N-S trending rupture surface.

Fig. 7 near here

The main scientific objectives for drilling were related to testing various mechanisms of nucleation and rupture of large earthquakes and provide answers to fundamental questions pertaining to the relationship between the Chelungpu thrust and regional tectonics (Tanaka et al. 2002; Ma et al. 2003). TCDP drilling was started in 2004 and two boreholes were completed in 2005, including successful continuous coring, logging and borehole monitoring. The direction of maximum horizontal compression found in one of the TCDP boreholes is ~N120°E, consistent with the regional stress field (Kao and

Angelier, 2001) and relative motion of the Phillipine Sea Plate with respect to Taiwan (Wu et al., 2007).

As shown in Figure 8, two holes were drilled (A and B) were drilled through the main fault zone that ruptured during the Chi-Chi earthquake (Figure 8). In Hole A, multiple fault zones were identified in the Pliocene Chinshui Shale and Miocene Kueichulin Formation, but the primary slip zone in hole A was encountered at a depth of 1111 m (see photo in Fig. 8) and 1136 m in hole B (see photo in Fig. 8). This fault is associated with bedding-parallel thrusting with a gentle dip of about 20° and is characterized by over 1 m thickness of gouge (fault core) and gradational breccia in a damage zone found in both the upper and lower blocks. Wu et al. (2007) noted a major perturbation of the stress field in Hole A in the vicinity of the Chelungpu fault.

Fig. 8 near here

To address key questions of earthquake rupture dynamics such as energy dissipation and fracture energy, Ma *et al.* (2006) analyzed the grain size distribution of the fault gouge. They estimated that 6% of the total earthquake energy is associated with gouge formation. Tanaka *et al.* (2007) and Kano *et al.* (2006) discuss the possibility that a thermal anomaly measured at the trace of the fault is associated with frictional heat generated during faulting.

Gulf of Corinth

The Gulf of Corinth, Greece, is the most actively deforming region in Europe and one of the most seismically active areas. Deformation in the area is dominated by north-south directed back-arc extension associated with the Hellenic trench. The Gulf of Corinth is an east-west trending graben bordered on the north and south by east-west trending normal faults (Figure 9). The extension rate in the region ranges between 1 and 1.5 cm/year. A number of large earthquakes have occurred in the region in the past century.

The Corinth Rift Laboratory (CRL) project involved drilling into the Aigion fault on the south side of the Gulf. This site offers excellent conditions for in-situ investigation of

active normal faulting, the rifting process and for monitoring fluid-fault interactions (Cornet et al. 2004).

Fig. 9 near here

The AIG10 borehole was drilled in 2002 to 1000 m depth and intersected the Aigion Fault at 760 m (Fig. 10). A key goal of the laboratory is to understand the relationships between outcrops of steeply dipping fault zones and the seismogenic faults at great depth. Special attention is directed to the interactions between circulating fluids and fault mechanics, including hydro-thermo-mechanical coupling and the role of geochemical healing and alteration. After passing through an overburden of sands, conglomerates and clays, the borehole penetrated alternating Mesozoic radiolarite and limestone in the hanging wall before intersecting the main fault zone at a depth of 760m, where cataclastic fault rocks were encountered. Below the fault, a homogeneous, heavily karstified limestone was encountered down to 1000m.

Fig. 10 near here

The Aigion fault-zone forms a hydraulic barrier that sustains about a 0.5 MPa differential pressure across it. There is a slight overpressure (~0.5 MPa) in the hanging wall immediately above the fault with a larger overpressure (0.9 ± 0.1 MPa) in the foot-wall resulting from the topographic relief from the footwall to the hanging wall sides of the fault. Nearly isothermal temperatures (31°C) below the fault is consistent with local convection in the karstic limestone while an absence there is an absence of flow above the fault. Geochemical data indicate a shallow continental origin of water in and adjacent to the fault zone, with a notable absence of deep fluid input from the mantle. The borehole is being used to make continuous measurements of pressure in the fault zone. The data acquired to date show tidal variations, as well as pressure variations induced by remote earthquakes (Cornet et al. 2004). The Corinth science team proposes to drill a 4.5 km deep borehole to monitor transients in pore pressure within the seismogenic zone and provide clues to the origin of deep fluids.

NELSAM

The deep gold mines of South Africa offer unique environments to study earthquakes by providing access to the focal area. The mining operations generate thousands of earthquakes per day, and some of these events approach M 5. The Natural Earthquake Laboratory in South African Mines (NELSAM) is a project that utilizes the availability of these deep mines for earthquake research (Reches et al. 2006). The central part of this project is dense instrumentation and detailed characterization of a large fault zone in TauTona mine, which is the deepest mine on Earth (approaching 4 km, depth) located within the Western Deep Levels of the Witwatersrand basin, South Africa (Figure 11).

Fig. 11 near here

The laboratory is built around the Pretorius fault zone (shown in pink in the lower part of Fig. 11), which is at least 10 km long with 30-200 m of displacement and which appears to have been inactive for the past 2.5 Ga. The mining plan for the next few years is likely to induce earthquakes of significant magnitude ($M > 2$) along this fault. NELSAM science activities at the site started in 2005 with site characterization, including mapping of 3D structure and composition of the Pretorius fault-zones at 3.54 km depth. The emphasis of the investigations has been on segments of the fault zone that were reactivated during recent earthquakes. Analysis of the stress state both near and away from the excavation will be carried out using techniques developed for the oil industry in which compressive and tensile wellbore failures are studied in wells of different orientation (*e.g.*, Zoback et. al, 2003). In addition, five boreholes, 20-60 m in length have been drilled across the Pretorius fault zone. Once completed, the earthquake laboratory will include a dense array (250 m footprint) of 3-component broadband accelerometers, seismometers, strain meters, temperature sensors, creep-meters, electromagnetic radiation sensors, and acoustic emissions sensors. Fault-zone fluid chemistry will be monitored with an onsite mass-spectrometer.

