Regional tectonic stress near the San Andreas fault in central and southern California
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[1] Throughout central and southern California, a uniform NNE-SSW direction of maximum horizontal compressive stress is observed that is remarkably consistent with the superposition of stresses arising from lateral variations in lithospheric buoyancy in the western United States, and far-field Pacific-North America plate interaction. In central California, the axis of maximum horizontal compressive stress lies at a high angle to the San Andreas fault (SAF). Despite relatively few observations near (±10 km) the fault, observations in the greater San Francisco Bay area indicate an angle of as much as 85°, implying extremely low fault strength. In southern California, observations of stress orientations near the SAF are rotated slightly counterclockwise with respect to the regional field. Nevertheless, we observe an approximately constant angle between the SAF and the maximum horizontal stress direction of 68 ± 7° along ~400 km of the fault, indicating that the SAF has moderately low frictional strength in southern California. INDEX TERMS: 8101 Tectonophysics: Dynamics, seismotectonics; 8164 Tectonophysics: Stresses—crust and lithosphere. Citation: Townend, J., and M. D. Zoback (2004), Regional tectonic stress near the San Andreas fault in central and southern California, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, L15S11, doi:10.1029/2003GL018918.

1. Introduction

[2] It has been known for some time that stress orientations along the San Andreas fault (SAF) [Mount and Suppe, 1987; Zoback et al., 1987; Jones, 1988; Townend and Zoback, 2001] and the lack of a distinct heat flow anomaly at the trace of the fault [Lachenbruch and Sass, 1992, and references therein; Saffer et al., 2003] indicate average shear tractions less than 20–25 MPa in the seismogenic upper crust. These observations are difficult to reconcile with typical friction coefficients of common rock types (μ = 0.6 – 1.0) such as are measured in laboratory settings [Byerlee, 1978] or inferred from deep borehole stress measurements [Townend and Zoback, 2000], which suggest shear tractions approximately five times as large. High frictional coefficients (commonly referred to as “Byerlee friction”) imply an angle (β) between the axis of maximum horizontal compression, S1, and the strike of a vertical strike-slip fault such as the San Andreas of 30–35°. Instead, what is typically observed throughout California is a much larger angle indicating near fault-normal compression in some places; such observations are especially noteworthy when the data come from crustal volumes immediately adjacent to the SAF [Jones, 1988; Oppenheimer et al., 1988; Zoback and Beroza, 1993; Townend and Zoback, 2001].

[3] The observed long-range (1000–5000 km) uniformity of stress orientations and relative magnitudes in intraplate regions suggests that plate-driving forces provide the largest component of the total stress field [Zoback et al., 1989; Zoback, 1992]. However, in some areas, including the western United States, stresses caused by lateral variations in crustal buoyancy appear to provide a large component of the horizontal stress field [Fleitout and Froidevaux, 1982; Jones et al., 1996]. How large, plate-bounding faults such as the SAF accomplish the slip required of them by global kinematics given ambient stresses is an outstanding question in crustal mechanics. In this study we compare different indicators of tectonic stress directions in central and southern California in order to put planned in situ stress measurements from the San Andreas Fault Observatory at Depth (SAFOD) drilling project in a regional context.

2. Central California

[4] Figure 1 illustrates crustal stress orientations in central California obtained from focal mechanism inversions [Townend and Zoback, 2001] and a variety of sources represented in the World Stress Map Database, most notably stress-induced wellbore breakouts in oil and gas wells [Fuchs and Müller, 2001]. The dashed trajectories are interpolations of S1, directions calculated by Flesch et al. [2000] using a variational technique to estimate the minimum deviatoric horizontal stress field resulting from lateral variations in gravitational potential energy (or lithospheric buoyancy) and deformation due to interaction between the North American and Pacific plates. Borehole breakout data, hydraulic fracture data, and focal mechanism stress inversion results reveal highly consistent S1 orientations at adjacent locations, a strong correlation with the computed S1 trajectories, and a very high angle between the S1 direction and the SAF (β ≈ 85°) along the San Francisco Peninsula. It is noteworthy that the Hayward, Calaveras and San Gregorio faults each appear to be as
poorly oriented with respect to the contemporary stress field as the SAF itself [Schaff et al., 2002; Provost and Houston, 2003].

