The structural settings of convective hydrothermal systems in southeastern British Columbia, Canada
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ABSTRACT

A concentration of thermal springs with outlet temperatures ranging from ~20-80°C occurs in the southeastern corner of British Columbia, Canada, and is an attractive target for geothermal energy exploration. There is no active or young volcanism in the immediate vicinity (within 100s of km), and yet the crustal heat flow in this region is relatively high (~80-100 mW/m²). Geothermometry of spring water suggests that reservoir temperatures range between ~40-180°C, indicating that some circulation systems reach depths of 2-5 km based on past estimates of geothermal gradients. The thermal springs occur in association with several major fault zones, which may permit deep circulation of fluid through fractured reservoirs. In other fault-hosted geothermal systems (e.g., Great Basin, Rhine Graben), hydrothermal circulation has been shown to correspond to zones of enhanced permeability caused by localized extension, high fracture density, and/or active slip and dilation. Here, we present data from new structural mapping in the major fault zones of southeastern British Columbia. Our dataset of fault plane and slickenline orientations suggests a recent, possibly post-Eocene, phase of dextral strike-slip faulting not previously identified by regional mapping. The NNE-SSW stress field required for these kinematics is similar to the present-day stress field derived from crustal earthquake focal mechanisms. Future geothermal exploration efforts in this area should focus on fault segments oriented favourably for slip and dilation within this stress field.

1. INTRODUCTION

There has long been interest in developing geothermal energy in western Canada (Jessop et al., 1991; Grasby et al., 2012), but as of yet, there are no operating geothermal power plants or direct heating systems (excluding shallow geo-exchange). Part of the problem is limited geological understanding of the regions where geothermal potential is highest, particularly the complexly deformed Canadian Cordillera of British Columbia and Yukon. Crustal heat flow in the Cordillera is relatively high (~80-100 mW/m²; Davis and Lewis, 1984), and the occurrence of ~130 thermal springs has attracted the interest of geothermal developers. However, data constraining the subsurface is limited, which discourages investment.

Most geothermal systems around the world occur in magmatically and tectonically active areas (e.g., Western United States, Japan, New Zealand, Iceland). This is in part due to the elevated enthalpy in the crust, but also due to the enhanced permeability of brittle faults, which act as conduits for circulating hydrothermal fluids (Moeck, 2014). Several characteristics of fault zones influence their structure including age and seismic activity (e.g., Curewitz and Karson, 1997), kinematics (e.g., Meixner et al., 2016), and subsurface geometry (e.g., Moreno et al., 2018). Understanding these parameters is key to understanding the geothermal systems they host.

Hydrothermal systems (i.e. thermal springs) in the Canadian Cordillera are broadly associated with major fault zones (Grasby and Hutcheon, 2001). However, detailed investigations of many of these faults with regard to their hydrogeologically-significant properties have not previously been conducted. This paper focuses on hydrothermal systems in three specific regions in the southern Canadian Cordillera (Figure 1B: Valemount and Canoe Reach [Section 3.1], Nakusp and the West Kootenays [Section 3.2], and the Southern Rocky Mountain Trench [section 3.3]). These regions were chosen because of their concentrations of high temperature thermal springs, elevated crustal heat flow, proximity to populated towns, and commercial interest in geothermal development. We present new structural data collected within fault zones that appear to control hydrothermal systems in these areas. We also consider the current stress field of the crust, and its relationship to fault kinematics and geometry. Our data provide new insight into the age, kinematics, and geometry of these fault zones.