A M 2.2 earthquake that occurred on December 12, 2004 in the center of the planned earthquake laboratory that reactivated several segments of the Pretorius fault (Heesakkers

et al. 2005). The mapping of the rupture zone of this earthquake in three dimensions revealed quasi-planar, cross-cutting reactivated segments with inclinations ranging from 21° to 90°. The rupturing formed fresh fine-grained white rock powder almost exclusively along the contacts of the ancient, sintered cataclasite and the quartzitic host rock.

NanTroSeize

An extensive fault zone drilling campaign is planned as part of NanTroSeize experiment (Figure 12a) to be carried out by the Integrated Ocean Drilling Program in the Nankai trough just off southern Honshu, Japan. The extent of the 1944 Tonankai earthquake slip zone, the presumed extent of the locked zone (indicated by the heavy white line) and the presumed seismogenic zone are also shown.

The first-order objectives are to:

- Document the material properties and state of the plate boundary fault system at several P-T and lithology conditions, testing hypotheses for stable vs. unstable frictional behavior.
- Investigate partitioning between seismic vs. aseismic processes on the main plate boundary, through monitoring of seismicity, borehole strain, and pore fluid pressure.
- Test whether there are interseismic temporal changes in state along the plate-boundary thrust, including possible earthquake precursory signals.
- Use downhole measurements and sampling to calibrate observations made in the broader geophysical volume surrounding the boreholes.

In many ways, the specific science goals of this experiment are somewhat similar to those of the SAFOD project, although in a much different geologic setting. Specifically, the goals are to

- Obtain samples of faults and surrounding environment
 - Characterize lithology, structure, elastic and mechanical properties, porosity, permeability, pore fluid chemistry, microbiology
 - Use familiar ODP coring techniques, augmented by cuttings and sidewall coring

- Characterize the near-borehole environment
 - Geophysical logging: wireline and Logging While Drilling (LWD)
 - Active testing for pore fluid pressure, stress, hydrogeologic properties (permeability, storage)
- Monitor the borehole environment over time
 - Passive and active source seismology
 - Strain and tilt
 - Pore fluid pressure
 - Temperature
 - EM field

A detailed view of the proposed drilling area is shown in Fig. 12b (see box in Fig. 12a) where seismic reflection data reveals the detailed structure of the main décollement and splay faults in the accretionary prism. As shown, a series of relatively shallow holes will be drilled through splay faults in the accretionary wedge to study aseismic faulting. Following drilling, these holes will be used for downhole observatories. An eventual ultradeep borehole to be drilled into a seismogenic section of the décollement and possibly seismogenic deep part of the splay fault.

SUMMARY

Drilling and downhole measurements in active fault zones provide critical tests of hypotheses arising from seismologic observations, laboratory rock deformation experiments and geological observations of exhumed fault zones. Drilling provides the only direct means of measuring pore pressure, stress, permeability and other important parameters within and near an active fault zone at depth. It is also the only way to collect fluid and rock samples from the fault zone and wall rocks at seismogenic depths and to monitor time-dependent changes in fluid pressure, fluid chemistry, deformation, temperature and electromagnetic properties at depth during the earthquake cycle. In the context of the processes and properties alluded to above, in-situ observations and sampling through drilling perform two critical, and unique, functions. Sampling of fault rocks and fluids and downhole measurements provide essential constraints on mineralogy, grain size, fluid chemistry, temperature, stress, pore geometry and other parameters that would allow

laboratory investigations of fault zone rheology and frictional behavior to be conducted under realistic in-situ conditions. By in-situ sampling, downhole measurement and long-term monitoring in active fault zones one is able to test and refine the broad range of current theoretical models for faulting and seismogenesis by providing realistic constraints on fault zone physical properties, loading conditions and mechanical behavior at depth. In particular, by comparing results of microstructural observations and rheological investigations on core with measurements of microseismicity, fluid pressure and deformation during the fault zone monitoring phase of this experiment, we would be able to differentiate between fault zone processes (*e.g.*, fluid pressure fluctuations) associated with fault creep versus earthquakes.

Of course, no single drill hole into an active fault can address all of the questions listed above. Rather, like other avenues of earthquake research, the experiments being carried out in fault-related scientific drilling projects around the world (such as the ones noted above) cumulatively address many critical scientific questions about fault zone structure, composition and processes. Over time, the accumulation of data from these experiments will result in important advances in earthquake science.

REFERENCES

- Abercrombie R E 1995 Earthquake source scaling relationships from -1 to 5 M_l using seismograms recorded at 2.5 km depth. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100, 24015-24036
- Abercrombie R E and P Leary 1993 Source parameters of small earthquakes recorded at 2.5 km depth, Cajon pass, southern California: Implications for earthquake scaling. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 20, 1511-1514
- Ando M 2001 Geological and geophysical studies of the Nojima Fault from drilling: An outline of the Nojima Fault Zone Probe. *The Island Arc*, 10, 206-214, 2001
- Andreani M, A-M Boullier and J-P Gratier 2005 Development of schistosity by dissolution-crystallization in a California serpentinite gouge. *J. Struct. Geol.*, 27, 2256-2267
- Andrews D 2002 A fluid constitutive relation accounting for thermal pressurization of pore fluid. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107(B12), 2363, doi:10.1029/2002JB001942
- Andrews D J and Y Ben-Zion 1997 Wrinkle-like Slip Pulse on a Fault Between Different Materials. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 553-571
- Angevine C L, D L Turcotte, and M D Furnish 1982 Pressure solution lithification as a mechanism for the stick-slip behavior of faults. *Tectonics*, 1, 151-160
- Anooshepoor A, and J N Brune 1994 Frictional heat generation and seismic radiation in a foam rubber model of earthquakes. *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, 142, 735-748

