

Boosting of Electrical Power System Transient Stability with Application of FACTS Devices

Joel Sutter and Peter M. Mburu

Geothermal Development Kenya,

Kenyatta Avenue, Nakuru,

Kenya. P O BOX 17700-20100

E-mail address jrutto@gdc.co.ke, pmburu@gdc.co.ke

Keywords: Power system, FACTS, UPFC, IPFC, transient stability

ABSTRACT

The immense growth of interconnected power systems due to increasing demand of electrical energy due to increasing penetration of renewable sources like geothermal, hydro, solar and wind and other conventional sources (fossils) has given rise to numerous challenges. In these situations, Flexible Alternating Current Transmission System (FACTS) controllers This paper discusses the transient stability enhancement by use of UPFC, SSSC and IPFC FACTS controllers when applied in Transient Stability Enhancement (TSE) in a dynamic IEEE 14 bus system with fault applied at bus 04. It is achieved by observing the behaviour of damping power oscillations. Time domain responses for rotor angle and generator electromotive force responses were analysed.

INTRODUCTION

An electrical power system is a complex interconnected network comprising of numerous generators, transmission lines, variety of loads and transformers. The term Flexible Alternating Current Transmission System (FACTS) devices or controllers describe a wide range of controllers, many of which incorporate large power electronic converters that can increase the flexibility of power systems making them more controllable and stable. FACTS devices stabilize transmission systems with increased transfer capability and reduced risk of line trips [A. Kumar and S. B. Dubey 2013]. The major problem in power system is upholding steady acceptable system parameters like transients and voltage under normal operating and anomalous conditions, which is usually referred as voltage regulation problem and regaining synchronism after a major fault. This results in system overloading. Overloading may also due to faults, heavy loading, long transmission lines with uncontrolled buses at the receiving end, radial transmission lines, and shortage of local reactive power, intrinsic factors, and small generation reserve margins. This leads to the introduction of FACTS such as Static Var Compensator (SVC), SSSC, STATCOM, UPFC and IPFC, Karthik and Arul (2013) Makkar and Dewan (2010) for system support. In stable power systems, the synchronous machines when disturbed, synchronism will either go back to their original state if there is no net change of power or will reach a new state without loss of synchronism [A. Satheesh and T. Manigandan 2013]. Due to FACTS devices, the power can be flown through the chosen routes with consideration on an increase in transmission line capability and improvement for the security, reliability and economy of the power system. UPFC and IPFC, for instance, are very versatile FACTS controllers, Abido (2010).

1. FACTS DEVICES

The development of FACTS devices started with the growing capabilities of power electronic components. Devices for high power levels have been made available in converters for different voltage levels. The overall starting points are network elements influencing the reactive power the parameters of power system. FACTS devices boost power system operation through their control attributes and injection models, Singh et al (2012). The devices are mainly grouped as:

Series controllers such as Thyristor Controlled Series Capacitor (TCSC), Thyristor Controlled Phase Angle Regulators (TCPAR or TCPST), and Static Synchronous Series Compensator (SSSC)

Shunt controllers such as Static Var Compensator (SVC), and Static Synchronous Compensator (STATCOM).

Combined series-series controllers and combined series-shunt controllers such as Interline Power Flow Controller (IPFC), Unified Power Flow Controller (UPFC), Vasudevan (2013).

2.1 Benefits of utilizing FACTS devices

The benefits of utilizing FACTS devices in electrical transmission systems can be summarized as follows [B. Singh et al 2012]:

- Increased loading capacity of transmission lines,
- Prevent blackout, improves generation productivity,
- Reduce circulating reactive power, Improves system stability limit a,
- Reduce voltage flicker,
- Damping of power oscillations,

- Guaranteeing system stability,
- Security, availability,
- Reliability and economy operation