2. BACKGROUND

2.1 Regional Geology

The Canadian Cordillera is an ~800km wide mountain belt that stretches from the Arctic Ocean to the U.S. border, mostly within the Northwest and Yukon territories and the provinces of British Columbia and Alberta. Its elevated topography, rugged relief, and complex geology reflect a protracted and ongoing interaction between various oceanic plates, accreted terranes, and continental North America (Gabrielse and Yorath, 1991). For simplicity, the Cordillera is divided into five major morphostructural belts (Figure 1A; Gabrielse et al., 1991). The easternmost Foreland Belt, is comprised of folded and thrusted – but largely unmetamorphosed – carbonate and siliciclastic rocks of an ancestral passive margin and a subsequent foreland basin. Adjacent and to the west is the Omineca Belt, which is dominantly comprised of metamorphosed sedimentary rocks coeval with those in the Foreland Belt and deformed during the same mountain building events. Deformation of the Omineca and Foreland Belts is associated with Jurassic and younger accretionary and collisional events, including accretion of arc terranes that make up the more westerly Intermontane Belt (Evenchick et al., 2007). The Omineca Belt is intruded by numerous Jurassic and Cretaceous continental arc-type plutonic suites (Armstrong, 1988). Tectonic windows expose metamorphosed crystalline rocks, interpreted as cratonic basement exhumed during
Eocene extension (Parrish et al., 1988). West of the Intermontane Belt lies the Coast Belt, which is largely comprised of intrusive and metamorphic rocks associated with the Cretaceous to Eocene subduction and accretion of the westernmost Insular Belt, which underlies Vancouver Island, Haida Gwaii, and the Alaska Panhandle (Evenchick et al., 2007). Young and active continental arc volcanoes are being constructed atop the Coast Belt as a result of ongoing subduction of the Juan de Fuca plate and other micro-plates off the western margin of the continent (Green et al., 1988). This study is focused on hydrothermal systems in the southern Omineca Belt, from the U.S. border to ~53° N (Figure 1B).

Figure 1. A) Regional geological setting of the Canadian Cordillera. Morphogeological belts are after Gabrielse et al. (1991), and the boundaries of allochthonous and autochthonous superterranes are after Colpron and Nelson (2011). Holocene-active volcanoes (American Geological Institute, 2003) occur predominantly within the Coast Belt. Concentrations of thermal springs occur along the axis of the Coast Belt, in the northern Omineca and Foreland Belts, and in the southern Omineca and Foreland Belts (Woodsworth and Woodsworth, 2014). Note that the latter two clusters do not correspond to regions of active volcanism, but do correspond to significant (>100 mW/m²) heat flow anomalies (see: Majorowicz and Grasby, 2010). Our study areas are located in the southern Omineca and Foreland Belts. B) Major faults in southeastern British Columbia: AF—Adit Fault; BF—Beaver Fault; CLF—Champion Lakes Fault; CRF—Columbia River Fault; LSF—Lakeshore Fault; OF—Okanagan Fault; PThF—Purcell Thrust Fault; PTrF—Purcell Trench Fault; RMTF—Rocky Mountain Trench Fault; RWF—Redwall Fault.

2.2 Sources and Expressions of Heat Flow in the Canadian Cordillera

The Cordillera is one of the most promising regions in Canada for geothermal energy development due to its high heat flow and steep geothermal gradients (Grasby et al., 2012). Whereas much of eastern and central Canada is underlain by old and cold cratonic lithosphere and ancient orogenic belts, the Cordillera is geologically young and is subject to ongoing tectonic and magmatic processes that enhance geothermal conditions. The presence of >130 thermal springs throughout the Cordillera (Figure 1A) provides a first-order indication that heat flow might be sufficient for geothermal energy extraction. Outlet temperatures of these springs range from ~20-80 °C (Woodsworth and Woodsworth, 2014). Aqueous geothermometry indicates that the maximum temperatures reached by some of these systems exceeds 180 °C, with maximum circulation depths estimated to be ~2-5km (Grasby and Hutcheon, 2001; Allen et al., 2006; Caron et al., 2007). While thermal springs are not necessarily the best indicator of geothermal prospectivity (Ferguson and Grasby, 2011), they do provide a basic indication of geothermal resource potential, in an otherwise poorly constrained subsurface environment.
The geothermal gradient of the Cordillera ranges from ~20-50 °C/km, (Hitchon, 1984; Lewis et al., 1992; Grasby and Hutcheon, 2001). These values, though lower than most conventional (high enthalpy) geothermal energy resources, are similar to gradients measured in low enthalpy systems being explored and developed for electricity generation in Europe and New Zealand (e.g., Agemar et al., 2014; Reyes, 2015; Farquharson et al., 2016). Crustal heat flow in the Cordillera ranges from ~40-130 mW/m² (Hyndman and Lewis, 1995; Blackwell and Richards, 2004; Majorowicz and Grasby, 2010). Heat flow is locally very high (>200 mW/m²) near active volcanoes in the Garibaldi Volcanic Belt (e.g., Mount Meager), but these values do not reflect the bulk of thermal conditions in the Cordillera. In several broad regions heat flow exceeds 100 mW/m² (see: Majorowicz and Grasby, 2010), which is comparable to geothermal-energy-producing regions like Nevada and Utah (Blackwell and Richards, 2004). Interestingly, one of these regions, the southern Omineca Belt (Columbia Mountains) of southeastern British Columbia, does not contain any active or recently active volcanoes, which suggests that the heat might come from shallow intrusions in the crust. Indeed, radioactive heat generation measured in Cretaceous and Paleogene intrusive suites is high in the Omineca Belt (Lewis et al., 1992).