- Bangs Nathan L, T H Shipley, S P S Gulick, G Moore, S Kuromoto, Y Nakamura 2004 Evolution of the Nankai Trough decollement from the trench into the seismogenic zone; inferences from three-dimensional seismic reflection imaging. *Geology*, 32, 273-276
- Barton C A, M D Zoback and K L Burns 1988 In-situ stress orientation and magnitude at the Fenton Geothermal site, New Mexico, determined from wellbore breakouts. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 15, 467-470
- Baumgärtner J, and M D Zoback 1989 Interpretation of hydraulic fracturing pressure-time records using interactive analysis methods. *Int. J. Rock. Mech. Min. Sci. Geomech. Abstr.*, 26, 461-470
- Ben-Avraham Z, and M D Zoback 1992 Transform-normal extension and asymmetric basins: An alternative to pull-apart models. *Geology*, 20, 423-426
- Beroza G C, and W L Ellsworth 1996 Properties of the seismic nucleation phase. *Tectonophysics*, 261, 209-227
- Bird P 1984 Hydration-phase diagrams and friction of montmorillonite under laboratory and geologic conditions, with implications for shale compaction, slope stability, and strength of fault gouge. *Tectonophysics*, 107, 235-260
- Blanpied M L, D A Lockner, and J D Byerlee 1991 Fault stability inferred from granite sliding experiments at hydrothermal conditions. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 18, 609-612
- Boness N and M D Zoback 2006 A multi-scale study of the mechanisms controlling shear velocity anisotropy in the San Andreas Fault Observatory at Depth. *Geophysics* 7 (5), F131-F146
- Boullier A-M, and F Robert 1992 Paleoseismic events recorded in Archaean gold-quartz vein networks, Val d'Or, Albitibi, Quebec, Canada. *J. Struct. Geol.*, 14, 161-179
- Boullier A-M, T Ohtani, K Fujimoto, H Ito, M Dubois 2001 Fluid inclusions in pseudotachylytes from the Nojima fault, Japan. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106(B10), 21965-21978, 10.1029/2000JB000043
- Bos B, and C J Spiers 2001 Experimental investigation into the microstructural and mechanical evolution of phyllosilicate-bearing fault rock under conditions favouring pressure solution. *Journal of Structural Geology*, 23, 1187-1202
- Brace W F and D L Kohlstedt 1980 Limits on lithospheric stress imposed by laboratory experiments. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 85, 6248-6252
- Brodsky E E and H Kanamori 2001 The elastohydrodynamic lubrication of faults. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 16, 357-16, 374
- Brudy M, M D Zoback, K Fuchs, F Rummel and J Baumgärtner 1997 Estimation of the complete stress tensor to 8 km depth in the KTB scientific drill holes: Implications for crustal strength. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 18,453 -18,475
- Bruhn R L, W A Yonkee and W T Parry 1990 Structural and fluid-chemical properties of seismogenic normal faults. *Tectonophysics*, 175, 139-157
- Bruhn R L, W T Parry, W A Yonkee and T Thompson 1994 Fracturing and hydrothermal alteration in normal fault zones. *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, 142, 609-644
- Brune J N 1970 Tectonic stress and the spectra of seismic shear waves from earthquakes. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 75, 4997-5009
- Brune J N, T L Henyey and R F Roy 1969 Heat flow, stress, and rate of slip along the San Andreas fault, California. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 74, 3821-3827

- Brune J N, S Brown and P A Johnson 1993 Rupture mechanism and interface separation in foam rubber models of earthquakes: A possible solution to the heat flow paradox and the paradox of large overthrusts. *Tectonophysics*, 218, 59-67
- Burford R O, and P W Harsh 1980 Slip on the San Andreas fault in central California from alignment array surveys. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 70, 1233-1261
- Byerlee J D 1978 Friction of rocks. *Pure Appl. Geoph.*, 116, 615-629
- Byerlee J D 1990 Friction, overpressure and fault normal compression. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 17, 2109-2112
- Byerlee J D 1993 A model for episodic flow of high pressure water in fault zones before earthquakes. *Geology*, 21, 303-306
- Byerlee J D, and Summers 1976 A note on the effect of fault gouge thickness on fault stability. *Int. J. Rock Mech. Min. Sci. Geomech. Abstr.*, 13, 35-36
- Byrne T, and D Fisher 1990 Evidence for a weak and overpressured décollement beneath sediment-dominated accretionary prisms. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 98, 9081-9097
- Chester F M, J P Evans, and R L Biegel 1993 Internal structure and weakening mechanisms of the San Andreas fault. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 98, 771-786
- Chester F M, and N G Higgs 1992 Multimechanism friction constitutive model for ultrafine quartz gouge at hypocentral conditions. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 97, 1859-1870
- Cornet F H, P Bernard and I Moretti 2004 The Corinth Rift Laboratory. *Comptes Rendus Geosciences*, 336, 235-241
- Dahlen F A 1990 Critical taper model of fold-and-thrust belts and accretionary wedges. *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.*, 18, 55-99
- Davis D, J Suppe, and F A Dahlen 1983 The mechanics of fold and thrust belts and accretionary wedges. *Geophys. Res.*, 88, 1153-1172
- Dewers T, and P Ortoleva 1994 Nonlinear dynamical aspects of deep basin hydrology; fluid compartment formation and episodic fluid release. *Am. J. Science*, 294, 713-755
- Dreger D S, H Tkalcic, and M Johnston 2000 Dilational processes accompanying earthquakes in the Long Valley Caldera. *Science*, 288, 122-125
- Dieterich J H 1992 Earthquake nucleation on faults with rate- and state-dependent strength. *Tectonophysics*, 211, 115-134
- Eichhubl P, and J R Boles 2000 Rates of fluid flow in fault systems – Evidence for episodic rapid fluid flow in the Miocene Monterey Formation Coastal California. *Am. J. of Science*, 300, 571-6000
- Ellsworth W. L, and G C Beroza 1995 Seismic evidence for an earthquake nucleation phase. *Science*, 268, 851-855
- Ellsworth W L, and G C Beroza 1998 Observation of the seismic nucleation phase in the Ridgecrest, California earthquake sequence. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 25, 401-404
- Evans, J P, and F M Chester 1995 Fluid-rock interaction in rocks of the San Andreas system: Inferences from San Gabriel fault rock geochemistry and microstructures. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100, 13007-13020
- Fehler, M C 1989 Stress control of seismicity patterns observed during hydraulic fracturing experiments at the Fenton Hill hot dry rock geothermal energy site, New Mexico. *Int. J. Rock Mech. Min. Sci.*, 26, 211-219
- Fisher, D M 1996 Fabrics and veins in the forearc: A record of cyclic fluid flow at depths of <15 km, in Bebout, G.E., et al., eds., Subduction: top to bottom. *American Geophysical Union Geophysical Monograph*, 96, 75-89

