Conditions Allowing Self-healing vs. Crack-like Rupture Propagation in Presence of Thermal Weakening Processes Based on Realistic Physical Properties

By Hiroyuki Noda *1,2, Eric M. Dunham *1, and James R. Rice *1,3

*1 Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Harvard University, USA
*2 Department of Geology and Mineralogy, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Japan
*3 Division of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, USA

Abstract

We have conducted rupture propagation simulations allowing for the combined effects of thermal pressurization of pore fluid and flash heating of microscopic contact asperities. Rapid, large slip as in earthquakes produces a large amount of frictional heat, and probably activates such thermal weakening mechanisms. They act until macroscopic fault temperature $T$ nears the melting point, and they are coupled through $T$ and macroscopic fault surface pore pressure $p$. Because we consider two mechanisms, the characteristic slip displacements for each of them is physically important; a compromise on one of them can eventually nullify its effect compared to the other. We use a range of realistic hydraulic properties for thermal pressurization (hydro-thermal diffusivity factor 20-450 mm$^2$/s; factor corresponds to $f^2V L^*/4$ [Rice, 2006] where $f$ is the friction coefficient, $V$ is slip rate, and $L^*$ is a characteristic slip defined in the thermal pressurization analysis), and few-microns contact evolution distance $L$ for flash heating with a slip law formulation and the direct effect. We use the spectral implementation of the BIE method for elastodynamic calculations, and set a one-dimensional FD grid perpendicular to the fault plane at each node in order to calculate local heat and fluid transport assuming an infinitesimally thin slipping plane. We also use a multi-step time increment procedure by setting longer steps for slip history storage and shorter steps for integration of state variable, $T$, and $p$. Elasticity and the constitutive relation are solved simultaneously at every shorter time step with linearly interpolated stress transfer. This method reduces the amount of memory but produces numerical stability.

We nucleated rupture by adding a sudden perturbation to the shear stress, which is initially uniform and much lower than the static friction level. Our calculations show that the effect of evolving changes in $T$ and $p$ is to extend the crack-like (vs. self-healing) solution regime in the parameter space, although we are still examining the way nucleation may interact with rupture mode. Given a steady state shear stress which is a function only of slip rate, Zheng and Rice [1998] derive a critical background shear stress below which a growing crack-like solution does not exist for mode III rupture. In our case, such a steady-state function cannot be defined due to strength dependency on $T$ and $p$ on the fault. We then can obtain crack-like solutions with background shear stress lower than the critical value defined by the ZR concept based on steady-state flash heating only, at the initial $T$ and $p$. With decreasing background shear stress, the type of solution changes from crack-like to self-healing after a clear threshold. The flash heating constitutive relation has very steep velocity weakening around its critical slip rate, which effectively decelerates fault motion in the cases of self-healing solutions. By changing hydraulic parameters, the threshold background shear stress between the two types of solutions changes so that low hydro-thermal diffusivity favors crack-like solutions. The size of perturbation also matters and a larger (in length or amplitude) perturbation also favors crack-like solutions.
**Introduction**

\[ \tau_{\text{pulse}}: \text{Critical background shear stress below which crack-like solution does not exist for mode III rupture [Zheng and Rice, 1998]} \]

True when steady state shear stress is a function only of slip rate

How does introduction of thermal weakening processes (T and p dependency), affect the type of solution?

We focus on

- Thermal pressurization
- Flash heating

Coupled through T and p

**Set of equations**

Elastodynamic spectral BIE method [Perrin et al., 1994; Geubelle et al., 1995]

\[ \tau = \tau_0 - \frac{\mu}{2c_s} V + \phi \quad \text{where} \quad \Phi = C_s (\tau) \dot{D}(\tau) + \int_0^\tau C(\tau - t) \dot{D}(t) dt' \]

Effective stress law

\[ \tau = \sigma_e f = (\sigma - p) f \]

Rate- and state-dependent frictional law (Slip law like state evolution)

\[ f = a \ln \frac{V}{V_{ref}} + \Psi \quad \quad \frac{d\Psi}{dt} = -\frac{V}{L} (f - f_{ss}) \quad \text{[Dietrich, 1979; Ruina, 1983]} \]

Flash heating, Rice’s model

\[ f_{ss} = \begin{cases} f_0 & \text{if } V \leq V_w \\ f_0 + (f_0 - f_w) \frac{V}{V_w} & \text{if } V > V_w \end{cases} \quad \text{where} \quad V_w = \pi \frac{\alpha_{th}}{L} \left( T_w - T \right)^2 \quad \text{[Rice, 2006]} \]

Thermal pressurization assuming infinitesimally thin fault

\[ \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \alpha_{th} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2} \]

Boundary condition

\[ \frac{\partial P}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z=0} = 0 \]

\[ \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z=0} = -\frac{1}{2} f \sigma, V \]

[Silson, 1973; Lachenbruch, 1980; Mase and Smith, 1987; Andrews, 2002; Rice, 2006]
Numerical implementation

Boundary integral method is used with **multiple time steps**.