[5] The very high value of $\beta$ observed along the San Francisco Peninsula is particularly interesting because the stress data were obtained from boreholes and focal mechanism stress inversions as close as 1 km to the fault's surface trace [Townend and Zoback, 2001]. Consequently, the ratio of shear to normal traction acting on the SAF in this area is very low. Assuming that the crust adjacent to the SAF is critically stressed [Townend and Zoback, 2000], we estimate the average shear traction acting on planes parallel to the SAF (evaluated at a depth of 7.5 km in a strike-slip stress regime using a crustal friction coefficient of 0.6) to be as low as 10 MPa along the San Francisco Peninsula ($\beta = 85^\circ$), the effective normal traction to be $\sim 180$ MPa, and the coefficient of friction to be an extremely low 0.06. These estimates are compatible with those of Mount and Suppe [1987] and Zoback et al. [1987].

[6] Near Parkfield, the orientation of the regional stress field is highly compatible with stress measurements made in the SAFOD Pilot Hole [Hickman and Zoback, 2004], which indicate a clockwise rotation of $S_{\text{Hmax}}$ with depth to an angle of $\beta = 70^\circ$ at 2.0–2.2 km.

3. Southern California

[7] A map of southern California stress orientations is shown in Figure 2. As in central California, several important features of this map deserve specific mention: first, individual data sets produce remarkably consistent $S_{\text{Hmax}}$ estimates at relatively fine scales, as demonstrated particularly well by the cluster of near-parallel stress orientations obtained by focal mechanism stress inversion of seismicity that occurred after the 1994 Northridge earthquake (241.4°E, 34.3°N), in the southern Sierra Nevada (242.2°E, 35.9°N) and eastern Mojave Desert (243.0°E, 35.0°N), and by the clusters of borehole breakout and hydrofracture results in the southern San Joaquin Valley. Second, the results obtained using different techniques generally agree very well, in southern California as a whole but particularly in the southern San Joaquin Valley and near Los Angeles (241.6°E, 34.0°N). Third, $S_{\text{Hmax}}$ exhibits a relatively uniform NNE-SSW orientation at regional scales that is at approximately 60°–70° to the average strike of the SAF throughout southern California. Finally, there is remarkably good agreement between the observed stress field and the dynamical modeling results obtained independently by Flesch et al. [2000].

[8] No stress rotations near the SAF are apparent at the scale of these observations. An independent study that incorporates focal mechanism uncertainties explicitly [Abers and Gephart, 2001] substantiates this. The rose diagram inset in Figure 2 summarizes estimates of $\beta$ at 70 locations within 10 km of the SAF surface trace: the mean angle between $S_{\text{Hmax}}$ and the local fault trace is $\pm 7^\circ$. $S_{\text{Hmax}}$ is oriented at a relatively consistent angle to the SAF throughout southern California, a result also noted on the basis of a smaller data set by Jones [1988], suggesting that the stress field and fault geometry have evolved in a
self-organized manner. The corresponding orientation is essentially the same ($63 \pm 10^\circ$) if only those data within 5 km of the fault trace are considered (34 data points). Such high $\beta$ angles are not compatible with Andersonian faulting theory based on Byerlee friction and hydrostatic fluid pressures; rather, they support the contention that the SAF is subject to a significant component of fault-normal compression and therefore slips at low shear/normal stress ratios. The average shear and effective normal tractions on planes parallel to the SAF in southern California are estimated to be $\sim50$ MPa and $150$ MPa, and $\sim30$ MPa and $170$ MPa, for angles of $61^\circ$ and $75^\circ$, respectively: these correspond to effective friction coefficients of $\sim0.2–0.3$.

4. Discussion and Summary

[9] The stress data reveal that strike-slip displacement along the SAF and subsidiary structures occurs at an angle of approximately $68^\circ$ to the axis of maximum horizontal compression in southern California, and as high as $85^\circ$ in central California. In situ stress data and dynamical modeling results from southern and central California exhibit very good agreement in terms of principal tectonic stress directions.