2.2 OPERATION PRINCIPLES OF FACTS

2.2.1 Unified power flow controller (UPFC)

A unified power flow controller (UPFC) is the most promising and versatile device in the FACTS concept. It has the ability to adjust the three control parameters: the bus voltage, transmission line reactance, and phase angle between two buses, either simultaneously or independently [T. Bhaskarajah and G. U. Reddy 2013 and M. Ahsan et al 2013]. It consists of two voltage source converters (VSC), series and shunt converter, which are connected to each other with a common DC link capacitor which provides bidirectional flow of real power between series connected SSSC and shunt connected STATCOM respectively, Sam and Arul (2013). As shown in Figure 2.1 this converters are shunt and series transformers with ac voltage bus. The series controller SSSC is used to add controlled voltage magnitude and phase angle in series with the line, while shunt converter STATCOM is used to provide reactive power to the ac system [E. Acha, C. R. Fuente-Esquivel, and H. Ambriz-Perez 201




Fig 2.1: UPFC VSC model

2.2.2 Static synchronous series compensator (SSSC)

SSSC is a solid-state Voltage Sourced Converter (VSC), which generates a controllable AC voltage, and connected in series to power transmission lines in a power system. SSSC virtual compensates virtually a transmission line impedance by injecting controllable voltage (V_s) in series with the transmission line. V_s are in quadrature with the line current, and emulate an inductive or a capacitive reactance so as to influence the power flow in the transmission lines. The virtual reactance inserted by V_s influences electric power flow in the transmission lines independent of the magnitude of the line current. The variation of V_s is performed by means of a VSC connected on the secondary side of a coupling transformer. A capacitor connected on the DC side of the VSC acts as a DC voltage source. To keep the capacitor charged and to provide transformer and VSC losses, a small active power is drawn from the line. VSC uses IGBT-based PWM inverters. The machine speed is determined by the machine Inertia constant and by the difference between the mechanical torque, resulting from the applied mechanical power, and the internal electromagnetic torque and so the responses are obtained considering the inertia. Further, the gate limits are also considered in the analysis. VSC using IGBT-based Pulse Width Modulation (PWM) inverters is used in the present study. The details of the inverter and harmonics are not represented in power system stability studies; a GTO-based model can also be used. This type of inverter uses PWM technique to synthesize a sinusoidal waveform from a DC voltage with a typical chopping frequency of a few kilohertz. Harmonics are cancelled by connecting filters at the AC side of the VSC. This type of VSC uses a fixed DC voltage V_{DC} . Converter voltage V_C is varied by changing the modulation index of the PWM modulator [M. Ahsan et al 2013]. SSSC circuit diagram is illustrated in figure 2.2. The controllable parameter of this device is the magnitude of the series voltage source V_s , Ahsan, Murad, Gómez and Vanfretti (2013). This voltage source is regulated by the controller POD [M. Ahsan et al 2013]. This controller is used for constant power flow through the line.




Figure 2.2: Voltage source model of SSSC

2.2.3 Interline power flow controller (IPFC)

The inter line power flow controller employ DC-to-AC converters each providing series compensation for a different line. In other words, the IPFC comprises a number of SSSC. The simplest IPFC consist of two back-to-back DC-to-AC converters (the one as master and the other as slave) as shown figure 2.2. They are connected in series with two transmission lines through series coupling

transformers and the dc terminals of the converters are connected together via a common DC link, Ahsan et al (2013). The IPFC can be used to provide double or compensation of the SSSC controller or compensate two transmission lines at the same time through integrated configuration where the SSSC controllers share a common DC link or independent configuration where each SSSC has its own DC link

2. STABILITY ENHANCEMENT WITH FACT

1.1 GENERATOR ROTOR ANGLE BEHAVIOUR

The figures 3.1 to 3.4 illustrate the rotor behavior for three synchronous generators 2, 4 and 5 in MATLAB PSAT Version 2.1.9 toolbox. Without using the FACTS devices, the Rotor angles keeps increasing and go out of synchronism as shown in figure 3.1. When the dynamic model with the FACTS is simulated, the responses start decreasing. Generally, for the three generators, the three FACTS decrease the acceleration of the rotor angles. For all of the devices, the rotor angle of generator 5 decreases the most followed by angle of generator 4 and the least decreasing rotor angle is that of the generator 2. The decreasing is as a result of damping characteristics of FACTS devices connected. The decrease is more pronounced with IPFC than SSSC and UPFC.