- Fitzenz, D D, and S A Miller 2003 Fault compaction and overpressured faults: Results from a 3D model of a ductile fault zone. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 155, 111–125
- Flesch, L M, W E Holt, A J Haines, and B Shen-Tu 2000 Dynamics of the Pacific-North American plate boundary in the western United States. *Science*, 287, 834– 836
- Fournier, R L 1996 Compressive and tensile failure at high fluid pressure where preexisting fractures have cohesive strength, with application to the San Andreas fault. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101, 25499-25509
- Fujimoto K, Tanaka H, Higuchi T, Tomida N, Ohtani T, Ito H 2001 Alteration and mass transfer inferred from the Hirabayashi GSJ drill penetrating the Nojima fault, Japan. *The Island Arc*, 10, 401-410
- Fyfe, S, N J Price, and A B Thomson 1978 *Fluids in the Earth's Crust*. Elsevier, New York
- Hacker, B R, M L Blanpied, D A Lockner and C F Jové 1995 Dehydration and friction: Laumontite \rightarrow wairakite + H₂O. *Geol. Soc. Am. Abs. with Prog.*, 27, A282
- Hainzl, S, and Y Ogata 2005 Detecting fluid signals in seismicity data through statistical earthquake modeling. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, B05S07, doi:10.1029/2004JB003247
- Harris, R A 1998 Introduction to special section: Stress triggers, stress shadows and implications for seismic hazard. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 24347-24358
- Harris, R A 2004 Numerical simulations of large earthquakes: Dynamic rupture propagation on heterogeneous faults. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*, 161, 2171–2181, doi:10.1007/s00024-004-2556-8
- Healy, J H, W W Rubey, D T Griggs and C B Raleigh 1968 The Denver earthquakes. *Science*, 161, 1301-1310
- Heaton, T H 1990 Evidence for and implications of self-healing pulses of slip in earthquake rupture. *Phys. Earth Planet. Interiors*, 64, 1-20
- Heesakkers V, Murphy SK, van Aswegen G, Domoney R, Addams S, Dewers T, Zechmeister M, Reches Z 2005 The Rupture Zone of the M=2.2 Earthquake that Reactivated the Ancient Pretorius Fault in TauTona Mine, South Africa. *Eos Transactions, AGU*, 86, Abstract S31B-04
- Heney, T.L. and G.J. Wasserburg 1971 Heat flow near major strike-slip faults in California. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 76, 7924-7946
- Hickman, S, 1991 Stress in the lithosphere and the strength of active faults, U.S. Nat. Rep. Int. Union Geod. Geophys. 1987-1990. *Rev. Geophys.*, 29, 759-775
- Hickman, S, and B Evans 1992 Growth of grain contacts in halite by solution transfer: Implications for diagenesis, lithification, and strength recovery. *Fault Mechanics and Transport Properties of Rocks*, edited by B Evans and T-F Wong, pp. 253-280, Academic, San Diego, Calif
- Hickman, S, R Sibson and R Bruhn 1995 Introduction to special section: Mechanical involvement of fluids in faulting. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100, 12831-12840
- Hickman, S H, C A Barton, M D Zoback, R Morin, J Sass and R Benoit 1997 In-situ stress and fracture permeability along the Stillwater fault zone, Dixie Valley, Nevada. *Int. J. Rock Mech. and Min. Sci.*, 34: 3-4, Paper No. 126
- Hickman, S, M D Zoback and W E Ellsworth 2004a Introduction to special section: Preparing for the San Andreas Fault Observatory at Depth. *Geophys. Res. Letters*, . 31, L12S01, doi: 10.1029/20040GL20688

- Hickman, S and M D Zoback 2004b Stress measurements in the SAFOD pilot hole: implications for the frictional strength of the San Andreas fault. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 31, L15S12
- Hubbert, M K, and W W Rubey 1959 Role of fluid pressure in mechanics of overthrust faulting. *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.*, 70, 115-205
- Hunt, J M, 1990 Generation and migration of petroleum from abnormally pressured fluid compartments. *Amer. Assoc. Petrol. Geol. Bull.*, 74, 1-12
- Iio, Y, 1992 Slow initial phase of the S-wave velocity pulse generated by microearthquakes. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 19, 477-480
- Iio, Y, 1995 Observation of the slow initial phase of microearthquakes implications for earthquake nucleation and propagation. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100, 15333-15349
- Imanishi, K, W E Ellsworth, and S G Prejean 2004 Earthquake source parameters determined by the SAFOD pilot hole array. *Geophys. Res. Letters*, 31, L12S09, doi:10.1029/2004GL019420
- Imanishi, K and W L Ellsworth in press Source Scaling Relationships of Microearthquakes at Parkfield, CA, Determined Using the SAFOD Pilot Hole Seismic Array, in AGU Monograph on Radiated Energy and the Physics of Earthquake Faulting, A. McGarr, H. Kanamori and R. Abercrombie, eds., Amer. Geophys. Union
- Janecke, S U, and J P Evans 1988 Feldspar-influenced rock rheologies. *Geology*, 16, 1064-1067
- Kanamori, H, 1980 State of stress in the Earth's lithosphere, in *Physics of the Earth's Interior*. Soc. Italiana di Fisica, Bologna, Italy, p. 531-554
- Kano, H., J. Mori, R. Fujio, H. Ito, T. Yanagidani, S. Nakao, K-F Ma, 2006, Heat signature of the Chelungpu fault associated with the 1999 Chi-chi Taiwan earthquake, *Geophys. Res. Letters*, 33, L14306.
- Kao, H, and J. Angelier, 2001, Stress tensor inversion for the Chi-chi earthquake sequence and its implications on regional collision, *Bull. Seis. Soc. Amer*, 91, 1028-1040.
- Karner, S, C Marone, B Evans 1997 Laboratory study of fault healing and lithification in simulated fault gouge under hydrothermal conditions. *Tectonophysics*, 277, 41-55
- Kennedy, B M, Y H Kharaka, W C Evans, A Ellwood, D J DePaolo, J Thordsen, G Ambats and R H Mariner 1997 Mantle fluids in the San Andreas fault system, California. *Science*, 278, 1278-1281
- Kerrick, R, T E La Tour and L Willmore 1984 Fluid participation in deep fault zones: Evidence from geological, geochemical, and $^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ relations. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 89, 4331-4343
- Kirby, S H 1983 Rheology of the lithosphere. *Rev. Geophys. Space Phys.*, 21, 1458-1487
- Ko, S-Z, D L Olgaard and T-F Wong 1997 Generation and maintenance of pore pressure excess in a dehydrating system 1. Experimental and microstructural observations. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 825-839
- Kostrov, B V 1964 Self-similar problem of propagation of shear cracks. *J. Applied Mathematics and Mechanics*, 28, 1077-1087
- Lachenbruch, A H 1980 Frictional heating, fluid pressure, and the resistance to fault motion. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 85, 6097-6112