2.3 Major Fault Zones and their Relation to Hydrothermal Systems

Grasby and Hutcheon (2001) compared several parameters including heat flow, permeability, topography/relief, infiltration rate, and the presence of fault zones with regards to their influence on the location of thermal springs in the southern Canadian Cordillera. Ultimately they determined that – with the exception of springs within the Garibaldi Volcanic Belt – fault zones act as a primary control on the positions of thermal springs in the Canadian Cordillera, while the other factors have a negligible influence. From east to west, the significant fault zones in the southeastern Cordillera identified by Grasby and Hutcheon (2001) as hydrogeologically significant are the: Southern Rocky Mountain Trench Fault (SRMT), Purcell Trench Fault (PTF), Columbia River Fault (CRF), and Okanagan Lake Fault (OF) (see Figure 1B). All of these structures have been interpreted as hosting significant normal displacement during Eocene extension of the Cordillera (Parrish et al., 1988; van der Velden and Cook, 1996).

Fault zones typically have an anisotropic permeability structure, dependent on the relative percentages of clay fault gouge and fractured wall rock (Caine et al., 1996). Typically, cross-fault flow is impeded by the impermeable core material, while along-fault flow is facilitated by the permeable damage zone. Grasby and Hutcheon, (2001) presented a conceptual model for hydrothermal convection cells in the Cordillera in which meteoric water percolates vertically down through the crust until it encounters a shallow-dipping fault plane, and is forced back up to the surface via the damage zone conduit. Since faults tend to outcrop in valleys, there is a natural topographic drive to such systems, with recharge occurring in mountainous highlands.

What remains unanswered is why certain faults host thermal springs while others do not, and why thermal springs are distributed unevenly along fault zones. Variations in crustal heat flow, precipitation/infiltration rate, and topographic relief occur on wavelengths too broad to explain the pattern of spring occurrence (Grasby and Hutcheon, 2001; Ferguson and Grasby, 2011). It is therefore likely that inter- and intra-fault variations in geometry and permeability structure are critical parameters. It has been shown in other structurally-controlled geothermal systems that the current stress state of the crust and resulting fault kinematics can predict which fault segments are most permeable; faults oriented parallel or oblique to $S_{\text{max}}$ (maximum horizontal compression) are more likely to be permeable than those oriented perpendicular to $S_{\text{max}}$ (e.g., Meixner et al., 2016). Furthermore, there is a positive relationship between strain rate and fault permeability – seismic activity has been shown to maintain fault permeability via episodic fracturing of minerals precipitating within the fault zone (Curewitz and Karson, 1997). Below, we discuss these parameters for major faults in three areas of southeastern BC (Figure 1B).

3. STRUCTURAL SETTINGS OF HYDROTHERMAL SYSTEMS IN THE CORDILLERA

3.1 Valemount and Canoe Reach

3.1.1 Background

The town of Valemount has attracted commercial interest in geothermal energy production due to the occurrence of the Canoe River thermal spring ~30 km south of the townsite on the west shore of Kinbasket Lake hydroelectric reservoir (Figure 3) in the Southern Rocky Mountain Trench (SRMT). The Canoe River spring is one of the hottest thermal springs in British Columbia, with outlet temperatures measured at 80 °C and maximum temperatures derived from aqueous geochemical data. Geothermal exploration program in the area, conducting soil sampling, geophysical surveys, and slim-hole drilling (see: http://www.borealisgeopower.com/).