Figure 3.1: Rotor angle responses without FACTS, Fault at Bus 04




Figure 3.2: Rotor angle responses UPFC FACTS device, fault applied at Bus 04




Figure 3.3: Rotor angle responses for SSSC FACTS device, fault applied at Bus 04




Figure 3.4: Rotor angle responses with IPFC FACTS device, Fault applied at Bus 04

1.1 QUADRATURE AXIS COMPONENT OF VOLTAGE BEHIND TRANSIENT REACTANCE RESPONSES

Responses of quadrature axis component of voltage behind transient reactance for the three synchronous generators with and without FACTS are displayed in figure 4.22 to figure 4.25 below. With FACTS, the post fault oscillations are damped. Overall, for the three generators, without facts the responses oscillate beyond the simulation time. With FACTS, the oscillation is damped as follows, for UPFC and SSSC, generator two and four at about 4 seconds and generator 5 at about 12 seconds. For IPFC devices, the oscillations are damped as follows; generators 2 and 4 at about 4 seconds and generator 5 at about 8 seconds. As observed, IPFC provides overall better damping characteristics.




Figure 3.5 q-axis voltage component behind transient reactance responses without FACTS




Figure 3.6 q-axis voltage component behind transient reactance responses with UPFC




Figure 3.7: q-axis voltage component behind transient reactance responses with SSSC




Figure 3.8: q-axis voltage component behind transient reactance responses with IPFC

CONCLUSIONS

Although individual compensations differ, all the three FACTS devices not only damp the system oscillations of the multimachine system but also reduce the oscillations transient periods accordingly. The transient state period of rotor speed responses is longer than those of voltage responses hence FACTS provide better support to system voltages compared to other parameters like rotor angle. To achieve steady state operating condition after disturbances, UPFC and SSSC exhibited similar oscillations damping characteristics for the variables studied. IPFC provide better damping for generator sub transient voltages, rotor angle and real power oscillations compared to UPFC and SSSC. It's evident that the damping characteristics of the IPFC are comparatively superior to those of SSSC and IPFC.

References

- Kumar, A., and Dubey, B.: Enhancement of Transient Stability in Transmission Line Using SVC Facts Controller, International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE) ISSN: 2277-3878, **Volume-2**, Issue-2 (2013)
- Karthik, M. and Arul, P.: Optimal Power Flow Control Using FACTS Devices, International Journal of Emerging Science and Engineering (IJESE) ISSN: 2319–6378, Volume-1, Issue-12 (2013)
- Makkar, C. and Dewan, L.: Transient Stability Enhancement using Robust FACTS Controllers- A Brief Tour, Canadian Journal on Electrical & Electronics Engineering Vol. 1, No. 7(2010)
- Satheesh, A., and Manigandan, T.: Maintaining power system stability with FACTS controller using bees algorithm and NN, Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology, Vol. 49 No.1 (2013)
- Mohanty, A., and Barik, K.: Power System Stability Improvement Using FACTS Devices, International Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER), **Vol.1**, Issue.2, pp-666-672, ISSN: 2249-6645, (2012)
- Verma, K., et al.: Introduction to FACTS Controllers: A Technological Literature Survey, International Journal of Automation and Power Engineering, **Volume 1**, Issue 9, website: www.ijape.org (2012)
- Bhaskaraiah, T., and Reddy, G.: Power system stability enhancement using static synchronous series compensator (SSSC), International Journal of Electrical, Electronic and Telecommunications, ISSN 2319 – 2518, www.ijeetc.com, **Vol. 2**, No. 1(2013)
- Ahsan, M., Murad, A., Gómez, F., and Vanfretti, L.: Equation-Based Modeling of FACTS using Modelica (2014)
- Acha, E., Fuerte-Esquivel, C., and Ambriz-Perez, H.: FACTS: Modeling and Simulation in Power Networks, London, U.K.: Wiley (2014)
- M.Karthik and P.Arul (2013), "Optimal Power Flow Control Using FACTS Devices," International Journal of Emerging Science and Engineering (IJESE) ISSN: 2319–6378, **Volume-1**, Issue-12 (2012)
- Vasudevan, K.: Maintaining Voltage Stability by Optimal Locating and Sizing by Combined Evolutionary Algorithm, "International Journal of Computer Applications 84 (12):39-45, **Volume 84** - Number 12