[10] In modeling the contributions of lithospheric buoyancy and internal plate deformation to the horizontal deviatoric stress field, Flesch et al. [2000] made no attempt to match in situ stress data, but the agreement between their computational results and the observed stress directions at regional scales is very good throughout most of California. At distances greater than 10 km from the SAF fault trace, the average discrepancy between the $S_{\text{Hmax}}$ directions determined from borehole or earthquake data and Flesch et al.’s results is $8^\circ$, with the latter being rotated slightly clockwise with respect to the observed stress directions. Nevertheless, it should be noted that there are several areas where the discrepancy is particularly marked. The majority of the locations with high discrepancies are located in one of three distinct clusters: the Big Bend region near $(241.0^\circ\mathrm{E}, 34.8^\circ\mathrm{N})$, north of the Garlock fault at $(241.5^\circ\mathrm{E}, 35.4^\circ\mathrm{N})$, and near San Bernadino at $(242.3^\circ\mathrm{E}, 34.2^\circ\mathrm{N})$. The Big Bend and San Bernadino “knots” both coincide with appreciable, localized bends in the strike of the SAF’s surface trace; the San Bernadino cluster also corresponds to the southernmost rupture of the 1857 Fort Tejon earthquake [Jones, 1988]. The Garlock cluster is at the east end of the source region of the 1952 Kern County earthquake (M7.8), which has been previously interpreted to have substantially affected the local stress field [Castillo and Zoback, 1995].

[11] The fact that the angle between $S_{\text{Hmax}}$ and the SAF remains approximately constant over a 400 km distance in
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**Figure 2.** Orientation of the axis of maximum horizontal compression ($S_{\text{Hmax}}$) in southern California. Plain lines indicate $S_{\text{Hmax}}$ data from earthquake focal mechanism inversions; all other symbols are the same as in Figure 1. The inset summarizes the angle between $S_{\text{Hmax}}$ and the local fault strike at points within 10 km of the SAF. EMSZ—Eastern Mojave Shear Zone.
In southern California, specifically along the section of the SAF that ruptured in the M8+ 1857 Fort Tejon earthquake, Hardebeck and Hauksson [1999] suggested that $\sigma_{\text{max}}$ rotates by 40° within ±20 km of the SAF to an angle at the fault trace of $\beta = 45^\circ$. This apparent rotation has been used in support of a model positing the SAF’s average frictional strength to be consistent with Byerlee friction and hydrostatic fluid pressures [Scholz, 2000], and hence to be comparable to the strength of the adjacent crust. Hardebeck and Hauksson [1999, 2001] suggested to the contrary that while the SAF and adjacent crust have similar strengths, these may be much lower than predicted using a critically stressed crust model and Byerlee friction.

We are of the opinion that any rotation of $\sigma_{\text{max}}$ to such low angles must occur over a horizontal distance less than our observation scale of ~5 km. Indeed, the similarity between focal mechanism-based estimates of $\sigma_{\text{max}}$ near Parkfield and the deepest SAFOD Pilot Hole measurements, which were made only 1.8 km from the SAF, suggests that any rotation must take place on even smaller horizontal scales. It is important to emphasize that while we cannot rule out average stress orientations at the SAF fault plane itself of $\beta < 68^\circ$, existing focal mechanism inversion results and borehole data do not substantiate that interpretation.

Hardebeck and Hauksson [2001] demonstrated that the focal mechanism stress inversion results they had previously obtained [Hardebeck and Hauksson, 1999] were very similar to those of Townsend and Zoback [2001], in which case we conclude that disagreement over the near-field value of $\beta$ stems from the comparison of a small number of results from separate cross-sections with a larger sample of 70 samples collected over a more representative length (~400 km) of the SAF.

Overall, it is striking that the observed state of stress in southern and central California is so consistent over such a large area, although both the borehole stress measurements and inversions of independent sets of earthquake focal mechanisms reveal gradual lateral stress variations throughout the region. In general, the observed stress field is very similar to Flesch et al.’s [2000] calculations of buoyancy-derived stresses associated with the thermally uplifted Basin and Range province and far-field shear related to Pacific-North America relative plate motion, and consistent with their findings based on regional variations in effective viscosity that the SAF is a mechanically weak boundary.

Thus, while GPS data show that motions of the crustal blocks adjacent to the plate boundary are consistent with local plate kinematics, the forces acting within the crust seem to be controlled by relatively far-field processes. The consistently high angle between $\sigma_{\text{max}}$ and the SAF observed along a 400 km-long section of the fault in southern California (and the even higher angles seen in the sparser data set further north) demonstrates that the SAF’s frictional resistance to slip—though low in both absolute and relative senses—is nevertheless manifest in the tectonic field immediately adjacent to the fault.
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