The crustal structure of the Valemount area is complex (Figure 3), and there are limited constraints on the structures that might control hydrothermal circulation. Much of the area is underlain by Paleoproterozoic basement gneiss, referred to as the Malton Gneiss on the southwest side of the SRMT valley, and the Bulldog and Yellowjacket gneisses on the northeast side. Some authors have asserted that the eastern and western gneiss packages are geochemically and geophysically distinct, arguing for a major transcurrent fault between them (Chamberlain and Lambert, 1985; Chamberlain et al., 1985). However, all three packages are similar, and may represent exhumed cratonic basement (McDonough and Simony, 1988). The gneiss complex is overlain by a metasedimentary cover sequence assigned to the Neoproterozoic Miette Group. The gneiss complex and a thin slice of lower Miette Group are carried northeastward over middle and upper Miette rocks on the Bearfoot Thrust, a syn-metamorphic dextral-oblique reverse fault assumed to have accommodated 50 km of dip-slip displacement (McDonough and Simony, 1988, 1989). Orogen-parallel ductile stretching lineations in the footwall of the Bearfoot Thrust indicates that the thrust pre-dates Cenozoic brittle structures (McDonough and Simony, 1989). The Malton and Bulldog gneiss packages are carried in the hanging wall of the northeast-verging Purcell Thrust, a large, out-of-sequence thrust fault that is mapped for several 100 kilometers to the south (Price, 1981). The western extent of the Malton Gneiss is defined by the steeply west-dipping Thompson-Alreda Fault, a fault associated with Eocene extension of the orogen. Separating the Malton and Bulldog/Yellowjacket gneiss packages, on the floor of the SRMT, is the Rocky Mountain Trench Fault, a steeply-dipping west-side down normal fault. Its exact trace is obscured by Quaternary cover and the hydroelectric reservoir, and its presence inferred from offset metamorphic isograds and stratigraphic horizons. The RMT fault is mapped as far south as 52 °N, where it disappears, and the Trench floor is instead occupied by the Purcell Thrust Fault. The RMT fault reappears south of Canal Flats and continues as far south as Flathead Lake in Montana. Notably, north of Valemount, the RMT fault and its northern
continuation, the Tintina Fault, are known to host significant dextral slip (Roddick, 1967; Gabrielse, 1985; McMechan, 2000). Murphy, (1990) identified dextral mylonitic fabrics at the north end of Kinbasket Lake, but dextral strike-slip is not believed to continue any further south along the SRMT, and are instead accommodated on structures like the Fraser River Fault to the west (Price and Carmichael, 1986; Struik, 1993).

3.1.2 New Observations

In the spring of 2018 we conducted detailed structural fieldwork in the Valemount area and south along the Kinbasket hydroelectric reservoir (Figure 2 & 3). We focused on the shorelines of the reservoir in an attempt to capture kinematic indicators proximal to the RMT fault zone, which runs along the lake. We collected nearly 150 measurements of fault plane and slickenline orientations, especially in the continuous exposures of the basal Windermere Supergroup and Bulldog Gneiss on the northeast side of the reservoir (Figure 2). Slickenlines were ranked according to confidence, and care was taken to avoid mistaking riedel shears for the more diagnostic mineral growths on the lee side of fault plane asperities. We found abundant subhorizontal slickenlines, generally occurring on subvertical fault planes on numerous outcrops, distributed for 40 km south along the lake. The majority of slickenlines indicated dextral slip. Few west-side down dip-slip slickenlines were observed. Subsets of slickenlines were identified manually and kinematic analysis was performed on each subset using the Orient software package (Voller, 2019). Beach balls (Figure 3) showing P and T axes were calculated based on the average kinematics of all faults within each subset.

