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ABSTRACT

Surface geothermal activity has been reported at Tawau in Sabah in NW Borneo, since 1960 and various aspects have been studied and reported irregularly through to the present time.

In 2010, Tawau Green Energy secured an exploration concession and carried out a comprehensive surface exploration program comprised of detailed geology, MT geophysics and geochemistry surveys. The results of this program have confirmed the existence of an active geothermal system centered on the SE slopes of Mt Maria, a young andesitic to dacitic volcano of Miocene to Quaternary age resulting from subduction on the NW facing Sulu arc. The resource is structurally controlled by a series of NW trending transcurrent faults and transpressional tectonics from the late Pliocene to the present day. The chemistry of surface springs suggest a deep underlying neutral chloride fluid rising and outflowing the SE and the South with a deep temperature of about 200°C. An initial resource assessment has been made this yields approx. 85 MWe of potential development capacity at a probability of 50% for a resource abandonment temperature of 170°C.

TGE is now preparing to drill two deep exploration wells into the central portions of the Mount Maria upflow. These will commence in July 2014 and the results of the drilling program plus well testing are expected to be available for inclusion in this paper by the time it has to be finalized.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Tawau Geothermal Project is located in North East Malaysia (Borneo), Figure 1. The field is being explored for geothermal power development by Tawau Green Energy (TGE), a Malaysian based renewable energy company. The field evidence is for a moderate sized volcanic geothermal field with medium grade temperature. Although the surface geothermal manifestation and potential of the area for geothermal development have been known since the early sixties (Kirk 1962), the field has been largely overlooked for development because of the indications for medium grade temperature which would produce only a small steam flash if developed as a conventional flashed steam power development. However, work by TGE over the past two years has changed this perception and it is now recognized that the field is prime for development with an organic Rankine cycle power plant operating at a geothermal resource temperature of around 200°C and probably with pumped production wells. The project is currently being prepared for a two well exploration drilling program expected to commence in July 2014 and it is expected that the results of drilling will be available at the time of presentation of this paper (in April 2015).

Figure 1: Location Map: Tawau Geothermal Project, Sabah, North West Malaysia
2. PREVIOUS WORK

Previous work at the Tawau project has been well reported by Chong et al (2000) who noted a significant level of interest from the early sixties through to the late eighties and that “momentum for research and development faded” thereafter. They recommended that the way forward for the project was for a geophysical survey followed by exploratory drilling. Significantly they noted that power generation via a binary cycle process would likely be feasible based on the results of chemical geothermometry from the Apas Kiri hot springs.

The results of a first geophysics survey at Tawau were reported by Daud et al (2010) which describes the results of a 42 MT station survey carried out over 15 lines spaced 1200 m apart on the southern slopes of Mt Maria and northern Mt Andrassy using MTU-5 units supplied by Phoenix Geophysics of Canada. TDEM measurements were also made to correct for static shifts in the MT sounding curves. Interpretation of the MT data so gained showed a dome shaped geothermal reservoir structure centered in the northern half of the survey area and open to the north.

TGE has continued exploration activities and extended this historical exploration work from 2011 onwards by resampling all surface thermal activity, with analyses being undertaken by GNS of New Zealand, completing detailed geological and structural mapping over the greater project area, and undertaking a further MT survey of 47 stations located to the north of the area of the Daud et al (2010) survey on the upper flanks of Mt Maria.

3. GEOTHERMAL SETTING

Sabah lies in the northern part of an important junction between the Eurasian, Indo-Australian Pacific and Philippines Sea Plates. It also occupies a central position between three marginal basins: the Sulu, Celebes and South China Seas. The Semporna Peninsula where the Tawau Geothermal prospect is found has been subject to two phases of volcanism. The first originated from the subduction in Late Eocene to Middle Miocene times of the Proto China sea plate southeastward beneath present day Northern Borneo and extension to the SE in the Celebes Sea and Makassar Strait. This produced melting in the down going slab and extensive surface volcanism forming an arc in the vicinity of the Semporna and Dent peninsulas in NW Borneo (Figure 2).