- Lachenbruch, A H, and A McGarr 1990 Stress and heat flow, in *The San Andreas Fault System, California*. R. E. Wallace (ed.), pp. 261-277, U.S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Paper 1515
- Lachenbruch, A H and J H Sass 1980 Heat flow and energetics of the San Andreas fault zone. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 85, 6185-6223
- Lachenbruch, A H and J H Sass 1992 Heat flow from Cajon Pass, fault strength and tectonic implications. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 97, 4995-5015
- Lachenbruch, A H and G A Thompson 1972 Oceanic ridges and transform faults: Their intersection angles and resistance to plate motion. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 15, 116-122
- Lockner, D A, and J D Byerlee 1995 An earthquake instability model based on faults containing high fluid-pressure compartments. *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, 145, 717-745
- Logan, J M, and K A Rauenzahn 1987 Frictional dependence of gouge mixtures of quartz and montmorillonite on velocity, composition and fabric. *Tectonophysics*, 144, 87-108
- Lund, B and M D Zoback 1999 State of stress to 7 km depth in the Siljan drill holes from observations of drilling-induced tensile wall fractures. *Int'l. Jour. Rock Mech.*, 36, 169-190
- Ma, K-F, EE Brodsky, J Mori, C Song, T R Ji and H Kanamori 2003 Evidence for fault lubrication during the 1999 Chi-Chi, Taiwan, earthquake (Mw 7.6). *Geophysical Research letters*, 30, 1244, doi:10.1029/2002GL015380
- Ma, K-F., H. Tanaka, S-R Song, C-Y Wang, J-H Hung, Y-B Tsai, J. Mori, Y-F Song, E-C Yeh, W. Soh, H. Sone, L-W Kuo, H-Y Wu, 2006, Slip zone and energetics of a large earthquake from the Taiwan Chelungpu-fault Drilling Project, *Nature*, 444, 473-476.
- Madariaga, R 1976 Dynamics of an expanding circular fault. *Bull. Seis. Soc. America*, 66, 639-666
- Magee, M E, and M D Zoback 1993 Evidence for a weak interplate thrust fault along the northern Japan subduction zone and implications for the mechanics of thrust faulting and fluid expulsion. *Geology*, 21, 809-812
- Marone, C, C B Raleigh and C H Scholz 1990 Frictional behavior and constitutive modeling of simulated fault gouge. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 95, 7007-7025
- Mase, C W , and L Smith 1987 Effects of frictional heating on the thermal, hydrological, and mechanical response of a fault. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 92, 6249-6272
- Martinsen, R S, 1997 Stratigraphic controls on the development and distribution of fluid-pressure compartments. *Am. Assoc. Petroleum Geol. Memoir*, 67, 223-241
- McNutt, M, 1980 Implications of regional gravity for state of stress in the Earth's crust and upper mantle. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 85, 6377-6396
- McNutt, M K, and H W Menard 1982 Constraints on yield strength in the oceanic lithosphere derived from observations of flexure. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 71, 363-394
- Melosh, H J, 1979 Acoustic fluidization: A new geologic process? *J. Geophys. Res.*, 84, 7513-7520
- Melosh, H J, 1996 Dynamic weakening of faults by acoustic fluidization. *Nature*, 379, 601-606