An Mw 4.8 earthquake occurred in the area on May 14, 1978 (Rogers et al., 1980), and was initially investigated due to concerns over the filling of the Kinbasket Lake reservoir (historically referred to as McNaughton Lake). The preferred focal mechanism for this earthquake was dominantly right lateral, with a reverse component, on a SSE striking fault plane. Ultimately it was concluded that the earthquake was not induced by the reservoir, but rather was attributed to “stresses associated with residual strain energy stored during the mountain building process” (Rogers et al., 1980). The orientation of the focal mechanism of the McNaughton Lake earthquake is similar to the orientation of fault planes and slickenlines observed in the area (Figure 3).
3.2 Nakusp and the West Kootenay Region

3.2.1 Background

The West Kootenay region of southeastern British Columbia has some of the highest heat flow values in Canada (Blackwell and Richards, 2004; Majorowicz and Grasby, 2010), and the town of Nakusp bills itself as the Hot Spring capital of Canada. Some of Canada’s hottest thermal springs, with the highest estimates of maximum temperatures (Grasby and Hutcheon, 2001), exist in the area. Many thermal springs in this area issue from the Kuskanax Batholith (Figure 5), a mid-Jurassic (173 ± 5 Ma) batholith that underlies most of the mountain range to the northeast of Nakusp (Parrish and Wheeler, 1983).

There are two fault zones in this area that are likely significant controls on the local hydrogeology: the Columbia River Fault and the Slocan Lake Fault. Both have previously been interpreted as low-angle, east-dipping, brittle normal faults, with a range of possible displacement. In the footwall (west side) of the Columbia River and Slocan Lake faults there are amphibolite to granulite grade metamorphic complexes, the Monashee and Valhalla, respectively. These, like the Malton gneiss complex near Valemount, are interpreted to be fragments of exhumed cratonic basement (Ross, 1991). Rocks in the hanging-walls are generally greenschist-grade metasedimentary rocks, and unmetamorphosed Jurassic and Cretaceous plutonic suites.
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The Columbia River Fault extends ~225 km southward from the Mica hydroelectric dam to the hamlet of Burton on Lower Arrow Lake. At its northern end it merges with the RMT Fault in Kinbasket Lake (Figure 1B). At its south end, its displacement wanes to zero. Dip-slip displacements estimated on the basis of offset metamorphic isograds range from <1 km (Lemieux et al., 2003), 1-10 km (Lane, 1984), 15-80 km (Read and Brown, 1981), and 30 km (Parrish et al., 1988). Excavations during the construction of the Revelstoke hydroelectric dam in the late 1970s provided the opportunity for detailed structural analysis of the brittle Columbia River Fault. Lane (1984) measured the orientation of kinematic indicators at the damsite and at several sites along the Highway 23 to the north of the dam. He concluded that primary displacement was extensional dip-slip, with a later phase of dextral strike-slip motion that was deemed insignificant. He also concluded that the right-hand step in the trace of the fault at the dam site might be associated with vertical axis rotation during dextral transpression. South of Revelstoke, the fault follows Upper Arrow Lake, coming ashore on the east side of the lake immediately south of the Galena Bay ferry terminal. Near the Halcyon Hot Spring, the fault swings southwest and crosses the lake, running to the west of Saddle Mountain before terminating at the hamlet of Burton (Figure 5).

The Slocan Lake – Champion Lakes Fault system extends ~140 km from Summit Lake along Highway 6 between Nakusp and New Denver south along Slocan Lake, through Castlegar, ending near Montrose. Interpreted deep seismic reflection profiles from the Lithoprobe project indicate that it dips shallowly east, penetrating the Moho (Cook et al., 1992). The Slocan Lake Fault is coincident with and overprints the surface trace of a broad zone of ductile deformation, the Valkyr Shear Zone, that arches over the Valhalla metamorphic complex to the east (Carr et al., 1987). South of Castlegar, the SLF becomes known as the Champion Lakes Fault, and is mapped as a moderate to steeply (40-80°) east dipping normal fault with a minimum of 1-2 km of offset (Corbett and Simony, 1984).

3.2.2 New Observations

In 2018 and 2019 we revisited exposures of the brittle CRF and SLF described by previous authors. At the Revelstoke Dam-site we documented both normal dip-slip and dextral strike-slip kinematic indicators, an observation consistent with that of Lane (1984). On the west side of Arrow Lake directly across from Nakusp, we visited an outcrop of fault gouge interpreted as an exposure of the CRF (Lemieux et al., 2003) where it strikes southeastward. Themain schistose fabric of the footwall rocks is folded, forming small amplitude south-vergent folds. We made a similar reinterpretation at a nearby outcrop originally mapped by (Thompson et al., 2004). Such deformation is consistent with reverse faulting during N-S shortening.