Secondly, subduction of the Proto South China plate ceased and subduction of the Celebes Sea Plate to the Northwest commenced about 11.6Ma and generated a NE trending arc of andesitic to dacitic activity of Miocene to Quaternary age in the Dent and Semporna Peninsulas (Figure 3). Transpressional movement along major strike slip faults in this region is possibly related to propagation of deformation from Sulawesi towards Sabah in late Pliocene times. This existing strike slip deformation which is well evident through the Tawau project area likely indicates a strongly structurally controlled and permeable structural network developed over the geothermal system at Tawau (TGE Geology).
4. LOCAL GEOLOGICAL SETTING

A geological map for the Tawau geothermal prospect is shown in Figure 4 (TGE 2013a). The Tawau geothermal project is located in a mountainous area known as the Tawau Hills which forms the backbone of the Semporna Peninsula. The Tawau Hills have been built up by Miocene to Late Pleistocene andesitic, basaltic and dacitic volcanic rocks as described above. Pleistocene dacites and andesites form Mounts Magdalena and Maria, the dominant topographical features in the area. The youngest volcanic rocks are olivine basalts erupted in the late Pleistocene time at Quoin Hill to the east of Mt Maria and Mt Bombala to the west, which appear to be late stage eruptives located on the rim of a late stage circular collapse feature developed about Mt Maria (see Figure 4).

Thermoluminescence dating studies of the Tawau volcanic rocks have been reported by Takashima et al (2005). Of 12 samples dated, the youngest was found to be 0.09 Ma from a monogenetic cinder cone. Ages of dacitic volcanic rocks from the foot of Mt Maria ranged from 0.34 to 0.45 Ma with the ages of underlying andesitic lavas ranging from 0.27 to 0.52 Ma. Ages for the occurrence of hydrothermal alteration in the project area were also determined with samples from the Upper Tawau Hot Springs (Figure 5) being 0.15 to 0.19 Ma. Other ages were widely scattered from 0.27 to 0.66 Ma.

The project areas has a strongly developed pattern of transcurrent faulting on a NW-SE trend with subordinate N-S and NE-SW trending faults (see Figure 4) (TGE 2013a). This is consistent with the structural framework and regional stress regime of the Borneo region showing strike slip faulting and transpressional tectonics from the late Pliocene to the present day which probably caused most structural development (Belagaru and Hall, 2009).

Overall the Tawau geothermal prospect is considered to be well situated with respect to plate tectonics, has a long history of magmatism and recent volcanism and has a well-developed structural fabric with good potential for high structural permeability.

5. GEOCHEMISTRY

TGE has completed a thorough reexamination and re-sampling of all known springs. Chemical analyses of the springs are given in Table 1 and computed chemical geothermometers are given in Table 2.

Surface thermal activity at Apas Kiri consist mainly of warm and hot springs ranging up to 78°C and these have arbitrarily been divided into 4 groups based on spatial and chemical considerations (see Figure 5). These include: A-Block (Apas Kiri hot spring, of Na-Cl type water with a maximum temperature of 78°C and 1400 mg/kg Cl), B-Block (Balung hot springs, maximum 56°C, is a CaSO4 water with slightly acidic pH of 6.0 to 6.4, about 1000 mg/kg SO4, 1 mg/kg Cl and some Sulfur deposition), T-1 Block (Tawau city hot spring, a mixed anion type water with Cl at 353 mg/kg) and T-2 Block (Tawau Hill conservation area hot springs,
maximum 34°C, is a Ca-SO4 water with acidic pH of 4.0 to 4.4 and 324 to 491 mg/kg SO4, 8-11 mg/kg Cl and some Sulfur deposition) (see Figure 5). There are no fumarole manifestations in the area.

Figure 5: Apas Kiri: Location of thermal features (after GeothermEx, 2014)

Table 2 lists a set of standard chemical geothermometry applied to the 4 blocks described above. In relation to this tabulation (GeothermEx 2014) notes:

- chemical geothermometers don’t apply to the waters of T2 Block and B Block because they are shallow and would not have equilibrated at high temperatures
- The T2 Block hot spring isn’t listed but presents evidence of a system maximum of about 100 to 115°C
- Two forms of the Na/K geothermometer are listed. One is calibrated by Fournier and the other is a relatively new calibration by Santoyo and Diaz-Gonzales (2010)
- Table 2 does not list the commonly cited Na/K and K-Mg temperatures of Giggenbach (1988) because they produce higher temperature estimates than do other calibrations thereby increasing the risk to the project of over estimating the resource
- Table 2 also lists the sulfate-water oxygen isotope temperature using the data available in Jovino et al (2010) and the anhydrite (CaSO4) geothermometer calculated by GeothermEx (2014) using the Watch 24 computer code for geochemical thermodynamic speciation applied to a set of representative samples.