- **Longer time step** for storage of slip rate history
  - Chosen to satisfy stability for the wave equation

- **Shorter time step** for integration of constitutive relation
  - Stability analysis for discretized system around \( V = V_w \)
  - Where \( - \partial f_{ss} / \partial V \) is the largest

\[
\begin{align*}
\left[ \delta^{n+1}, \Psi^{n+1}, \{T\}^{n+1}, \{p\}^{n+1} \right] & = Q \left[ \delta^n, \Psi^n, \{T\}^n, \{p\}^n \right] \\
\text{Numerically obtained maximum eigen value of } Q & \leq 1
\end{align*}
\]

- Rate-and-state + thermal pressurization
- Rate-and-state only
- Rate-and-state minimum \( \delta_t \) [Lapusta et al., 2000]
- Elastodynamic minimum \( \delta_t \), CFL parameter = 1

**Coupling of processes makes numerics less stable compared with each process alone.**

Initial condition / parameters

We have two evolution distances

- **State evolution distance** \( L \) ~ asperity size (microns)
- **Thermal pressurization** \( L_w \) defined in [Rice, 2006]

\[
f^2 V L_w / 4 = \left( \frac{pc}{\Lambda} \right)^2 \left( \sqrt{\alpha_{h_i}} + \sqrt{\alpha_{h_v}} \right)^2 = 20 - 460 \text{ mm}^2/\text{s} \quad (r = 0 - 1)
\]

The ratio of them is **physically important**.

So, we stick to \( L = 5 \mu m \) and realistic thermo-hydraulic parameters,

\[
\text{Hydro-thermal diffusivity factor: } \left( \frac{pc}{\Lambda} \right)^2 \left( \sqrt{\alpha_{h_i}} + \sqrt{\alpha_{h_v}} \right)^2 = 20 - 460 \text{ mm}^2/\text{s} \quad (r = 0 - 1)
\]

by setting damage index, \( r \in [0, 1] \), \( \alpha_{h_v} = 0.86 + 2.66r \text{ mm}^2/\text{s} \) and \( \Lambda = 0.98 - 0.64r \text{ MPa/K} \)

**frictional coefficients from**

**Tullis and Goldsby, 2003; Rice, 2006**

Initial conditions

| \( \sigma \) | 196 MPa |
| \( p_0 \) | 70MPa |
| \( p_{e0} \) | 126 MPa |
| \( T_0 \) | 210 °C |
| \( V_0 \) | 10^{-9} \text{ m/s} |

\[
\tau_0 = \begin{cases} 
\tau^b & |x| > D_{per}^pere \\
\tau^b + \tau_{per} & |x| < D_{per}^pere
\end{cases}
\]

Other physical parameters

| \( \mu \) | 30 GPa |
| \( c_s \) | 3 km/s |
| \( T_w \) | 900 °C |
| \( \tau_c \) | 3 GPa |
| \( f_0 \) | 0.82 |
| \( f_w \) | 0.13 |
| \( \rho c \) | 2.7 MJ/m^3K |

\[
\tau^p(|\tau'|) = \tau + \nu \frac{2(f_0 - f_w)}{c_s \sigma_c} = 0.266
\]

\[
\tau^p(\tau')
\]

frictional coefficients from

**Tullis and Goldsby, 2003; Rice, 2006**
Effect of hydraulic parameters $\frac{\tau_{\text{per}}}{\sigma_e(0)} = 0.238$

Changing hydraulic parameters $D_{\text{per}} = 2.5 \text{ cm}$

Ex. 1

- Slip every 20 $\mu$s
- $r = 0.74$

Ex. 2

- Slip every 20 $\mu$s
- $r = 0.72$

Effect of hydraulic parameters $\tau_{\text{per}} / \sigma_e(0) = 0.238$

$D_{\text{per}} = 2.5 \text{ cm}$

End of document.
Effect of perturbation size

Characteristic slip rate, \( V_{\text{dyna}} \) in the dynamic motion due to perturbation is given so that \( (V_{\text{dyna}}, \sigma_{e0} f_{\text{dyna}}) \) is the rightmost intersection of

\[
\tau = \tau^b + \tau_{\text{per}} V - V \frac{H}{2c_s} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau = \sigma_{e0} \left( f_w + \left( f_0 - f_w \right) \frac{V}{V} \right)
\]