In the region between southern Upper Arrow Lake and northern Slocan Lake, we observed a belt of east-west trending faults and folds that occur at a high angle to the regional strike. Cretaceous and Cenozoic intrusions appear to be affected by this deformation: brittle faults are observed on the margins of plutons, and felsic sills that intruded along the primary cleavage planes are folded forming south-verging folds (Figure 4B). Age constraints on these intrusions are sparse, so it is difficult to confirm the timing of this deformation, but it is at least post-Cretaceous, if not post-Eocene. This suggests that this region between the northern tip of the Slocan Lake Fault and the southern tip of the Columbia River Fault could be a restraining bend in a dextral system.

At two locations along the east side of Slocan Lake, where the SLF crosses Highway 6, we observed subhorizontal dextral slickenlines on subvertical fault planes, in one case on a brittle fault that cuts through the Eocene-aged Ladybird granite (Carr, 1992), and on another that cuts at a high angle across the Eocene-aged ductile fabric of the Valkyr Shear Zone (Carr et al., 1987) (Figure 4A).

The Jurassic Kuskanax Batholith, from which several thermal springs issue, has several north-south striking faults mapped through its core, which appear to be subvertical based on their interaction with topography (Figure 5). Thompson et al. (2009) mapped these faults as dextrally offsetting roof pendants of Paleozoic metavolcanic rocks. It seems likely that these faults arose from the same transpression that caused dextral motion on the CRF.

Figure 4. A) A) View south at outcrop on Highway 6 south of New Denver (49.8189 °N, -117.4549 °W). A 2-meter wide subvertical brittle dextral fault oriented 172°/74° cuts across shallowly-east-dipping (008°/31°) ductile fabric of the Slocan Lake Fault/Valkyr Shear Zone. B) View east at outcrop on Highway 6 north of New Denver (50.0555 °N, -117.4323 °W). Small, 20 cm wavelength, south-vergent folds are observed in felsic sills intruded parallel to the S1 cleavage (oriented 278°/51°) of the Slocan Phyllite. Circle is approx. 1.5 m wide.
3.3 The Southern Rocky Mountain Trench and the Redwall Fault

3.3.1 Background

There are seven thermal springs that occur along the eastern edge of the Southern Rocky Mountain Trench between the towns of Golden and Cranbrook. While the springs along the SRMT are not extremely hot, neither at depth nor at the surface (Grasby and Hutcheon, 2001), their close association with the Redwall Fault (Figure 7), make them an interesting case study in structural controls of thermal springs in the Cordillera.

The Redwall Fault is an enigmatic structure that has not been investigated in great detail since it was first mapped by Henderson (1954). Its surface trace extends from the hamlet of Edgeworth in the southern Rocky Mountain Trench, passing just east of Radium Hot Springs. It continues south along the Stanford Range, intersecting the Kootenay River at the Red Rock warm springs, before disappearing near Premier Lake. It has been suggested that it merges with the Lussier River Fault to the south, which also hosts several thermal springs (Foo, 1979). The fault is subvertical for its entire length, leading several authors to conclude that it hosted...
either sinistral (Henderson, 1954) or dextral (Charlesworth, 1959) motion. More recently, Foo (1979) considered the Redwall Fault to be a back-rotated thrust fault.

The Redwall Fault is so-named due to the striking red colour of the fault zone caused by hematite oxidation (Figure 6B). The fault zone occurs in conjunction with a zone of subangular to subrounded matrix-supported pebble to boulder conglomerate. This texture was originally interpreted to represent a zone of Cretaceous fault breccia (Henderson, 1954), but subsequent investigations have suggested that most of the breccia may be due to pre-Cretaceous evaporite-solution collapse (Stanton, 1966; Price, 2000), a theory supported by the proximity of extensive gypsum deposits (Henderson, 1954). Stratigraphic offsets, and evidence of shearing within the conglomerate indicate that it has subsequently been reworked by faulting (of uncertain kinematics), following a decollement in the evaporites.