Different geothermometry computations can yield very different results of different responses to cooling from highest temperature conditions at depth. The general sequence of response rate (most resistant to least resistant) is sulfate-water O18 isotope > Na/K Na-Ca-K> quartz> (Na-K-Ca-Mg, K-Mg, Anhydrite, Chalcedony) - GeothermEx, 2014). The isotope geothermometer in particular takes a long time to equilibrate, estimated at 18 years at 200°C. Other processes such as mixing oxidation and precipitation can also affect results.

These geochemical data are interpreted as follows - the hydrothermal system that feeds the Apas Kiri Hot springs appears to rise from a deep upwelling under Mt Maria at about 180 to 220°C, resides for a long time at about the same temperature and then cools to about 120°C in an outflow to the south and south east before discharging at the Apas Kiri hot springs. There is a large O-18 shift that is displayed by the hot springs that implies a long residence time that in turn implies time for complete equilibration of the isotope temperature.

6. GEOPHYSICS

The results of the TGE extended MT survey are taken to indicate that the region surveyed has a four layer resistivity structure divided approximately as follows (TGE 2013b):
- Layer 1 – near ground surface, with a resistivity of > 300 ohm-m
- Layer 2 – Cap rock (hydrothermal alteration layer) with a resistivity of 1 to 20 ohm-m
- Layer 3 – reservoir with resistivity’s ranging from 20 to 200 ohm-m, with the top of this layer ranging from 400 to 800m depth and the bottom layer from 700 to 1400m and with a thickness of > 300 to 600m over an areal extent of 15.4 to 19.4km^2
- Layer 4 – resistivity basement, with resistivity’s of > 250 ohm-m and a depth of >-1800m ASL.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Data Set</th>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>Flow</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>Na</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>Alk_ HCO3</th>
<th>SO4</th>
<th>Cl</th>
<th>As</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apas Kiri Lower</td>
<td>A3-C</td>
<td>2/10/2013</td>
<td>TGE, 2014</td>
<td>GNS</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>826</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>721</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>1268</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Geochemical Analyses of Surface Thermal Activity. Compiled by GeothermEx 2014
Barnett et al

GeothermEx (2014) reviewed the TGE MT resistivity model and commented further as follows:

- The MT data clearly suggests the presence of a low resistivity layer in the shallow subsurface of the type conventionally considered to be the hydrothermal alteration cap over an extant or former geothermal reservoir
- The possible reservoir areas as defined by the shallow resistivity anomaly extends somewhat to the NE of the survey area and the outline shown of 14km² could underestimate its extension in that direction. In contrast, the SE boundary and E boundary of the anomaly is within the survey area but somewhat poorly defined (See Figure 6.)

Figure 6: Petrel Model of the MT data for the Apas Kiri Project showing MT resistivity cross sections, planned well pads (red rectangles, spring sampling locations (blue spheres) and project roading. (From GeothermEx, 2014)

7. CONCEPTUAL EXPLORATION MODEL

Figure 7 shows a conceptual model for the Apas Kiri Reserve that synthesizes the salient geological, geochemical and geophysical features of the Apas Kiri Resource. It is based on a N-S section through the project area. The section includes the northern rim of the Mt Maria caldera upon which the Balung spring is located.

Figure 7: Preliminary Conceptual Hydrogeological model for the Apas Kiri geothermal field (after GeothermEx 2014)
The geothermal resource is centered approximately under Mt Maria with an upflow temperature of 180 to 220°C. Minor outflow occurs to the NE toward the steam heated Balung hot springs and a shallow plume of hot water with minor boiling flows to the South with discharge at the mud pool and Apas Kiri springs. This southern plume is shown with a strong convective overturn and with cooler water beneath it. The model might over emphasize this overturn but discharge plumes that are long and narrow like that shown in Figure 7 have been well documented elsewhere. The flow to the hot springs occurs either along the contact between younger Mt Maria volcanics and the older volcanics of Mt Lucia, and or within the Mt Lucia volcanics. It is mostly confined beneath the lower resistivity anomaly, interpreted as a cap of hydrothermal alteration over the reservoir. The overall resource area is conservatively estimated at 14 km².

8. PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT OF RESOURCE CAPACITY

A probabilistic volumetric estimation method (Monte Carlo simulation) has been used to assess the nominal heat capacity of the Apas Kiri geothermal resource (GeothermEx 2014). The results were then modified with reasonable assumptions made about the percentage of heat that can be expected to be recovered at the well head and the efficiency of converting the wellhead heat to electrical energy. This procedure and the manner in which it is reported here is more or less consistent with the approach proposed in the Australian Geothermal Resource Reporting Code, AGEA and AGEC (2010).