Characteristic time length:

\[
t_{\text{dyna}} = \frac{D_{\text{per}}}{c_s}
\]

Characteristic thermal pressurization evolution distance:

\[
L_{\text{dyna}} = L \bigg|_{V_{\text{dyna}}, f_{\text{dyna}}}
\]

Measure of the size of perturbation in terms of thermal pressurization:

\[
\delta = t_{\text{dyna}} V_{\text{dyna}} / L_{\text{dyna}}
\]

Changing length of perturbation

Changing amplitude of perturbation
**Discussion**

Criteria for crack-like and slip-pulse solutions

Due to $T$ and $p$ change, $V_w$ and $\sigma_e$, and thus steady state shear stress decrease.

Given characteristic slip rate and time, we can roughly estimate change in $\sigma_e$ and $T$.

\[
\sigma_{e_{\text{dyn}}} = \alpha \sigma_{e_0} \quad \text{and} \quad T_{\text{dyn}} = T_0 + \left( 1 + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{\text{hy}}}{\alpha_{\text{th}}}} \right) \frac{\sigma_{e_0}}{\Lambda} [1 - \alpha]
\]

where \( \alpha = \exp(\delta) \text{erfc}(\sqrt{\delta}) \) \[\text{Rice, 2006}\]

Critical background shear stress level for dynamic case, similar to $\tau_{\text{pulse}}$ is:

\[
\frac{\tau_{\text{dyn}}}{\sigma_{e_0}} = \alpha f_w + \sqrt{2 \alpha (f_0 - f_w)} \frac{V_{w_{\text{dyn}}} \mu}{c_s \sigma_{e_0}}
\]

where 

\[
\frac{\tau_b}{\sigma_{e_0}} = \frac{\tau_{\text{pulse}}}{\sigma_{e_0}}
\]

is also plotted in the figures with solid lines, which is not an awful criteria.

\[
\text{"} \frac{\tau_b}{\sigma_{e_0}} < \frac{\tau_{\text{dyn}}}{\sigma_{e_0}} \text{"} \Rightarrow \text{Healing pulse solution unless arrested}
\]

is true for cases thus for studied.

Dependency on the size of perturbation

It is shown that the size of perturbation and the nucleation procedure influence the rupture mode. This means that it is important to investigate more realistic methods of nucleation.

**Summary**

Multi time stepping procedure has been implemented to spectral boundary integral code.

Rupture propagation calculation accounting for flash heating and thermal pressurization has been performed with realistic physical properties.

Introduction of temperature and pore pressure changes promotes crack-like solution

There is a clear boundary between crack-like and healing-pulse solutions

A critical background shear stress level below which solution is always healing-pulse type is proposed although it depends on the method of nucleation.

Further study concerning a realistic way of nucleation is needed to develop a criteria between the two types of solutions.
List of symbols

- **a**: Direct effect
- **C**: Kernel for slip rate history
- **Cs**: Kernel for current slip
- **c**: Shear wave velocity
- **D**: Fourier t. of slip
- **Dper**: Perturbation length
- **f**: Frictional coefficient
- **fss**: Steady state frictional coefficient
- **fw**: Weakened frictional coefficient
- **L**: State evolution distance
- **L***: Evolution distance for thermal pressurization [Rice, 2006]
- **Ldyna**: **L* in dynamic slip process
- **p**: Pore pressure, subscript 0 means initial value
- **r**: Damage index
- **t**: Time
- **T**: Temperature, subscript 0 means initial value
- **Tw**: Weakening temperature
- **V**: Slip rate, subscript 0 means initial value
- **Vdyna**: Characteristic slip rate in dynamic slip process
- **Vref**: Reference slip rate
- **Vw**: Weakening slip rate
- **x**: Distance along fault
- **z**: Distance normal to a fault
- **αhy**: Hydraulic diffusivity
- **αth**: Thermal diffusivity
- **φ**: Stress transfer
- **δ**: Characteristic displacement due to perturbation in terms of thermal pressurization
- **Φ**: Fourier t. of φ
- **Λ**: Pore pressure rise per temperature change in undrained condition
- **μ**: Shear modulus
- **ρc**: Specific heat
- **σ**: Normal stress
- **σe**: Effective normal stress, subscript 0 means initial value
- **τ**: Shear stress
- **τb**: Background loading stress
- **τc**: Contact strength, assumed to be 0.1 μ
- **τper**: Perturbation stress
- **τpulse**: Critical shear stress for impossibility of crack-like solution [Zheng and Rice, 1998]
- **τpulse**: Critical shear stress accounting for dynamic weakening
- **Ψ**: State variable
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