- Miller, S A, 1996 Fluid-mediated influence of adjacent thrusting on the seismic cycle at Parkfield. *Nature*, 382, 799-802
- Moore, D E, D A Lockner, R Summers, M Shengli and J D Byerlee 1997 Strengths of serpentinite gouges at elevated temperatures. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 14787-14801
- Moore, J C, and P Vrolijk 1992 Fluids in accretionary prisms. *Rev. Geophys.*, 30, 113-135
- Moore, J C, T H Shipley, D Goldberg, et al. 1995 Abnormal fluid pressure and fault-zone dilation in the Barbados accretionary prism: Evidence from logging while drilling. *Geology*, 23, 605-608
- Morrow, C A , L Q Shi and J D Byerlee 1982 Strain hardening and strength of clay-rich fault gouges. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 87, 6771-6780
- Morrow, C, B Radney and J Byerlee 1992 Frictional strength and the effective pressure law of montmorillonite and illite clays. *Fault Mechanics and Transport Properties of Rocks*, edited by B Evans and T-F Wong, pp. 69-88, Academic, San Diego, Calif
- Mount, V S and J Suppe 1987 State of stress near the San Andreas fault: Implications for wrench tectonics. *Geology*, 15, 1143-1146
- Mount, V S, and J Suppe 1992 Present-day stress orientations adjacent to active strike-slip faults: California and Sumatra. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 97, 11995-12013
- Mrotek, K A, R C Quittmeyer, P G Naumhoff and C T Stratton 1988 Observations of the earthquake swarm near Moodus, Connecticut. September/October, 1987, *Eos, Trans. AGU*, 69, 495
- Murray, M H, and P Segall 2001 Modeling broadscale deformation in northern California and Nevada from plate motions and elastic strain accumulation. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 28 (22), 4315-4318
- Nadeau, R M, and T V McEvelly 1997 Seismological studies at Parkfield V: Characteristic microearthquake sequences as fault-zone drilling targets. *Bull. Seis. Soc. Am.*, 87, 1463-1472
- Nadeau, R, M Antolik, P Johnson, W Foxall and T V McEvelly 1994 Seismological studies at Parkfield III: Microearthquake clusters in the study of fault-zone dynamics. *Bull. Seis. Soc. Am.*, 84, 247-263
- Nadeau, R M, W Foxall and T V McEvelly 1995 Clustering and periodic recurrence of microearthquakes on the San Andreas fault at Parkfield, California. *Science*, 267, 503-507
- Nadeau R M, A Michelini, R A Uhrhammer, D Dolenc, T V McEvelly 2004 Detailed kinematics, structure and recurrence of microearthquake seismicity in the SAFOD target region. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 31, L12S08, doi:10.1029/2003GL019409
- Nur, A, and J R Booker 1972 Aftershocks caused by pore-fluid flow? *Science*, 175, 885-887
- Ohnaka, M 1992 Earthquake source nucleation: a physical model for short-term precursors. *Tectonophysics*, 211, 149-178
- Ohtani T, Tanaka H, Fujimoto K, Higuchi T, Tomida N, Ito H 2001 Internal structure of the Nojima fault zone from the Hirabayashi GSJ drill core. *The island Arc* 10, 392-400
- Oppenheimer, D H, P A Reasenberg and R W Simpson 1988 Fault-plane solutions for the 1984 Morgan Hill, California earthquake sequence: Evidence for the state of stress on the Calaveras fault. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 93, 9007-9026

- Oshiman N, Shimamoto T, Takemura K, Wibberley CAJ (eds), Nojima Fault Zone Probe. *The Island Arc*, 10, 195-505, 2001.
- Parry, W T 1994 Fault fluid compositions from fluid inclusion observations. In *Proceedings USGS Red Book Conference on the Mechanical Involvement of Fluids in Faulting*, S. Hickman, R. Sibson, and R. Bruhn (eds.), U.S. Geol. Surv. Open File Rep. 94-228, 334-348
- Peyrat, S, K B Olsen, and R Madariaga 2004 Which dynamic rupture parameters can be estimated from strong ground motion and geodetic data? *Pure and Applied Geophysics*, 161, 2155–2169, doi:10.1007/s00024-004-2555-9
- Pinkston, J, L Stern, and S Kirby 1987 Hydrothermal reactions on artificial fault surfaces in dunite: Fibrous mineral growth, slickensides and temperature sensitivity of reaction weakening. *Eos, Trans. AGU*, 68, 405
- Power, W L, and T E Tullis 1989 The relationship between slickenside surfaces in fine-grained quartz and the seismic cycle. *J. Struct. Geol.*, 11, 879-893
- Powley, D E 1990 Pressures and hydrogeology in petroleum basins. *Earth Sci. Rev.*, 29, 215-226
- Prejean, S G, and W L Ellsworth 2001 Observations of earthquake source parameters at 2 km depth in the Long Valley Caldera, Eastern California. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 91, 165-177
- Raleigh, C B, J H Healy and J D Bredehoeft 1972 An experiment in earthquake control at Rangely, Colorado. *Science*, 191, 1230-1237
- Reches Z, DAFSAM and NELSAM teams 2006 Building a natural earthquake laboratory at focal depth (DAFSAM-NELSAM Project, South Africa). *Scientific Drilling*, no. 3, 30-33
- Reinen, L A, and T E Tullis 1995 Microstructural evidence of strain localization and distributed strain in serpentine friction experiments. *Eos, Trans. AGU*, 76, F560
- Reinen, L A, J D Weeks and T E Tullis 1994 The frictional behavior of lizardite and antigorite serpentinites: Experiments, constitutive models, and implications for natural faults. *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, 143, 317-358
- Rice, J R 1992 Fault stress states, pore pressure distributions, and the weakness of the San Andreas fault. *Fault Mechanics and Transport Properties of Rocks*, B. Evans and T.-F. Wong (eds.), pp. 475-503, Academic, San Diego, Calif
- Rice, J R., and M P Cleary 1976 Some basic stress diffusion solutions for fluid-saturated elastic porous media with compressible constituents. *Rev. Geophys.*, 14, 227-241
- Rice, J R 2006 Heating and weakening of faults during earthquake slip. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, B05311, doi:10.1029/2005JB004006, 29 pages
- Rudnicki, J 1988 Physical models of earthquake instability and precursory processes. *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, 126, 531-524
- Rutter, E H, and D H Mainprice 1979 On the possibility of slow fault slip controlled by diffusive mass transfer processes. *Gerlands Beitr. Geophys.*, 88, 154-62
- Saffer, D M, B A Bekins and S Hickman 2003 Topographically driven groundwater flow and the San Andreas heat flow paradox revisited. *J. Geophys. Res* 108 (B5), ETG 12-1
- Saffer, D M., and B A Bekins 2006 An evaluation of factors influencing pore pressure in accretionary complexes: Implications for taper angle and wedge mechanics. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, B04101, doi:10.1029/2005JB003990