The critical resource parameters and ranges used in the assessment are supplied by the resource model (Table 3). Of note is the resource abandonment temperature which means that the resource at an assumed mean temperature of 185°C has heat extracted done to only 170°C and all further production is then abandoned. However the heat in the rock could be extracted down to about 100°C using Organic Rankine cycle technology thus this method of assessment is conservative. Additionally the most likely resource temperature of 185°C (essentially based on the H₂O-SO₄ O-18 geothermometer) is also a conservative value and it may well prove that the resource temperature is more than 220°C (the average temperature of all eight Na/K geothermometer estimates is 221°C-see Table 2).

The results of the Monte Carlo simulation based on the parameters described above give a calculated 50% probability distribution for recoverable reserves for 30 years of 85 MWe (net), see Figure 8. Under the Australian Reporting Code this estimation is for an “Inferred Resource”

Table 3: Summary of Input Parameters for Resource Capacity Assessment (From GeothermEx, 2014)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Parameter</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Most Likely</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reservoir area (sq.km.)</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>17.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reservoir thickness (m)</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Porosity</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reservoir Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery Factor</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fixed Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rock Volumetric Heat Capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rejection Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilization Factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant Capacity Factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Plant Life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Abandonment Temperature</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8: Histogram of recoverable geothermal resource (left) and Cumulative Probability of Recoverable Energy Resource (right), at 50% Probability Level. (From GeothermEx, 2104)
9. Exploration Drilling Strategy

The objectives with exploration drilling are to initially prove geothermal resource temperature at or above about 200°C and then prove that the resource has good permeability over sufficient resource volume to generate the desired 35 MWe of net plant capacity. From the resource assessment, the power density for the Apas Kira Resource averages about 7 MWe/km². To prove up 35MWe will then require the drilling and design of about 5km² of geothermal resource. The two wells pads shown in Figure 6 have been chosen to give a good test of about 5km² of geothermal resource in what is indicated to be the hottest portion of it. The resource may yet extend further to the North and West and more MT is being undertaken to confirm this and drilling may yet be undertaken in these areas.

The project has an exceptionally well-developed geological structure with a number of major transcurrent faults running through it. This argues that the likelihood of encountering strong fault related permeability during drilling is high. In order to maximize this potential, the two exploration wells will be drilled directionally, orientated on azimuths of 90 and 180 degrees to maximize structural intersections with the dominant NW-SE and NW-SW trending fault patterns.

With a moderate resource temperature of about 200°C and relatively high well elevations (of up to 600m MSL) it is unlikely that the Apas Kira wells will sustain geothermal production thus TGE plan to install a downhole shaft pump into each production well. An additional advantage is that this will allow for the resource to be produced at whatever production rate well permeability will allow and this will tend to minimize the initial number of production wells required for the development and limit the number of “Make up and Replacement wells” required to be drilled with production time to compensate for resource pressure run down. Another advantage of using an organic Rankine power cycle is that project will achieve 100% reinjection thus further minimizing pressure run down in the production wells.

The major surface geothermal manifestations at Apas Kira deposit calcium carbonate scale at their points of surface discharge. The resource fluids at 120°C are therefore clearly carbonate oversaturated and this may pose problems with calcite scaling in surface equipment in any field development. However, the use of downhole pumps can be used to maintain high pressure through the steam field fluid collection systems and through the organic Rankine cycle power plant heat exchangers to inhibit or at least minimize carbonate scale problems.

10. Conclusions

A surface exploration study has been successfully completed by TGE at the Apas Kira Geothermal field in Eastern Malaysia. The results provide good evidence for an exploitable geothermal resource of about 14km² areal size and with temperature about 200°C and with about 85 MWe of resource capacity at a P50 level of probability.

Given the moderate resource temperatures it will likely prove that the production wells will need to have down well production pumps installed and that due to steam flash limitations, a power plant based on an organic Rankine cycle power cycle will need to be installed. This has a number of technical advantages including:

- Maximizing production wells flow thus minimizing the number of production well required
- 100% reinjection which will minimize the number of “make-up and replacement” wells that need to be drilled with production time
- The use of production pumps will allow production pressure throughout the steam field collection systems and organic Rankine cycle power plant to be maintained above saturation thus mitigating potential scaling problems with calcium carbonate

TGE is now in the late stages of preparing to drill two deep and deviated exploration wells. These are being targeted with close attention to the well-defined structural geologic fabric of the project area. The drilling of these two wells will prove up a resource volume of some 5km² which should be adequate to develop a 35 MWe (gross) power plant.
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