3.3.2 New Observations

In 2019 we visited exposures of the Redwall Fault near Radium, Invermere, and Canal Flats. Dextral kinematic indicators were observed at exposures of the fault immediately east of the Radium Hotspring, along the Westroc Mine road east of Invermere, and at the Red Rock warm springs on the Kootenay River forest service road (Figure 6 & 7). An outcrop exposed on a forest service road southwest of Lussier Hot Springs displays red and orange-stained microbreccia and abundant slickenlines. It appears to share similar characteristics with the Redwall Fault, suggesting the Redwall Fault does not continue into the Lussier River Fault as previously mapped, but instead re-merges with the RMT fault to the SSW (Figure 7). Dextral kinematics were also observed near the south end of the Lussier River Fault, on a small splay fault, indicating that dextral shear is distributed throughout the area.

It seems likely that the combination of primary permeability of the conglomerate, and secondary permeability of fractures, is what makes this single structure such a great host of thermal springs. It is clear from the oxidation of conglomerate clasts, and surrounding matrix material, that the Redwall Fault has a protracted history of hydrothermal flow. For example, at Red Rock warm springs, we observed a large, layered, tufa dome at the top of the cliff on the north side of the Kootenay River. The dome is bisected by the cliff such that the interior is visible, and no thermal water presently flows. Another tufa deposit occurs a few kilometers to the east along the forestry road. It is evident that hydrothermal flow on the Redwall Fault is ephemeral, and that thermal springs have migrated along it through time.

Figure 6. A) Looking southwest at a Tufa dome atop a cliff at the Red Rock warm springs on the Kootenay River (50.2389 °N, -115.6963 °W). No thermal water currently issues from the tufa dome. B) View south of the Redwall Fault Zone from Redstreak Mountain (50.6149 °N, -116.0242 °W). C) Looking east at a dextral fault plane oriented 304°/86° within the Redwall Fault zone near Radium Hot Springs. Red arrow shows slickenline orientation (30°→119°).
4. DISCUSSION

4.1 Fault Kinematics, Regional Stress, and Fault Permeability

Our new field observations and structural measurements have revealed three significant insights into the structural characteristics governing fluid flow on fault zones in the southeastern Canadian Cordillera: 1) The most recent brittle fabric developed in these fault zones includes subvertical, rather than shallowly-dipping, shear surfaces, 2) Dominant fault kinematics are dextral, and perhaps reflect a reactivation of older extensional structures, and 3) Cross-cutting relationships show that at least some of these faults were active post-Eocene. These insights are important for understanding where local zones of active slip, dilation, and extension might facilitate the upward flow of thermal water.
In amagmatic, structurally-controlled geothermal systems, the orientation of the current regional stress field relative to crustal faults is a critical factor in characterizing fault permeability. Faults and fractures that are oriented parallel to, or between 30°-45° to the stress field, will either dilate or slip respectively, thus permitting the flow of fluid (Sibson, 1994; Barton et al., 1995). Furthermore, it has been shown that local regions of extension (e.g., releasing bends), especially in active transpressional systems, are particularly favourable for fluid flow (Curewitz and Karson, 1997; Faulds and Hinz, 2015).

The current stress state of the crust within the Cordillera is not well constrained. The measurements that do exist are derived from the inversion of moment tensors for earthquakes of \( M_w 4 \) and greater (Ristau et al., 2007). Southeastern British Columbia has a low level of seismic activity compared to the active margin on the west coast and only four earthquakes of \( M_w 4 \) or greater have occurred since records began in 1976. However, it is noteworthy that all these earthquakes have focal mechanisms that are consistent with dextral strike-slip motion on roughly north-south striking fault planes due to a north-northeast – south-southwest oriented \( S_{\text{Hmax}} \) (Ristau et al., 2007).