- Scholz, C H 1989 Mechanics of faulting. *Ann. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.*, 17, 309-334
- Scholz, C H 2000 Evidence for a strong San Andreas fault. *Geology* 28 (2): 163-166
- Schulz, S S 1989 Catalog of creepmeter measurements in California from 1966 through 1988. *U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 89-650*
- Schulz, S E, and J P Evans 2000 Mesoscopic structure of the Punchbowl fault, southern California and the geologic and geophysical structure of active strike-slip faults. *J. Struct. Geol.*, 22, 913– 930
- Segall, P, and J R Rice 1995 Dilatancy, compaction and slip instability of a fluid-infiltrated fault. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100, 22155-22171
- Shimamoto, T, and J Logan 1981 Effects of simulated clay gouges on the sliding behavior of Tennessee sandstone. *Tectonophysics*, 75, 243-255
- Shipley, T H, G F Moore, N L Bangs, J C Moore, and P L Stoffa 1994 Seismically inferred dilatancy distribution, northern Barbados Ridge decollement: Implications for fluid migration and fault strength. *Geology*, 22, 411-414
- Sibson, R H 1973 Interactions between temperature and pore-fluid pressure during earthquake faulting: A mechanism for partial or total stress relief. *Nature Phys. Sci.*, 243, 66-68
- Sibson, R H 1985 Stopping of earthquake ruptures at dilatational fault jogs. *Nature*, 316, 248-251
- Sibson, R H 1990 Rupture nucleation on unfavorably oriented faults. *Bull. Seis. Soc. America*, 80, 1580-1604
- Sleep, N H 1995 Ductile creep, compaction and rate and state dependent friction within major fault zones. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100, 13065-13080
- Sleep, N H., and M L Blanpied 1992 Creep, compaction, and the weak rheology of major faults. *Nature*, 359, 687-692
- Sleep, N H, and M L. Blanpied 1994 Ductile creep and compaction: A mechanism for transiently increasing fluid pressure in mostly sealed fault zones. *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, 143, 9-40
- Smith, L, C B Forster and J P Evans 1990 Interaction of fault zones, fluid flow and heat transfer on a basin scale. *Hydrogeology of Low Permeability Environments*, S.P. Newman and I. Neretnieks (eds.), Int. Assoc. Hydrol. Sci., Selected Papers, 2, 41-67
- Spray, J G 1987 Artificial generation of pseudotachylite using friction welding apparatus: simulation of melting on a fault plane. *J. Struct. Geol.*, 9, 49-60
- Stock, J M, J H Healy, S H Hickman and M D Zoback 1985 Hydraulic fracturing stress measurements at Yucca Mountain, Nevada, and relationship to regional stress field. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 90, 8691-8706
- Tanaka H, Kujimoto K, Ohtani T, Ito H 2001 Structural and chemical characterization of shear zones in the freshly activated Nojima fault, Awaji Island, southwest Japan. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 106, 8789-8810
- Tanaka H, Wang C Y, Chen W M, Sakaguchi A, Ujiie K, Ito H, Ando M 2002 Initial science report of shallow drilling penetrating into the Chelungpu fault zone, Taiwan. *Terrestrial, Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences*, 13, 227-251
- Tanaka, H., W.M. Chen, K. Kawabata and N. Urata, 2007, Thermal properties across the Chelungpu fault zone and evaluations of positive thermal anomaly on the slip zones: Are these residuals of heat from faulting, *Geophys. Res. Letters*, 34, L1309.

- Townend, J and M D Zoback 2000 How faulting keeps the crust strong. *Geology*, 28 #5 pp . 399-402
- Townend, J and M D Zoback 2004 Regional tectonic stress near the San Andreas fault in Central and Northern California. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 31 (13), L15S11
- Townend, J and M D Zoback 2001 Implications of earthquake focal mechanisms for the frictional strength of the San Andreas fault system. *The Nature and Tectonic Significance of Fault Zone Weakening*, eds. R. E. Holdsworth, R. A. Strachan, J. J. Macloughlin and R. J. Knipe, London, Special Publication of the Geological Society of London, 186, 13-21
- Wald, D J, and T H Heaton 1994 Spatial and temporal distribution of slip for the 1992 Landers, California, earthquake. *Bull. Seis. Soc. America*, 84, 668-691
- Wakita, H, and Y Sano 1987 High ³He emanation and seismic swarms observed in a nonvolcanic, forearc region. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 92, 12,539–12,546
- Wang, C Y, N Mao and F T Wu 1980 Mechanical properties of clays at high pressure. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 85, 1462-1468
- Wang, K, T Mulder, G Rogers and R Hyndman 1995 Case for very low coupling stress on the Cascadia subduction fault. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100, 12907-12918
- Wilcock, W S D, G M Purdy and S C Solomon 1990 Microearthquake evidence for extension across the Kane transform fault. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 95, 15439-15462
- Williams, C F, F V Grubb, and S P Galanis 2004 Heat flow in the SAFOD pilot hole and implications for the strength of the San Andreas Fault. *Geophys. Res. Letters*, 31, L15S14, doi:10.1029/2003GL019352
- Wintsch, R, R Christoffersen and A Kronenberg 1995 Fluid-rock reaction weakening of fault zones. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100, 13021-13032
- Wu, F T 1978 Mineralogy and physical nature of clay gouge. *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, 116, 655-689
- Wu, H-Y, K-F Ma, M Zoback, N Boness, H Ito and J-H Hung 2007 Stress orientations of Taiwan Chelungpu-Fault Drilling Project (TCDP) hole-A as observed from geophysical logs. *Geophys. Res., Lett.*, 34, L01303
- Yue, L F, J Suppe and J H Hung 2005 Structural geology of a classic thrust belt earthquake: the 1999 Chi-Chi earthquake Taiwan (M 7.6). *J. Struct. Geology*, 27, 2058-2083
- Zoback, M D, and G C Beroza 1993 Evidence for near-frictionless faulting in the 1989 (M 6.9) Loma Prieta, California, earthquake and its aftershocks. *Geology*, 21, 181-185
- Zoback, M D and J H Healy 1984 Friction, faulting, and “in situ” stresses. *Annales Geophysicae*, 2, 689-698
- Zoback, M D and J H Healy 1992 In situ stress measurements to 3.5 km depth in the Cajon Pass Scientific Research Borehole: Implications for the mechanics of crustal faulting. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 97, 5039-5057
- Zoback, M D, M L Zoback, V S Mount, J Suppe, J P Eaton, J H Healy, D Oppenheimer, P Reasenber, L Jones, C B Raleigh, I G Wong, O Scotti and C Wentworth 1987 New evidence on the state of stress of the San Andreas fault system. *Science*, 238, 1105-1111
- Zoback, M D and eight others 2003 Determination of stress orientation and magnitude in deep wells. *Int'l. Jour. Rock Mech.*, 40, 1049-1076

Submitted 1/22/2007

Zoback, M D 2000 Strength of the San Andreas. *Nature*, 405, 31-32

Figure Captions

1 – Stress measurements in deep boreholes indicate high crustal strength in accordance with Coulomb faulting theory with laboratory-determined coefficients of friction between 0.6 and 1.0 and hydrostatic pore pressure, which is observed in each borehole (after Townend and Zoback, 2000). It should be noted that the uncertainty estimates in Figure 1 are likely significantly smaller than those shown.

2 – Maximum horizontal compressive stress (S_{Hmax}) and crustal velocity data from central California shown in an oblique Mercator projection about the North America-Pacific Euler pole [DeMets et al., 1990]. S_{Hmax} directions determined from borehole breakouts are shown by inward-pointing arrows, from hydraulic fracturing experiments by short line segments with stars, and from earthquake focal mechanism inversions by short line segments with split circles. The dashed trajectories show regional S_{Hmax} directions calculated using a model of lithospheric buoyancy and plate interaction by Flesch et al., (2000). The vectors illustrate crustal velocity data relative to North America [from Murray and Segall, 2001]. The numbers in parentheses are Quaternary fault slip rates. The location of the SAFOD drillhole is shown by the open circle near Parkfield. (Figure after Townend and Zoback, 2004)

3 – Schematic diagram of the SAFOD Pilot Hole and Main Hole. The precise location of the target earthquakes is approximate although the relative locations are exact. As indicated by the distribution of micro-earthquakes, slip is accommodated by multiple faults at depth. Simply connecting the position of the San Andreas fault at depth with the surface position implies that the fault dips steeply to the west.

4 – Geophysical logs and generalized lithology from Phase 2 of the SAFOD project (shown in red in Fig. 3). Anomalously low V_p , V_s and resistivity indicate a broad low damage zone ~ 250 m wide. Multiple active fault traces appear to occur within the damage zone. The red line at 3300 m depth indicates an area of localized casing deformation. A $M \sim 0$ earthquake was recorded by a seismic array in the borehole appears to be associated with the localized low velocity zone at 3410m.

5 – The Nojima fault -- source of the M 6.9 1995 Kobe earthquake -- is a NE trending strike-slip fault exposed on Awaji island and extends beneath Osaka Bay. Numerous shallow aftershocks (black dots) occurred on the section of the fault where drilling took place. East-west and north-south cross-sections show the distribution of aftershocks with depth. As shown, many of the aftershocks beneath Awaji island are extremely shallow (2-5 km deep), with others extending to typical depths of 10-15 km (Figure courtesy of H. Ito)

6 – Lithologies and encountered in the Nojima fault and photographs of rocks from the hanging wall, fault core and footwall (after Ando, 2001).

7 – a) Generalized map of surface geology in western Taiwan (H. Tanaka, pers. comm., 2006), the trace of the Chelungpu fault that slipped in the M 7.7, 1999 Chi-Chi

earthquake epicenter and the location of the TCDP boreholes near Dakeng are shown. The average \sim N120°E direction of maximum horizontal compression observed in the borehole (Wu et al., 2007) is the same as the regional stress field (Kao and Angelier, 2001) and the motion direction of the Phillipine Sea Plate relative to Taiwan (see inset). b) Geologic cross section derived from high resolution seismic reflection data showing the location of the TCDP borehole with respect to the east dipping Chelungpu fault (after Yue et al., 2005).


8 – Detailed lithologies and fault rocks encountered in the two TCDP core holes shown on a background of the generalized geology as shown in Fig. 7b. The primary slip zone in hole A was encountered at a depth of 1112.23-1111.35 m (see photo) and 1136.50-1136.62 m in hole B (see photo). (Figure courtesy of K.-F. Ma).


9 – Active tectonics of the Gulf of Corinth area showing the locations of active normal faults, large earthquakes of the past 100 years and geodetically determined extension directions and rates. (Figure courtesy of F. Cornet)


10 – Geology in the vicinity of the AIG 10 well that was cored through the Aigion fault. The fault was encountered at a depth of \sim 760 m. Pore pressure on the footwall side of the fault at depth was approximately 1 MPa in excess of hydrostatic, consistent with the fact that the footwall elevation is approximately 100m higher than the elevation of the well. (Figure courtesy of F. Cornet)


11- The TauTona mine is located in the Carleton goldfield, approximately 80 km west of Johannesburg, South Africa (see inset). Scientific drilling is occurring in the vicinity of the Pretorius fault zone (shown by pink shading) where a number of recent earthquakes have occurred recently. The figure shows the excavations at a depth of 3597 to 3657m. Most of the faults exposed in the mine tunnels trend NE-SW, similar to the overall trend of the Pretorius fault zone. (Figure courtesy of Z. Reches)


12 – (a) Seismic velocity structure in the Nankai trough area reveals the nature of the downgoing Phillipine Sea plate, the accretionary wedge and old accreted sediments (after Nakanishi et al., 2002). The extent of the 1944 Tonankai earthquake slip zone, the presumed extent of the locked zone (indicated by the heavy white line) and the presumed seismogenic zone are also shown. (b) A detailed view of the proposed drilling area (see box in (a)) where seismic reflection data reveals the detailed structure of the main décollement and splay faults in the accretionary prism. Note that a series of boreholes are planned to penetrate various parts of the main décollement and splay faults. (Figure courtesy of H. Tobin)

























Target area of the Corinth Rift Laboratory project



(depths from refraction, m)

(depths from geological log, m)