The alignment of the current \( S_{\text{Hmax}} \) vectors with the \( T \)-axes of beach balls derived from field measurements further suggests that the crustal earthquakes and observed fault kinematics are both manifestations of neotectonic strain in the Cordillera. In other words, it is possible that these faults are still active at a low level due to the current stress field. Thus, a rudimentary analysis of slip and dilation tendency (e.g., Meixner et al., 2018) can be performed, in order to determine which faults may be most permeable under the present stress field. Maximum dilation will occur on faults and fractures oriented parallel to \( S_{\text{Hmax}} \), while maximum slip will occur on segments oriented 30°-45° oblique to \( S_{\text{Hmax}} \) (Figure 8). Faults oriented perpendicular to \( S_{\text{Hmax}} \) will likely be less permeable. In Figure 8, the average orientation of the SLF, CRF, PTrF, and RMTF are plotted relative to the approximate \( S_{\text{Hmax}} \) orientation in the southeastern Cordillera (Ristau et al., 2007). In this configuration, the CRF, SLF, and PTrF are oriented favourably for dextral slip, while the RMTF might experience more transpression. However, this simplistic representation of the regional strain does not capture local variability in fault geometry and stress orientations.

Figure 8. Strain ellipse for approximate \( S_{\text{Hmax}} \) orientation in southeastern BC (Ristau et al., 2007), and corresponding predicted modes of brittle deformation on faults and fractures. Average orientations of the Rocky Mountain Trench Fault (RMTF), Purcell Trench Fault (PTrF), Columbia River Fault (CRF), and Slocan Lake Fault (SLF), are shown for reference.

4.2 The Possibility of Blind Geothermal Systems

Convective geothermal systems with no modern surface manifestations (a.k.a. blind systems) are known to occur in active geothermal fields around the world. For example, blind systems constitute nearly 40% of known systems in Nevada, and it is likely that far more are yet to be discovered (Faulds and Hinz, 2015). Conceptual models for these inconspicuous geothermal resources vary, but typically an impermeable layer blocks the ascent of fluids, or cold influx of shallow groundwater may dilute or divert a rising plume (Dobson, 2016). It is conceivable that similar blind systems exist in the Canadian Cordillera, masked by high infiltration rates of cold meteoric water. Precipitation rates are much higher in the Canadian Cordillera than in the arid Great Basin, and thick glaciogenic overburden may facilitate near-surface dispersion and dilution of any ascending plumes of geothermal brine. At least one blind geothermal system has been identified in the Canadian Cordillera in the Bluebell Mine at Riondel (Figure 5). During mine operation in 1956, workers encountered water approximately 20-30 °C flowing from cracks at 90-1000 l/sec at a depth of ~300m below ground (Desrochers, 1992). This thermal water did not flow to the surface, or, if it did, it had already cooled below detectable levels. It is a statistical likelihood that other blind systems remain undiscovered elsewhere in the Canadian Cordillera, particularly due to the low...
of exploration” (Coolbaugh et al., 2006) in the area. A first-order prediction of their location may come from identifying where local zones of slip and dilation occur, given the orientation of faults in the current crustal stress field.

5. CONCLUSIONS
Potential geothermal resources in southeastern BC are likely amagmatic and rely on the deep circulation of thermal fluid along permeable fracture pathways. Our regional-scale investigation of the structural settings of hydrothermal systems in southeastern BC has revealed a consistent pattern of dextral kinematics on brittle sub-vertical fault planes coincident with the surface traces of fault previously mapped as Eocene and Jura-Cretaceous in age; dextral kinematic indicators characterize the Rocky Mountain Trench Fault near Valemount, Redwall Fault near Invermere, Columbia River Fault near Nakusp, and Slocan Lake Fault near New Denver. The timing of this transpressional deformation is constrained to post-Eocene based on cross-cutting relationships observed in Eocen-aged rocks. The NNE-SSW stress field required for these kinematics is consistent with the focal mechanisms of several crustal earthquakes that have occurred in the region, suggesting that transpressional strain has persisted from the Eocene to recent. Faults oriented at 30°–45° to SHmax are most likely to slip, thereby maintaining fault permeability. Likewise, fractures oriented parallel to SHmax are most likely to dilate and allow for fluid flow. Future geothermal exploitation efforts in southeastern British Columbia should focus on fault segments oriented favourably in the stress field, especially in light of the possibility of blind geothermal systems.
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